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## 1. Introduction

In [2] Wielandt and Hoffman proved a theorem on the eigenvalues of normal matrices which is of considerable importance in the error analysis of eigenvalue algorithms based on the use of unitary transformations [4,5]. Their proof was very elegant and was based on the use of linear programming techniques. In [5] Wilkinson gave an elementary proof in the case when the matrices are Hermitian, which was based on an earlier , proof due to Givens [1]. This proof did not extend easily to the general case. Here we give an elementary proof for the general case which applies immediately to a generalization of the Wielandt-Hoffman theorem due to Kahan [3]. Not surprisingly the proof involves techniques which are familiar in the area of linear programming but no direct appeal is made to results from that field.

## 2. The Basic Theorem

The proof depends on a theorem which is not directly concerned with normal matrices. Before stating this theorem we give two definitions.

DEFINITION 1. The set of $n$ elements $a_{1, \dot{1}_{1}}, a_{2}^{\dot{j}_{2}}, \ldots, a_{n, \dot{i}_{n}}$ of an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is called a diagonal of $A$ if $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$ is a permutation of the integers $1,2, \ldots, n$. If $i_{j}=j(j=1, \ldots, n)$ then we have the principal diagonal.

DEFINITION 2. A matrix $X$ is called a doubly stochastic matrix if $x_{i j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{j i}=1 \quad(j=1, \ldots, n) \quad$ i.e., all row and column runs are unity.

THEOREM 1. If $P$ is a real matrix such that the sum of the elements on the principal diagonal is not greater than the sum of the elements on any other diagonal, and $X$ is any doubly stochastic matrix, then $S(X) \equiv \sum \sum p_{i j} x_{i j}$ is a minimum when $X=I$.

Proof. The minimum is attained, possibly for many different $X$. Let us choose $X$ to be a minimizing doubly stochastic matrix having the maximum number of zero off-diagonal elements. We shall show that all its off-diagonals must be zero. For suppose that this is not true. Let $X_{I_{1}}, i_{2}$ be a non-zero off-diagonal. Then $X_{i_{2}}, i_{2}<1$ and hence there is a non-zero element $\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}, \mathbf{i}_{3}}$ (say) in row $\mathbf{i}_{2}$. If $\mathbf{i}_{3} \neq \mathbf{i}_{2}$ then similarly there is a non-zero element $x_{i_{3}}, i_{4}$ in row $i_{3}$. Continue in this way until we reach an $x_{i_{m}-P m}$ for which $i_{m}$ equals some earlier $i_{k}$. Let $x$ be the smallest of the positive elements

$$
x_{i_{k}}, \dot{i}_{k+1}, x_{i_{k+1}}, \dot{i}_{k+2}, \ldots, x_{i_{m-1}}, i_{k}
$$

Construct a matrix $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{i_{S}} i_{s}=x_{i_{s}, i_{s}}+x \quad, \quad s=k, k+1, \ldots, m-1  \tag{2.1}\\
& y_{i_{S}, i_{s+1}}=x_{i_{s}, i_{s+1}}-x \quad, \quad s=k, k+1, \ldots, m-1  \tag{2.2}\\
& y_{i j}=x_{i j} \quad \text { otherwise. } \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $Y$ is clearly a doubly stochastic matrix and


The expression in brackets cannot be positive since otherwise by replacing the elements ${\underset{i}{s}{ }^{\prime} i_{S}}$ in the principal diagonal by the elements $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathbf{S}},{ }_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}+1}}}$ we could obtain a smaller diagonal sum. Hence

$$
\sum \sum p_{i j} y_{i j} \leq \sum \sum p_{i j} x_{i j} .
$$

. But Y is clearly a doubly stochastic matrix and it has at least one more off-diagonal zero than X , contradicting the hypothesis. Hence all off-diagonal elements of X must be zero, i.e., $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$.

An exactly analogous theorem holds when the principal- diagonal has the maximum sum.
3. The Wielandt-Hoffman Theorem

THEOREM 2. If $A$ and $B$ are normal matrices and $C=A-B$, and if $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$ arranged so that $\sum_{L_{\perp}}^{n}\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|^{2}$ is a minimum for all possible orderings, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}^{n}\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|^{2} \leq\|c\|_{F}^{2} . \quad\left(\|q\|_{F}=\text { the Frobenius norm of } C\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $A$ and $B$ are normal there exist unitary $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=Q_{1} \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q_{1}^{H}, B=Q_{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right) Q_{2}^{H} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note then we are free to prescribe the ordering of the $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and we choose the ordering which gives $\sum\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|^{2}$ a minimum value. Hence .

