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# EFFICIENT ALGORIIHMS FOR GRAPH MANIPULATION 

John Hoperoft<br>Robert Tarjan<br>Stanford University, Stanford, California

Graphs arise in many different contexts where it is necessary to represent interrelations between data elements. Consequently algorithms are being developed to manipulate graphs and test them for various properties. Certain basic tasks are common to many of these algorithms. For example, in order to test a graph for planarity, one first decomposes the graph "into biconnected components and tests each component separately. If one is using an algorithm [4] with asymptotic growth of $V$ log $V$ to test for planarity, it is imperative that one use an algorithm for partitioning the graph whose asymptotic growth is linear with the number of edges rather than quadratic in the number of vertices. In fact, representing a graph by a connection matrix in the above case would result in spending more time in constructing the matrix than in testing the graph for planarity if it were represented by a list of edges. It is with this in mind that we present a structure for representing graphs in a computer and several algorithms for simple operations on the graph. These include dividing a graph into connected components, dividing a graph into biconnected components, and partitioning a graph into simple paths. The algorithm for division into connected components is well-known [6]. The other two algorithms are original. For a graph with $V$ vertices and $E$ edges, each algorithm requires time and space proportional to $\max (V, E)$.

Standard graph terminology will be used throughout this discussion. See for instance [2]. We assume that the graph is initially given as a list of pairs of vertices, each pair representing an edge of the graph. The order of the vertices is unimportant; that is, the graph is unordered. Labels may be attached to some or all of the vertices and edges.

Our model is that of a random-access computer with standard operations; accessing a number in storage requires unit time. We allow storage of numbers no larger than $k \max (V, E)$ where $k$ is some constant. (If the labels are large data items, we will assume that they are numbered with small integer codes and referred to by their codes; there are no more than $k \max (V, E)$ labels.) It is easy to see and may be proved rigorously that most interesting graph procedures require time at least proportional to $E$ when implemented on any reasonable model of a computer, if the input is a list of edges. This follows from the fact that each edge must be examined once.

It is very important to have an appropriate computer representation for graphs. Many researchers have described algorithms which use the matrix representation of a graph [1]. The time and space bounds for such algorithms generally are at least $V^{2}[3]$ which is not as small as possible if $E$ is small. (In planar graphs for instance, $E \leq 3 V-6$.) We use a list structure representation of a graph. For each vertex, a list of vertices to which it is adjacent is made. Note that two entries occur for each edge, one for each of its end points. A cross-link between these two entries is often useful. Note also that a directed graph may be represented in this fashion; if vertex $v_{2}$ is on the list of vertices adjacent to $v_{1}$, then $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is a directed edge of the graph. Vertex $v_{1}$ is called the tail, and vertex $v_{2}$ is called the head of the edge.

A directed representation of an undirected graph is a representation of this form in which each edge appears only once; the edges are directed according to some criterion such as the direction in which they are transversed during a search. Some version of this structure representation is used in all the algorithms.

One technique has proved to be of great value. That is the notion of search, moving from vertex to adjacent vertex in the graph in such a way that all the edges are covered. In particular depth first search is the basis of all the algorithms presented here. In this pattern of search, each time an edge to a new vertex is discovered, the search is continued from the new vertex and is not renewed at the old vertex until all edges from the new vertex are exhausted. The search process provides an orientation for each edge, in addition to generating information used in the particular algorithms.

## Detailed Description of the Algorithms

## Algorithm for Finding the Connected Components of a Graph

This algorithm finds the connected components of a graph by performing depth-first search on each connected component. Each new vertex reached is marked. When no more vertices can be reached along edges from marked vertices, a connected component has been found. An unmarked vertex is then selected, and the process is repeated until the entire graph is explored.

The details of the algorithm appear in the flowchart (Figure l). Since the algorithm is well-known, and since it forms a part of the algorithm for finding biconnected components, we omit proofs of its correctness and time bound. These proofs may be found as part of the proofs for the biconnected components algorithm. The algorithm requires space proportional to $\max (V, E)$ and time proportional to max $(V, E)$ where $V$ is the number of vertices and $E$ is the number of edges of the graph.

