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## PCY Algorithm

- Hash-based improvement to A-Priori.

During Pass 1 of A-priori, most memory is idle.

- Use that memory to keep counts of buckets into which pairs of items are hashed.
- Just the count, not the pairs themselves.

Gives extra condition that candidate pairs must satisfy on Pass 2.

## Picture of PCY

| Item counts |  | Frequent items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hash <br> table | Bitmap |  |

Pass 1
Pass 2

## PCY Algorithm - Before Pass 1 Organize Main Memory

Space to count each item.

- One (typically) 4-byte integer per item.
- Use the rest of the space for as many integers, representing buckets, as we can.


## PCY Algorithm - Pass 1

FOR (each basket) \{
FOR (each item)
add 1 to item's count;
FOR (each pair of items) \{
hash the pair to a bucket;
add 1 to the count for that bucket
\}

## Observations About Buckets

1. If a bucket contains a frequent pair, then the bucket is surely frequent.

- We cannot use the hash table to eliminate any member of this bucket.

2. Even without any frequent pair, a bucket can be frequent.

- Again, nothing in the bucket can be eliminated.


## Observations - (2)

3. But in the best case, the count for a bucket is less than the support $s$.

- Now, all pairs that hash to this bucket can be eliminated as candidates, even if the pair consists of two frequent items.


## PCY Algorithm - Between Passes

- Replace the buckets by a bit-vector:
- 1 means the bucket count exceeds the support $s$ (a frequent bucket); 0 means it did not.
4-byte integers are replaced by bits, so the bit-vector requires $1 / 32$ of memory.
Also, decide which items are frequent and list them for the second pass.


## PCY Algorithm - Pass 2

- Count all pairs $\{i, j\}$ that meet the conditions:

1. Both $i$ and $j$ are frequent items.
2. The pair $\{i, j\}$, hashes to a bucket number whose bit in the bit vector is 1 .
Notice all these conditions are necessary for the pair to have a chance of being frequent.

## Memory Details

Hash table requires buckets of 2-4 bytes.

- Number of buckets thus almost 1/4-1/2 of the number of bytes of main memory.
- On second pass, a table of (item, item, count) triples is essential.
- Thus, hash table must eliminate $2 / 3$ of the candidate pairs to beat a-priori.


## Multistage Algorithm

$\checkmark$ Key idea: After Pass 1 of PCY, rehash only those pairs that qualify for Pass 2 of PCY.

- On middle pass, fewer pairs contribute to buckets, so fewer false positives frequent buckets with no frequent pair.


## Multistage Picture



## Multistage - Pass 3

Count only those pairs $\{i, j\}$ that satisfy:

1. Both $i$ and $j$ are frequent items.
2. Using the first hash function, the pair hashes to a bucket whose bit in the first bit-vector is 1.
3. Using the second hash function, the pair hashes to a bucket whose bit in the second bit-vector is 1 .

## Important Points

1. The two hash functions have to be independent.
2. We need to check both hashes on the third pass.

- If not, we would wind up counting pairs of frequent items that hashed first to an infrequent bucket but happened to hash second to a frequent bucket.


## Multihash

$\checkmark$ Key idea: use several independent hash tables on the first pass.
$\rightarrow$ Risk: halving the number of buckets doubles the average count. We have to be sure most buckets will still not reach count $s$.
$\rightarrow$ If so, we can get a benefit like multistage, but in only 2 passes.

## Multihash Picture

| Item counts | Freq. items |
| :---: | :---: |
| First hash <br> table | Bitmap 1 |
| Second <br> hash table | Counts of <br> candidate <br> pairs |
| Pass 1 |  |

## Extensions

- Either multistage or multihash can use more than two hash functions.
- In multistage, there is a point of diminishing returns, since the bit-vectors eventually consume all of main memory.
$\leftrightarrow$ For multihash, the bit-vectors occupy exactly what one PCY bitmap does, but too many hash functions makes all counts $\geq s$.