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-B=Q_{1} \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q_{1}^{H}-Q_{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right) Q_{2}^{H}=C \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q_{l}^{H} Q_{2}-Q_{1}^{H} \quad Q_{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right)=Q_{1}^{H} C Q_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $Q=Q_{1}^{H} Q_{2}$, a unitary matrix, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q-Q \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{F}^{2}=\|C\|_{F}^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the Frobenius norm is unitarily invariant. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{CC}\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|^{2}\left|q_{i j}\right|^{2}=\|C\|_{F}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the matrix $P$ with $p_{i j}=\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|^{2}$ is real and from the ordering of the $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ its principal diagonal is minimal. Further, since $Q$ is unitary, the matrix $Z$ with $z_{i, j}=\left|q_{i j}\right|^{2}$ is a doubly stochastic matrix. Hence by Theorem 1 and equation $(3,6)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{C}}\left|\mathrm{a}_{i}-\mathrm{b}_{i}\right|^{2} \leq C C_{i} a_{i}-\left.b_{j}\right|^{2}\left|{a_{i j}}\right|^{2}=\|C\|_{F}^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result is proved.
When $A$ and $B$ are Hermitian, the $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are real, and it is easy to prove that the orderings $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq . . \geq a_{n}, b_{1} \geq b_{2}>. . \geq b_{n}$ give the minimal value. In fact, returning to Theorem 1 in the case when $p_{i j}=\left(a_{i}-b_{j}\right)^{2}$ with $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ real and monotonically ordered, the proof is much simpler. For if $X$ has a non-zero off diagonal element
in row 1 or column 1 it must have at least one such in both. Suppose $\mathrm{X}_{\text {lr }}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\text {Sr }}$ are non-zero and x is the smaller. If we increase $\mathrm{x}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\text {sr }}$ by x and diminish $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{Ir}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{sl}}$ by x the sum is changed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left[\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{s}-b_{r}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{1}-b_{r}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{s}-b_{1}\right)\right]^{2}=x\left(a_{1}-a_{s}\right)\left(b_{r}-b_{1}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence continuing in this way the minimizing $X$ has no non-zero off-diagonal - elements in row 1 or column 1 , and continuing again the minimizing $X$ is I . (Notice we do not even have to show that for this P , the principal diagonal is minimal; this emerges from the proof.)
4. Generalization of the Wielandt-Hoffman Theorem

A generalization of the Wielandt-Hoffman Theorem which is of practical importance is the following.

THEOREM 3. If $X$ is an nxr matrix with orthonormal columns, A is an nxn normal matrix, $B$ is an $r \times r$ normal matrix and $R$ an $n \times r$ matrix is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x-X B=R, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the eigenvalues $a .(i=1, \ldots, n)$ of $A$ and $b_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)$
of $B$ are ordered so that $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|^{2}$ is a minimum, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|a_{1}-b_{i}\right|^{2} \leq\|R\|_{F}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A weaker result with $\|R\|_{F}^{2}$ replaced by $2^{1 / 2}\|R\|_{F}^{2}$ was given by Wilkinson in [5] and the result itself by Kahan [3].

Notice we are interested only in the selection and ordering of the relevant $r$ of the $a_{i}$ to be associated with the $b_{i}$. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=Q_{1} \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q_{1}^{H}, B=Q_{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right) Q_{2}^{H} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the prescribed ordering of the $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right) Q-Q \operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{F}^{2}=\left\|Q_{I}^{H} R Q_{Q}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\|R\|_{F}^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an nxr matrix with ortho-normal columns. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|^{2}\left|q_{i j}\right|^{2}=\|R\|_{F}^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y=[Q \mid Z]$ be an $n \times n$ unitary matrix given by the completion of $Q$; then if

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i j}=a_{i}-\left.b_{j}\right|^{2} \quad(j \leq r), p_{i j}=0 \quad(j>r) .  \tag{4.6}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i=j}\left|y_{i j i}\right|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|^{2}\left|q_{i j}\right|^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and from the definition of the 'ordering of the $a_{1}$ and $b_{i}$, the diagonal of $P$ is minimal. Hence by Theorem 1 and Equation (4,5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i i}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r} C_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|\left|q_{i j}\right|^{2}=\|R\|_{F}^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem is of practical value when $r$ orthonormal approximate eigenvectors $X_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are known corresponding to alleged eigenvalues $\mu_{I}, \ldots, \mu_{r} . I f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x_{i}-\mu_{i} x_{i}=r_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, r) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X-X \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=R \tag{4,10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an obvious notation, and $\operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ is the matrix $B$ of Theorem 3. This then states that there exist $r$ eigenvalues $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ of $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(a_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}=\|R\|_{F}^{2} \tag{4,11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the $\mu_{i}$ can include multiple or pathologically chic eigenvalues. The result is well known when $\mathrm{r}=1$ and the Wielandt-Hoffman theorem corresponds to the case $r=n$. We observe that by using less than $r$ of the alleged eigenvectors we can obtain results of the type (4.11) corresponding to any $s(<r)$ of the approximate eigenvalues.
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