Figure 1: Flowchart for Connected Components Algorithm


This algorithm breaks a graph into its biconnected components by performing a depth-first search along the edges of the graph. Each new point reached is placed on a stack, and for each point a record is kept of the lowest point on the stack to which it is connected by a path of unstacked points. When a new point cannot be reached from the top of the stack, the top point is deleted, and the search is continued from the next point on the stack. If the top point does not connect to a point lower than the second point on the stack, then this second point is an articulation point of the graph. All edges examined during the search are placed on another stack, so that when an articulation point is found the edges of the corresponding biconnected component may be retrieved and placed in an output array.

When the stack is exhausted, a complete search of a connected component has been performed. If the graph is connected, the process is complete. Otherwise, an unreached node is selected as a new starting point and the process repeated until all of the graph has been examined. Isolated points are not listed as biconnected components, since they have no adjacent edges. They are merely skipped. The details of the algorithm are given in the flowchart (Figure 2). Note that this flowchart gives a nondeterministic algorithm, since any new edge may be selected in block A. The actual program is deterministic; the choice of an edge depends on the particular representation of the graph.

We will prove that the non-deterministic algorithm terminates on all simple graphs without loops, and we also derive a bound on the execution time. We will then prove the correctness of the algorithm, by induction on the number of edges in the graph. Note that the algorithm requires storage space proportional to $\max (V, E)$, where $V$ is the number of vertices and $E$ is the number of edges of the graph.

Let us consider applying the algorithm to a graph. Referring to the flowchart, every passage through the YES branch of block A causes an edge to be deleted from the graph. Each passage through the NO branch of block B causes a point to be deleted from the stack. Once a point is deleted from the stack it is never added to the stack again, since all adjacent edges have been examined. Each edge is deleted from the stack of edges once in block $C$. Thus the blocks directly below the YES branch of block A are executed at most $E$ times, those below the NO branch of block $B$ at most $V$ times, and the total time spent in block $C$ is proportional to E. Therefore there is some $k$ such that for all graphs the algorithm takes no more than $k \max (\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{E})$ steps. A more explicit time bound may be calculated by referring to the program.

Suppose the graph G contains no edges. By examining the flowchart we see that the algorithm, when applied to $G$, will terminate after examining each point once and listing no components. Thus the algorithm operates correctly in this case. Suppose the algorithm works correctly on all graphs with E-l or fewer edges. Consider applying the algorithm to a graph $G$ with $E$ edges. Since the stack of points becomes empty at least once during the operation of the algorithm, and since the YES branch at block $D$ must be taken when only two points are on the stack, every edge must not only be placed on the stack of edges but must be removed in block C. Consider the first time block C is reached when the algorithm is applied to graph G. Suppose not all the edges in the graph are removed from the stack of edges in this execution of block C. Then $p$, the second point on the stack, is an articulation point and separates the removed edges from the other edges in the graph.

Consider only the set of removed edges. If the algorithm is applied to the subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ made up of these edges, with $p$ used as the start point, then the steps taken are the same as those taken during the analysis of the edges of $G^{\prime}$ when the input is the entire graph. Since $G^{\prime}$ contains fewer edges than $G$, the algorithm operates correctly on $G^{\prime}$. $G^{\prime}$ must be biconnected, since otherwise block $C$ is reached before $G^{\prime}$ is completely examined, contrary to our assumption that block $C$ is reached for the first time only after all edges of $G^{\prime}$ are examined. If we delete the set of edges of $G$ from $G$, we get another graph $G^{\prime \prime}$ with fewer edges than $G$. The algorithm operates correctly on $G^{\prime \prime}$ by assumption. The behavior of the algorithm on $G$ is simply a composite of its behavior on $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$; thus the algorithm must operate correctly on G.

