Regular Expressions in UNIX

- 1. Character Class: $[a_1a_2\cdots a_n]$ is shorthand for $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \cdots \mid a_n$.
 - $\Box \quad Also, \alpha \beta \text{ stands for the set of characters with ASCII codes from the code for character <math>\alpha$ to the code for β .

Example: [a-zA-Z] denotes any of the 52 upper or lower case letters. [-+*/] denotes the four arithmetic operators.

- Note that must come first to avoid it having a special meaning. [+-*/] denotes / and all the characters between + and *.
- 2. Additional operators:
 - \square R? stands for $\epsilon \mid R$.
 - $\square \quad R^+ \text{ stands for } R \mid RR \mid RRR \mid \cdots \text{ (one or more occurrences of } R\text{).}$
- 3. Special symbols:
 - □ Dot stands for "any ASCII character except the newline."
 - \Box ^ stands for the beginning of a line.
 - \Box \$ stands for the end of a line.

Example: The file /usr/dict/words contains common English words, one to a line. To find all 5-letter words beginning with a and with b as the fourth letter, issue the command

grep '^a..b.\$' /usr/dict/words

The two words adobe and alibi are identified.

Example: Words with at least three t's can be found by

grep 't.*t.*t' /usr/dict/words

• Note that grep scans for a pattern anywhere in the word. There is no need here to "anchor" the pattern at beginning or end. • 153 words are found. Afterthought is the first and uttermost the last.

Class Problem

How would you search for words that have three t's separated by at most one letter between each consecutive pair?

- E.g., attitude, destitute, tattle.
- Hint: you need the ? operator and the command egrep (because grep doesn't allow ?).

Class Problem

How would you search for all words beginning with 4 or more consonants (excluding y)?

 Only examples: phthalate, schlieren, schnapps.

Operator Precedence

- The unary, postfix operators, *, +, and ? have highest precedence.
- Then comes concatentation.
- Union (|) is of lowest precedence.

Example: $a \mid bc$? is grouped $a \mid (b(c?))$ and denotes the language $\{a, b, bc\}$.

Algebra of RE's

Like the set operators \cup etc., there are many algebraic laws that apply to the regular expression operators.

- One approach: manipulate expressions to show equivalence:
 - □ Substitute RE's for variables in known equivalences.
 - \Box Substitute an equivalent RE for another.
 - □ Use transitivity and commutativity of equivalence.

Example: Suppose $R(S \mid T) \equiv RS \mid RT$ is known. Substitute $R \Rightarrow R, S \Rightarrow \emptyset, T \Rightarrow \epsilon$, yields $R(\emptyset \mid \epsilon) \equiv R\emptyset \mid R\epsilon$.

Substitute $R\emptyset \equiv \emptyset$; $R\epsilon \equiv R$, yields $R(\emptyset \mid \epsilon) \equiv \emptyset \mid R$.

Substitute $R \mid \emptyset \equiv R$, yields $R(\emptyset \mid \epsilon) \equiv R$.

- Another approach: show containment in both directions.
 - □ Remember that the "meaning" of an RE is a language, i.e., a set of strings, so containment of sets makes sense.
- Read catalog of laws, pp. 569ff, FCS.

Example: Let us use a containment of sets argument to prove the following distributive law: $R(S \mid T) \equiv RS \mid RT.$

 \subseteq .

- Let w be in $L(R(S \mid T)) = L(R)L(S \mid T)$.
- Then w = rx; r is in L(R) and x is in $L(S \mid T) = L(S) \cup L(T).$
 - \square Case 1: x in L(S). Then rx = w is in L(RS). Therefore, w is in $L(RS \mid RT)$.
 - $\Box \quad \text{Case 2: } x \text{ is in } L(T). \text{ Similarly, } rx = w \\ \text{is in } L(RT) \text{ and in } L(RS \mid RT).$

⊇.

- Let w be in $L(RS | RT) = L(RS) \cup L(RT)$.
 - $\Box \quad \text{Case 1:} \quad w \text{ is in } L(RS) = L(R)L(S).$ Then w = rs, r is in L(R) and s is in L(S). Thus, $s \text{ is in } L(S \mid T) = L(S) \cup L(T)$ and rs = w is in $L(R(S \mid T)).$
 - \Box Case 2: w is in L(RT). Similar.