All (Or Most) Frequent Itemsets In $\leq 2$ Passes
Simple algorithm.
SON (Savasere, Omiecinski, and Navathe).
-Toivonen.

## Simple Algorithm - (1)

Take a random sample of the market baskets.
$\checkmark$ Run a-priori or one of its improvements (for sets of all sizes, not just pairs) in main memory, so you don't pay for disk I/O each time you increase the size of itemsets.

- Be sure you leave enough space for counts.


## Main-Memory Picture

Copy of<br>sample baskets<br>Space for counts

## Simple Algorithm - (2)

$\checkmark$ Use as your support threshold a suitable, scaled-back number.

- E.g., if your sample is $1 / 100$ of the baskets, use $s / 100$ as your support threshold instead of $s$.


## Simple Algorithm - Option

-Optionally, verify that your guesses are truly frequent in the entire data set by a second pass.
But you don't catch sets frequent in the whole but not in the sample.

- Smaller threshold, e.g., s/125, helps catch more truly frequent itemsets.
- But requires more space.


## SON Algorithm - (1)

-Repeatedly read small subsets of the baskets into main memory and perform the first pass of the simple algorithm on each subset.
An itemset becomes a candidate if it is found to be frequent in any one or more subsets of the baskets.

## SON Algorithm - (2)

$\checkmark$ On a second pass, count all the candidate itemsets and determine which are frequent in the entire set.
< Key "monotonicity" idea: an itemset cannot be frequent in the entire set of baskets unless it is frequent in at least one subset.

## SON Algorithm - Distributed Version

-This idea lends itself to distributed data mining.
If baskets are distributed among many nodes, compute frequent itemsets at each node, then distribute the candidates from each node.

- Finally, accumulate the counts of all candidates.


## Toivonen's Algorithm - (1)

Start as in the simple algorithm, but lower the threshold slightly for the sample.

- Example: if the sample is $1 \%$ of the baskets, use $s / 125$ as the support threshold rather than $s / 100$.
- Goal is to avoid missing any itemset that is frequent in the full set of baskets.


## Toivonen's Algorithm - (2)

- Add to the itemsets that are frequent in the sample the negative border of these itemsets.
$\Delta$ An itemset is in the negative border if it is not deemed frequent in the sample, but al/ its immediate subsets are.


## Example: Negative Border

$\triangle A B C D$ is in the negative border if and only if it is not frequent, but all of $A B C$, $B C D, A C D$, and $A B D$ are.

## Picture of Negative Border

Negative Border
tripletons
doubletons
singletons

## Toivonen's Algorithm - (3)

- In a second pass, count all candidate frequent itemsets from the first pass, and also count their negative border.
$\rightarrow$ If no itemset from the negative border turns out to be frequent, then the candidates found to be frequent in the whole data are exactly the frequent itemsets.


## Toivonen's Algorithm - (4)

-What if we find that something in the negative border is actually frequent?

- We must start over again!
-Try to choose the support threshold so the probability of failure is low, while the number of itemsets checked on the second pass fits in main-memory.


## Theorem:

If there is an itemset that is frequent in the whole, but not frequent in the sample, then there is a member of the negative border for the sample that is frequent in the whole.

## Proof:

$\checkmark$ Suppose not; i.e., there is an itemset $S$ frequent in the whole but

- Not frequent in the sample, and
- Not present in the sample's negative border.

Let $T$ be a smallest subset of $S$ that is not frequent in the sample.
$\checkmark T$ is frequent in the whole ( $S$ is frequent, monotonicity).
$\rightarrow T$ is in the negative border (else not "smallest").

## Compacting the Output

1. Maximal Frequent itemsets: no immediate superset is frequent.
2. Closed itemsets : no immediate superset has the same count ( $>0$ ).

- Stores not only frequent information, but exact counts.


## Example: Maximal/Closed

Count Maximal ( $s=3$ ) Closed

A 4
B 5
C 3
AB 4
AC 2
BC 3
ABC 2

No
No
No
Yes
No
Yes
No

No
Yes
No
Yes
No
Yes
Yes