Now suppose that the first time block $C$ is reached, all the edges of $G$ are removed from the stack of edges. We want to show that in this case $G$ is biconnected. Suppose that $G$ is not biconnected. Then choose a biconnected component of $G$ which may be separated by removing some one point. Let the edges making up this component be subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$; let the remainder of $G$ be $G$ ". The algorithm operates correctly
on $G^{\prime}$ and on $G^{\prime \prime}$ by assumption. The behavior of the algorithm on $G$ is a camposite of its behavior on $G^{\prime}$ and on $G^{\prime \prime}$. But the algorithm reaches block $C$ once while processing $G^{\prime}$ and at least once while processing $G^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts the fact that the algorithm only reaches block $C$ once while processing $G$. Thus $G$ must be biconnected, and the algorithm operates correctly on $G$. By induction, the algorithm is correct for all simple graphs without loops.

Figure 2: Flowchart for Biconnected Components Algorithm


This algorithm may be used to partition a graph into simple paths, such that all the paths exhaust the edges of the graph. Each iteration of the algorithm produces a new path which contains no vertex twice, and which connects the chosen startpoint with some other vertex which already occurs in a path. Total running time is proportional to the number of edges in the graph. The starting point for each successive path may be selected arbitrarily. In fact, the initial edge of each successive path may be selected arbitrarily from the set of unused edges.

The algorithm is highly dependent on the graph being biconnected. (The biconnected components of a graph are found using the previously described algorithm.) In order to find a new path, the initial edge is selected and the head of the edge is checked. If this point has never been reached before, a depth-first search is begun which must end in a path since the graph is biconnected. The search generates a tree-like structure; specifically, it is a tree with extra edges connecting some nodes with their (not necessarily immediate) ancestors. (We will visualize the tree drawn so that the root, which is an ancestor of all points, is at the bottom of the tree.) Enough information is saved from this tree so that if a point in it is reached when building another path, the path may be completed without any further search.

The flowchart (Figs. 3 and 4) gives the details of the algorithm. It is divided into two parts; one for the depth-first search process and one for path construction using previously gathered information. We shall prove the correctness of the algorithm and give a time bound for its operation. To derive the time bound, we assume that one point is marked old initially, and a different point is selected as the initial startpoint. The algorithm is then run repeatedly with arbitrary startpoints until all edges are used to form paths.

Let us consider path generation using depth-first search; that is, suppose the algorithm is applied and that the head of the first edge selected is previously unreached. Referring to the flowchart, we see that the search process is very similar to that used in the biconnectivity algorithm. A search tree is generated, and each edge examined is either part of the tree or connects a point to one of its predecessors in the tree. LOWPOINT is exactly the same as in the biconnectivity algorithm; it gives the number of the lowest point in the tree reachable from a given point by continuing out along the tree and taking one edge back toward the root. The forward edges point along this path, while the backward edges point back along the tree branches. We have shown in the correctness proof of the biconnectivity algorithm that, if the graph is biconnected, LOWPOINT of a given point must point to a node which is an ancestor of the immediate predecessor of the given point. In particular, LOWPOINT of the second point in the search tree must indicate an old point which is not the startpoint. Therefore the algorithm will find a path containing the initial edge. Note that all points encountered during the search process must either be old or unreached, since every point reached in a previous search either has had all its edges examined or has been included in a path.

Let us now suppose that the head of the first edge has been reached previously but is not marked old. Then the forward and backward pointers, along with the LOWPOINT values, allow the algorithm to construct a path without further search. First, if the number of the head of the edge is less than the number of the startpoint, then following backward pointers will certainly produce a simple path, since the root of a search tree must be old and each successive point along a backward path has a lower number and thus is distinct from the other points in the path. If the initial edge is part of a search tree and the startpoint is the predecessor of the second point, then LOWPOINT of the second point must be less than the number of the startpoint. Following forward edges until reaching a point numbered lower than the startpoint and then following backward edges, will produce a simple path. This is true since the forward edges point through descendants of the tree, with the single exception of the edge whose head is a point below startpoint in the tree. The last case to consider occurs when the initial edge is not part of a search tree but points from a node to one of its descendants in a tree. In this case some node in the tree between the startpoint and the second point of the path must have a IOWPOINT value less than the number of the startpoint. If we follow backward edges until the first such point is reached, then follow forward edges until a point numbered less than the startpoint is reached, and finally follow backward edges until an old point is reached, we will generate a simple path. Note that the first forward edge taken cannot lead to the previous point, because if
it did the LOWPOINT value at the previous point would be less than the number of startpoint, and the forward edge from this point would have been chosen instead of the backward edge.

