CS109B Notes for Lecture 6/7/95

Unsolvable Problems

- Some problems have "efficient" solutions, *i.e.*, they have algorithms that run in time polynomial in the length of input.
 - □ Examples: testing whether a propositional formula is true under a truth assignment, a graph is bipartite
- NP-complete problems are "intractable"; there seem to be no efficient algorithms for them
 - ☐ Examples: testing whether a propositional formula is satisfiable, a graph is tripartite
- Some problems are "unsolvable"; there cannot exist any algorithms for them!
 - □ Examples: stick around

Algorithms are ML functions

We can represent the inputs for a problem as a string in ML. We can then write an algorithm for the problem as an ML function of type string -> ...

Example: We can easily represent propositional formulas as strings.

Then, we can write the algorithm for satisfiability as an ML function

Sat : string -> bool

with Sat returning true if the formula is satisfiable and false otherwise.

Church's Thesis: Every algorithm can be programmed as an ML function.

Thus a problem is solvable only if we can write an ML function to solve the problem. Conversely, to prove that a problem is unsolvable it suffices to show that there is no ML function that would solve the problem.

Halting Problem

Given the definition of an ML function f of type string -> bool and an input string s for f, does f halt on argument s?

Question: Does there exist an algorithm to solve the halting problem? Equivalently, can we write an ML function

HaltTester:string*string->bool

such that for strings p, s

- If p is a valid definition of an ML function f:string->bool then
 - □ HaltTester(p,s) returns true if f(s) halts
 - □ HaltTester(p,s) returns false if f(s) goes into an infinite loop
- If p is not a valid definition of an ML function, HaltTester(p,s) returns false

Self Reference

We can apply an ML function f:string -> bool to itself in the following sense.

Suppose the definition of f is

fun
$$f(s) = \dots$$

Then "fun $f(s) = \dots$ " is just a string and thus we can write

$$f$$
 "fun $f(s) = \dots$ "

Nothing strange about this. For example, if

then

returns 31

Diagonalization

Assume we can write an ML function

Then we can write the following ML function

where

$$fun loop(s) = loop(s)$$

What does weird do?

- ☐ If f "fun f(s) = ..." halts then
 weird "fun f(s) = ..."
 goes into an infinite loop
- ☐ If f "fun f(s) = ..." goes into an infinite loop then

 weird "fun f(s) = ..."

 returns true, i.e., it halts

Why weird is weird

Consider what happens if we apply weird to itself, i.e.,

```
weird "fun weird (s) = ... "
```

This either halts or goes into an infinite loop

- ☐ If it halts then

 weird "fun weird (s) = ..."

 is supposed to go into an infinite loop #%!&
- ☐ If it goes into an infinite loop then
 weird "fun weird (s) = ..."
 is supposed to halt #%!&

Therefore, our assumption that there is an ML function HaltTester must be wrong!

That is, the halting problem is unsolvable.

Reductions

Can now show that other problems are unsolvable by reducing the halting problem to them.

Example: Given the definition of an ML function f:string->bool, does f halt on all inputs?

Suppose this problem was solvable, i.e., we can write a function

AllHalt : string -> bool

such that AllHalt "fun f ..." returns true if f halts on all strings and false otherwise.

Then, we can solve the halting problem. Suppose we are given the definition of a function "fun f ... " and a string s and we want to know whether f halts on s. Then, we can construct the function

fun g(x) = let fun f ... in f(s) end

and run AllHalt on g and return the same answer.

Other Unsolvable Problems

- Given an ML function f, is there any input on which f halts?
- Given two grammars G_1, G_2 , are their languages the same?
- Given a grammar G, is its language regular?

Class Problem

Are the following problems solvable?

- Given a boolean ML expression b (represented as a string), does b halt when executed? Given an arbitrary ML expression e does e halt when executed?
- Given two ML expressions f and g of type string
 bool, do f and g compute the same function (i.e., are they algorithms for solving the same problem)?

Final Thought

Suppose we wanted to invent a language PerfectML such that all the programs that we could write in PerfectML always halted. At the same time, PerfectML should be powerful enough to be able to program all computable functions in it. Unfortunately, this is impossible!

PerfectML is useful only if we have an algorithm to execute its programs on inputs. For example, we should be able to write an ML function

execute : string * string -> bool

which given the definition of a PerfectML function f:string->bool written as a string and an input s returns the result of running f(s) in PerfectML.

Then, we can write the following function in ML

fun diag(s) = not(execute(s,s))

and the function computed by diag would not be programmable in PerfectML.

Good luck for the final exam. You can rest assured that it will be solvable!