# CS109A Notes for Lecture 1/19/96

# Recursive Definition of Expressions

Expressions with binary operators can be defined as follows.

Basis: An operand is an expression.

• An operand is a variable or constant.

Induction:

- 1. If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are expressions, and o is a binary operator (e.g., + or \*), then  $E_1$  o  $E_2$  is an expression.
- 2. If E is an expression, then (E) is an expression.
  - ☐ Thus, we can build expressions like

$$egin{array}{cccc} x & y & z \ x+y & (x+y) & (x+y)*z \end{array}$$

### An Interesting Proof

• S(n): An expression E with binary operators of length n has one more operand than operators.

Proof is by complete induction on the *length* (number of operators, operands, and parentheses) of the expression.

**Basis:** n = 1. E must be a single operand. Since there are no operators, the basis holds.

**Induction:** Assume  $S(1), S(2), \ldots, S(n)$ . Let E have length n+1>1. How was E constructed?

- a) If by rule (2),  $E=(E_1)$ , and  $E_1$  has length n-1.
  - $\square$  By the inductive hypothesis S(n-1), we know  $E_1$  has one more operand than operators.
  - $\square$  But E and  $E_1$  have the same number of operators and operands, so S holds for E.

- b) If by rule (1), then  $E = E_1$  o  $E_2$ .
  - $\square$  Both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  have length  $\leq n$ , because o is one symbol and

$$length(E_1) + length(E_2) = n$$

- Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  have a and b operators, respectively. By the inductive hypothesis, which applies to both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , They have a+1 and b+1 operands, respectively.
- $\square$  Thus, E has (a+1)+(b+1)=a+b+2 operands.
- $\square$  E has a+b+1 operators; the "+1" is for the o between  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .
- $\Box$  Thus E has one more operand than operator, proving the inductive hypothesis.
- Note we used all of  $S(1), \ldots, S(n)$  in the inductive step.
- The fact that "expression" was defined recursively let us break expressions apart and know that we covered all the ways expressions could be built.

#### Recursion

- A style of programming and problem-solving where we express a solution in terms of smaller instances of itself.
- Uses basis/induction just like inductive proofs and definitions.
  - $\square$  Basis = part that requires no uses of smaller instances.
  - ☐ Induction = solution of arbitrary instance in terms of smaller instances.

# Why Recursion?

Sometimes it really helps organize your thoughts (and your code).

**Example:** A simple algorithm for converting integer i > 0 to binary: Last bit is i%2; leading bits determined by converting i/2 until we get down to 0.

```
main() {
    int i;
    scanf("%d", &i);
    while(i>0) {
        putchar('0' + i%2);
        i /= 2;
    }
    putchar('\n');
}
```

- Only one problem: the answer comes out backwards.
- We can fix the problem if we think recursively:

**Basis:** If i = 0, do nothing.

**Induction:** If i > 0, recursively convert i/2. Then print the final bit, i%2.

```
void convert(int i) {
    if(i>0) {
        convert(i/2);
        putchar('0' + i%2);
    }
}
main() {
    int i;
    scanf("%d", &i);
    convert(i);
    putchar('\n');
}
```

# Class Problem for Next Wednesday

Prove that the above program converts its input to binary.

• What is the inductive hypothesis? The basis? The inductive step?