We thus see that each execution of the pathfinding algorithm produces a simple path, assuming that the algorithm is applied to a biconnected graph with at least one point which is not the first startpoint marked old initially. Since each edge is examined at most once in the search section of the algorithm, and since each edge is put into a path once, there is a constant $k$ such that the time required to execute the algorithm until no edges are unused is less than kE steps, where E is the number of edges in the graph. (Note that the number of vertices, $V$, is less than $E$.. if the graph is biconnected.) Detailed examination of the program will produce a more exact time bound.

Another algorithm for finding simple paths exists. Lempel, Even, and Cederbaum[5] have described an algorithm for numbering the vertices of a biconnected graph such that: (i) each number is an integer in the range 1 to $V$, where $V$ is the number of vertices on the graph; (ii) vertices $l$ and $V$ are joined by an edge; (iii) for all $1<i<V$, vertex $i$ is joined to at least two vertices, one with a higher number and one with a lower number. We may use this algorithm to partition a graph into simple paths.

Given a start point and an adjacent end point, number the vertices so that the start point is 1 , the endpoint is $V$, and the numbering satisfies the conditions above. Take edge ( $1, V$ ) as the first path. Given an arbitrary start point, find an edge to a higher numbered vertex. Continue to find edges to successively higher numbered vertices until an old vertex is reached. If no edge to a higher numbered vertex exists from the start vertex, select edges to successively lower numbered vertices until an old vertex is reached.

This algorithm is clearly correct and looks conceptionally simpler. However, Lempel, Even, and Cederbaum present no efficient implementation of their numbering algorithm, and the only efficient way we have found to implement it requires using the previously described pathfinding algorithm in a more complicated form. Thus the new algorithm requires time and space proportional to $\max (\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, but the constants of proportionality are larger than those for the implemented algorithm.



The algorithms for finding connected components, biconnected components, and simple paths were implemented in Algol using the Algol W compiler at Stanford University. Auxiliary subroutines were also implemented. Brief descriptions of the procedures are provided below.

ADD2(A, B, STACK, PTR) : This procedure adds value A followed by value $B$ to the top of stack STACK and increments the pointer to the top of the stack (PIR). Stacks are represented as arrays; the top of the stack is the highest filled location.

NEXILINK(POINT, VALUE): This procedure is used to build the structural representation of a graph. It adds VALIE to the list of vertices adjacent to POINT. (POINT, VALUE) is an edge (possibly directed) of the graph.

CONNECT (V, E, EPIR, EDGELIST,COMPONENTS): This procedure, given a graph with $V$ vertices and E edges, whose edges are listed in EDGELIST, computes the connected components of the graph and places the edges of the components in COMPONENTS. Each component is preceded by an entry containing the number of edges $\mathrm{E}^{\text {' }}$ of the component. The edges are oriented for output according to the direction in which they were searched (head first, tail second).

BICONNECT (V, E, EPTR, EDGELIST, BICOMPANENTS): This procedure, given a graph with $V$ vertices and E edges, whose edges are listed in EDGELIST, computes the biconnected components of the graph and places them in BICOMPONENIS. Each component is preceded by an entry containing the number of edges E" of the component. The edges are oriented for output according to the direction in which they were searched (head first, tail second).

PATHFINDER(STARTPT, PATHPT,CODEVALUE, PATH): This procedure, given a list structure representation of a biconnected graph with certain vertices marked as old, constructs a simple path from STARTPOINT to some old vertex, saving information to be used in constructing succeeding paths. The new path is stored in array PATH. Calling PATHFTNDER repeatedly may be used to partition the graph into simple paths.

