CS109B Notes for Lecture 4/7/95

Connected Components

In an undirected graph, the relation uCv iff there is a path from u to v is an equivalence relation (see FCS, p. 467).

• Equivalence classes = connected components.

Why CC's?

Example application: "chips" are built from millions of "rectangles" on 4 or 5 layers of silicon. Certain layers connect electrically.

- Let nodes = rectangles; edges connect electrically connected rectangles.
- CC's = electrical elements of the chip.
- Deducing electrical elements essential for simulation and other analysis of chip.
 - ☐ Since fabrication and testing is so expensive, computer simulation vital.

Minimum-Weight Spanning Trees

- Attach numerical label to edges.
- Find set of edges of minimum weight (sum of labels) that connect (via a path) every connectable pair of nodes.

Why MWST's?

Example application: Pricing phone lines.

• By law, a purchase of dedicated lines must be priced proportionally to the weight of the MWST connecting the cities requested.

Representing Connected Components

Data structure = tree with, at each tree node:

- 1. Parent pointer.
- 2. Height of the subtree rooted at this node.

• Tree and graph nodes are identified, e.g., use same records or include cross pointers in records for each.

Merge/Find Operations

- find(v) finds the root of the tree of which graph node v is a member.
- $merge(T_1, T_2)$ merges trees T_1 and T_2 by making the root of lesser height a child of the other.

CC's Algorithm

- 1. Start with each graph node in a tree by itself.
- 2. Look at edges in some order. If edge $\{u, v\}$ has ends in different trees (use find on u and v to tell), then merge these trees.
- After all edges considered, each tree will be one CC.

Running Time Analysis

Key point: every time a node finds itself on a tree of greater height due to merge, the tree also has at least twice as many nodes as its former tree.

- Hence, if there are n nodes in the graph, paths in trees never get longer than $\log_2 n$.
- See FCS, p. 472 for proof.
- Consequently, we can consider each of m edges in $O(\log n)$ time. Merger, if necessary, takes O(1). Total = $O(m \log n)$.

MWST Algorithm

Same as CC's algorithm, but:

- Consider the edges lowest-weight first.
- Proof in FCS, p. 480ff, that the edges resulting in a merge form a MWST.

Running Time Analysis

Sort m edges in $O(m \log m)$ time.

- Since $m \le n^2$, $\log m \le 2 \log n$, so $O(m \log n)$ time suffices to sort.
- Thus, MWST's found in $O(m \log n)$ time as for CC's.

Greedy Algorithms

An algorithm that finds a solution by a sequence of steps each of which "seems best at the time" is called *greedy*

• Kruskal's MWST algorithm is "greedy" in this sense.

Class Problem

The Traveling Salesman Problem is to find a simple cycle of minimum weight.

- Does "greedy" work for the TSP?
- How would you implement the greedy approach to TSP? That is, how do you decide whether or not it is OK to add an edge to the selected set?