## CS109A Notes for Lecture 2/27/95

## Sets

- Defined by membership relation $\in$.
- Atoms may not have members, but may be members of a set.
$\square \quad$ Sets may also be members of sets.
- Membership is "once only." An element cannot be a member of a set more than once.
$\square$ Unlike lists, where (a) elements are ordered, and (b) elements may repeat.
$\square$ Distinguished from multisets or bags, where elements are unordered but may appear more than once.


## Why Sets?

- Model underlying most representation of information.
$\square$ Structured sets represent record structures, tables.
$\square$ Relational model used in database systems derives both its properties and its operations (querying, updating) from operations on sets.
- Probability space is a simple kind of set.
- Set theory underlies much (all?) of mathematics, explicating concepts such as real numbers, infinities.


## Representing Sets

1. By extension: list the elements, surrounded by curly brackets.

Example: $\{1,2,\{3,4\}\}=$ set with three elements: the atoms 1 and 2 and the set $\{3,4\}$.
2. By abstraction: describe the elements belonging to the set.

Example: $\{x \mid 3 \leq x \leq 10$ and $x$ is an integer $\}$
$=\{3,4, \ldots, 10\}$.

- Read: "the set of $x$ such that $3 \leq x \leq 10 \cdots$."


## Algebra of Sets

Principal operators on a pair of sets $S$ and $T$ :

1. Union: set of elements in $S$ or $T$ or both.
2. Intersection: set of elements in both.
3. Difference: $S-T=$ elements in $S$, not $T$.

- To an extent, these share algebraic laws with ,$+ \times$, and - , respectively.


## Equality of Sets

- Two sets are equal if they have exactly the same members.
- Two expressions involving sets are equivalent ( $\equiv$ ) if they produce the same value regardless of what values we assign to the set-variables in the expressions.


## Algebraic Laws

These are observations about pairs of expressions that are equivalent.

- Commutative laws of union, intersection. The order of operands may be reversed.
- Associative laws of union, intersection. Operations may be grouped in any order.
$\square$ Similar law for union and difference:

$$
S-(T \cup R) \equiv(S-T)-R
$$

- Distributive laws: like $x(y+z)=x y+x z$ for arithmetic. But there are 3 different laws for sets:
$\square \quad S \cap(T \cup R) \equiv(S \cap T) \cup(S \cap R)$
$\square \quad S \cup(T \cap R) \equiv(S \cup T) \cap(S \cup R)$
$\square \quad(S \cup T)-R \equiv(S-R) \cup(T-R)$
- The empty set $\emptyset$ has important properties:
$\square \emptyset$ is the identity for union: $S \cup \emptyset=S$.
$\square \quad$ It is also the annihilator for intersection $S \cap \emptyset=\emptyset$.
$\square \quad$ There is no identity for intersection or annihilator for union, because "set containing everything" does not exist.
$\square \quad S-S=\emptyset$.
$\square \quad \emptyset-S=\emptyset$.
- Idempotence laws: Union and intersection are idempotent, e.g., $S \cup S=S$.


## Proving Equivalences

Three approaches:

1. Manipulating known equivalences.
2. Classifying elements by sets of which they are members.
$\square \quad$ Venn diagrams and truth-tables (in logic, Ch. 12, FCS) are instances of this approach.
3. Proving containments in both directions, using definitions of operators.

## Manipulating Equivalences

Equivalence is preserved by:

- Substituting an expression for alloccurrences of some variable in an equivalence.
- Replacing a subexpression in an equivalence by a known equivalent expression.
- Use of transitivity of equivalence: If $E \equiv F$ and $F \equiv G$ then $E \equiv G$.
- Use of commutativity of equivalence: If $E \equiv$ $F$ then $F \equiv E$.

Example: Let's show $(S \cup T) \cap S \equiv S$.
$S \cup(T \cap R) \equiv(S \cup T) \cap(S \cup R)$ Dist. law
$S \cup(T \cap \emptyset) \equiv(S \cup T) \cap(S \cup \emptyset) \quad R \Rightarrow \emptyset$
$S \cup \emptyset \equiv(S \cup T) \cap S \quad$ Ident., Annih.
$S \equiv(S \cup T) \cap S \quad$ Ident.
$(S \cup T) \cap S \equiv S \quad$ Comm of $\equiv$

## Enumerating Cases

If there are $n$ sets in an expression, we can divide elements into $2^{n}$ classes, depending on whether they are in/out of each set ("painting houses").

- A table decides whether an equivalence holds.

Example: $S \equiv(S \cup T) \cap S$.

| $S$ | $T$ | $S \cup T$ | RHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Equivalence Through Containment

- $\quad S \subseteq T(S$ is contained in $T)$ means every element of $S$ is an element of $T$.
- $\quad S=T$ if and only if $S \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S$.
- We can prove the equivalence of two expressions by showing the result of each is contained in the other.

Example: $S \equiv(S \cup T) \cap S$.
$\Rightarrow$ If $x \in S$ then $x \in(S \cup T)$ (def. of "union"). Thus, $x \in((S \cup T) \cap S)$ (def. of "intersection").
$\Leftarrow$ If $x \in((S \cup T) \cap S)$, then $x \in S$ (def. of "intersection").