Further comments may be found in the program listings, which follow.

```
PROCFCURE ACD2(INTEGER VALUE A,B;INTEGER ARRAY STACK(*);
    INTEGER VALUE RESULT PTRI;
BEGIN
    COMMENT ****************************************************
    * PRDCEDURE TO ADC VALLES A. R TO STACK "STACK" AND
    * INCREASE STACK POINTER nPTRN BY 2.
```



```
    PTR:=PTR+2;
    STACK(PTR-1):=A:
    STACK(PTR):=B
    END;
```

```
PROCEDURE NEXTLIAK(INTEGER VALUF PCINT,VAL);
```

PROCEDURE NEXTLIAK(INTEGER VALUF PCINT,VAL);
BEGIN
BEGIN
CCMMENT *******************\#*********************************
CCMMENT *******************\#*********************************
* PROCEDURET OADCDIRECTEDE D G E(POINT,VAL)TC
* PROCEDURET OADCDIRECTEDE D G E(POINT,VAL)TC
* STRUCTURALRFPRESENTATIONOF A GPAPY.
* STRUCTURALRFPRESENTATIONOF A GPAPY.
\#
\#
* GLOBAL VARIABLES:
* GLOBAL VARIABLES:
* HEAD(V+1::V+2*E),NEXT(1::V +2*E): STRUCTURAL
* HEAD(V+1::V+2*E),NEXT(1::V +2*E): STRUCTURAL
* REPRESENTATION OFT H EGRAPH.
* REPRESENTATION OFT H EGRAPH.
* FREENEXT: CUPRENTLAST ENTRY IN NEXTARRAY.

```
        * FREENEXT: CUPRENTLAST ENTRY IN NEXTARRAY.
```




```
        FREENEXT:=FREENFXT+1;
```

        FREENEXT:=FREENFXT+1;
        NEXT(FREFNEXT):=NEXT(FCINT);
        NEXT(FREFNEXT):=NEXT(FCINT);
        NEXT(POINT):=FREENEXT;
        NEXT(POINT):=FREENEXT;
        HEA[(FREENEXT):=VAL
        HEA[(FREENEXT):=VAL
    ENO;
    ```
    ENO;
```

```
INTEGER PROCEDURE MIN(INTEGFR VALUE A,B);
    CCMMENT ******************************************************
    * PROCEDURE TO CCMPLTE THE MINIMUM OF TWO INTEGERS.
    ##*********************###***********************************;
    IF A<B THEN A ELSE B;
```

```
PROCEDURECONNECT(INTEGERVALUEV,E;INTEGER RESULT CPTR;
    INTEGER ARRAY EDGELIST,COMPONENTS(*I);
    BEGIN
    COMMENT *******************************************************
    * PROCEDURE TOFINCTHE CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF A
    * GRAPH.
    *
    * PARAMET ERS:
* v,E: I^fltNumeEROFVERTICESANDEDGES Of THE
* GRAPH.
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
* GLOBAL VARIABLES:
*
*
*
*
*
* lCCALVARIAELES:
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
* GLOBAL PRCCECURES:
* ADD2,NEXTLINK.
*
* a RECURSIVEDEPTH-FIRSTSEAR Ch proC E Du rE Is USEDt o
* EXAMINECCNNECTEDCOMPCNENTSOFTHE GRAPH.
****************************************************************;
INTEGER ARRAY NUNBER(1::V+1);
INTEGER CODE,PCINT,V2,CLDPTR;
PROCECURE CONNECTOR(INTEGER VALUE POINT, OLDPT);
COMMENT *****************************************************
* RECURSIVE PROCEDURE TO FINDACONNECTEDCOMPONENT,
* USING DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH.
*
* PARAMETERS:
* POINT:StartPOINT OFSEARCH
*
*
* Global variables:
* SEE CONNECt FOR DESCRIPTION.
*
* GLOBALPROCECURES:
* ADC2.
```


## EEGIN

## 

 * V2 IS heAC OF EDGE. DELETE EDGE FROM * STRUCTURAL REPRESENTATION.
V2: = HEAD (NEXT (PCINT));
NEXT(PCINT):=NEXT(NEXT(POINT)):


* HAS THE ECGE BEEN SEARCHED IN THE OTHER * DIRECTICA? IF SC. LOOK FCR ANCTHER ECGE.
 IF (NUNBER(V2)<NUMBER(PCINT))AND(V2-=OLDPT) THEN BEGIN
 * ADC EDGE TO COMPONENTS.
 ADD2 (POINT, V2, COMFCNENTS, CPTR);
 * HAS A NEW PCINT BEEN FOUND?
 IF NUMBER(V2)=0 THEN - EEGIA
 * NEW PIINT FOUND. NUMBER IT. ********************************************; NUMBER (V2):=CODE: =CODE +1 ;
 * INITIATE A DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH FRCM THE * NEW POINT.
 CONNECTOR (V2,POINT);
END
END:
ENC:

* CONSTRUCT THE STRUCTURAL REPRESENTATICN OF THE
* GRAPH.

FREENEXT: = V;
FCR I: $=1$ UNTIL V CC NEXT (I): $=0$;
FOR I:=1 UNTIL E DO
PEGIN

* EACH EDGE CCCURS TWICE, ONCE FOR EACH
* ENDPCINT.
************************************************;
NEXTLINK (EDGELIST(2*I-1), EDGELIST(2*I));
NEXTLIAK(EDGELIST(2*I), ECGELIST(2*I-1));
ENC:

* INITIALIZE VARIABLES FOR SEARCH.

CPTR: $=0$;
PCIAT: =1;
FOR I:=1 UNTIL $V+1$ DC NUMBER(I):=0;
WHILE POINT $<=V$ DO
REGIN

```
                                    COMMENT **********************************************
* EACH EXECUTION CF CONNECTOR SEARCHES A
* CCNNECTED CCMPCNENT. AFTER EACH SEARCH,
* FINCA h UNNumberEDVERTEX AND SEARCHAGAIN.
* REPEAT UNTIL ALL VERTICES ARE INVESTIGATED
****************************************************;
    NUMBER(PCINT):=CCDE:=1;
    OLDPTR:=CPTR:=CPTR+1;
    CCNNECTCR(POINT,O);
    CCMMENT *********************************************
    * COMPUTENLMBER OF EDGES Of COMPONENT
    *****************************************************;
    COMPONENTS(OLDPTR):=(CPTR-OLDPTRIDIV 2 ;
    WHILENUMBER(PCINT)}=0\mathrm{ D OPOINT:=POINT+1;
END
```

END;

```
PROCEDURE BICONNECTIINTEGER VALUE V,E;INTEGERRESULT RPTR;
    INTEGER ARRAYEDGELIST,BICCMPONENTS(*)) ;
    BEGIN
        CCMMENT **************************************************
    * PROCEDURE TOFINDTHE BICONNECTED COMPONENTS OF A
    * GRAPH.
    *
    * Parameters:
    *
    *
    * EDGELIST(1::2*E): INPUT LISTOFEDGES OFGRAPH.
    * BICGMPCNENTS(1::3*E): OUTPUT LIST OF EDGES OF
    * COMPONENTS fOUND. EACHCOMPONENTIS PRECEDED BY
                A NENTRYGIVINGTHENUMBERDFEDGES OFTHE
                COMPONENT.
            BPTR: OUTPUTPOINTERTOLAST ENTRY OFBICCMPONENTS.
    GLobal variables:
        HEAD (V+1: : V+2*E), NEXT(1::V+2*E):STRUCTURALREPRE-
                SENTATIONOF THE GRAPH (UNDIRECTED, N OCROSS-
                LINKS),
            FREENEXT: CAST ENTRY IN NEXT ARRAY.
            LCCAL VARIABLES:
            NUMBER(1:: \(V+1):\) ARRAY FCR NUMBERING THE VERTICES
                DURING DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH.
            CCDE: CURRENTHIGHESTVERTEXNUMBER.
            EDGESTACK(1::2*E): STORAGEFORLIST OF EDGES
            EXAMINEO CURING SEARCH.
            EPTR: POINTER TO LAST ENTRY IN EDGESTACK.
            POINT: CURRENT POINT 8EING EXAMINED WRING SEARCH.
            V2: NEXT PC? NT T O BE EXAMINED DURING SEARCH.
            NEWLOWPT:LOWPOINTFCRBICONNECTEDPART OF GRAPH
        ABOVE.ANCINCLUDING V2.
```

* GLOBAL PROCECURES:

MIN, ACC2, NEXTLINK.
A RECURSIVECEPTH-FIRST SEARCH PROCEDUREIS LSEDTO

INTEGERARRAYNUMBER(1::V+1);
INTEGER ARRAY EDGESTACK(1: : 2*E);
INTEGER CODE, EPTR, POINT, V2,NEWLOWPT:OLDPTR;
PROCEDURE BICCNAECTORIINTEGER VALUE QESUCTPOINT, CLDPT, LOWPO INTI:


* RECURSIVEPROCEDURETO SEARCH A CONNECTED COMPONENT
* ANDFINDITSBICDNNECTEDCQMPONENTS USING DEPTH-
* FIRST SEARCH.
* PARAMETERS:

POINT: STARTPOINT CF SEARCH, UNCHANGED CURING EXECUTION.
CLDFT: PFEVICUS STARTPOINT, UNCHANGEDDURING EXECUTION.
LOWPGINT: OUTPUT OF LOWEST POINT REACHABLE ON A PATH FCUNDDURING SEARCH FORWARD.

GLOBALVARIAELES:
S E F BICONNECTFORDESCRIPTION.
GLDBAL PROCECURES:
MIN, ADC2.
EXAMINE EACHEDGEGUT CFPCINT.

WHILENEXT(PCINTI>OC O

## BEGIN



* V2IS HEACDF THE EDGE. DELETE EDGE FROM
* STRUCTURAL REPRESENTATION.

V2:=HEAC(NEXT(PCINT));
NEXT (POINT): =NEXT(NEXT(POINT));

* HAS. THEEDGEBEEN SEARCHEDIN THECTHER
* CIRECTION? IF SO, LOOKFOR ANOTHER EDGE.
****************************************************;
I F (NUMBER(V2) <NUMBER(POINT))AND(V2•=OLDPT)THEN BEGI h
 * $\operatorname{ADCECGETO}$ EDGESTACK.
 ADD2(POINT, V2,EDGESTACK,EPTR);

* HAS A NEW POINT BEEN FOUND?


IF NUMRER(V2) $=0$ THEN BEGIN

CCMMENT *************************************

* NEWPOINT FOUND. NUMBER IT.
*******************************************;
NUMBER(V2):=CODE:=CODE+1;
CCMMENT ************************************* * Initiatea depth-first search from the * NEW POINT.
********************************************;
NEWLCWPT: $=V+1$;
BICONNECTOR(V2,POINT, NE WLOWPT);
CCMMENT *************************************
* NOTETHAT ALTHOUGH GLOBALVARIABLEV2
* I SCHANGEC, ITS VALUE IS RESTCRFD UPON * EXIT FROM THIS PROCEDURE. RECALCULATE * LOWPOINT
********************************************;
LOWPOINT: = MIN(LOWPOINT, NE WLOWPT);
CCNMENT *************************************
* IS POINT AN ARTICULATION POINT DFTHE * GRAPH?
********************************************;
If NEWLOWPT)=NUMBER(POINT)THEN BEGIA

CCMMENT *********************************

* POINTISAN ARTICUCATICN POINT. * OUTPUT EDGES OF COMPONENT FROM * EDGESTACK.
****************************************; OLDPTR:=BPTR:=BPTR+1;
WHILENUMBER(EDGESTACK(EPTR-1)I) NUMBER (PCINT) DC
BEGIN
ADC2(EDGESTACK(EPTR-1), EDGESTACK
(EPTR), BICOMPONENTS, EPTR);
EPTR:=EPTR-2
EAD:
COMMENT ********************************* * ADD LAST EDGE.
****************************************; ADD2(POINT,V2,BICOMPONENTS,BPTR1; EPTR:=EPTR-2; COMMENT ********************************* * COMPUTE NUMBER OF EDGES OF * COMFCNENT. ****************************************; BICCMPONENTS(OLDPTR): $=(B P T R-O L D P T R I D I V 2 ;$ END


## END

ELSE
COMMENT ***************************************

* NEW POINT NOT FOUND. RECALCULATE LOWPOINT. **********************************************; LOWPOINT:=MIN(LOWPCINT, NUMBER(V2)
END
END;
CIMMENT *************************************************** * CONSTRUCT THE STRUCTURAL REPRESENTATION Of THE GRAPH. *********************************************************;


## FREENEXT: =V;

FGRI: = 1 UNTIL V DCNEXT(I):=O;
FOR I: = 1 UNTILED C
BEGIN
 * EACFECGECCCIJRSTWICE, ONCEFOREACHENDPOINT
 NEXTLINK (EDGELIST(2*I-1), EDGELIST(2*I)); NEXTLINK (EDGFLIST (2*I), EDGELIST(2*I-1))

## ENC:



* INITIALIZE VARIABLES FCR SEARCH,

EPTR: = 0;
BPTR: $=0$;
PCINT:=1;
V2:=0;
FCP $I:=1 \cup N T$ I LV+1 DONUMBER(I):=0;
WHI LE POINTく=V IC
BEGIN
 4 EACHEXECUTIONOfBICCNNECTORSEARCHES A * CONAECTECCOMPONENT OF THE GRAPH. Af TER EACH * SEARCH, FIND4N UNAUMBEREDVERTEX ANG SEARCH * AGAIN. RFPEATUNTIL ALLVERICESA R EEXAMINED.

NUMBER(POINT):=CCDE:=1;
NEWLOWPT: $=V+1$;
EICONNECTOR (PCINT, V2, NEWLOWPT):
WHILE NUMBER(POINTI $=0$ DO POINT: $=$ POINT +1
END;
END;

```
PROCEDUREPATHFINDER(INTEGERVALUE RESULTSTARTPCINT,
    PATHPT,CCDEVALUE; INTEGER ARRAY PATH(*)।;
    BEGIN
        COMMENT ********************************************
    * PROCECURE TO FINDDISJOINT PATTHS WITH
    * ARBITRARY STARTING POINTS IN A BICONNECTED
    * GRAPH. THEPCINTSOF EACH PATH ARE LISTED
    * IN ARRAY "PATH". T H E FOLLOWINGVARIABLESAR E
    * ASSUMEDGLOBAL:
    * NEXT(1::V+2*E),HEAD(V+1,V+2*E),
    * LI\LambdaK(V+I,V+2*EICEFINETHE GRAPH USING SINGLY
    * LINKED EDGELISTSAND A SET OF CRCSS REFERENCE
    * PCINTERS.
    * CLD(1::V),MARK(V+1,V+2*E) INDICATE USED
    * PCINTSAND EDGES.
    * FATHCCOE(1::VIIS THE CONSECUTIVE NUMMBERING
    * OF THE POINTS.
    * LOWPCINT(1::V),FCRWARD(1::V),BACK(1: :V) GIVE
    * INFORNATICN SAVED FRON DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH.
    4 NODE(l::V) GIVES THE NEXT UNSEARCHED EDGE
    * FRCMEACH POINT.
```



```
    INTEGERPCINT, PASTEOGE, EDGE, PASTPOINT, V2;
    PATH(1):= STARTPOINT;
    COMMENT ************************************************
    * CHOOSEINITIALEDGE.
    *******************************************************;
    EDGE:= NEXT(STARTPOINT);
    W HILE(ECGEन=OIANDMARK(EDGE)D OEDGE:=NEXT(EDGE);
    | FEDCE=O THEN
        BEGIN
            COMMENT *******************************************
            * NCUNUSED ECGEANDTHUSNOPATH EXISTS.
            #***********************************************;
            NEXT(STARTPOINT):=0;
            PATHPT:=0
            GC TO DCNE
        ENC;
    NEXT(STARTPCINT):=NEXT(ECGE);
    PATH(2):= EDGE;
    PCINT:= HEAC(ELCE);
    PATHPT:=2;
    IFOLC(POINTITHENGO TO PATHFOUND;
    IFFORWARC(PCINT)->=OTHEN
        BEGIN
            COMMENT *******************************************
                * USEPREVICUSLYFOUND INFORMATICN TO
                * BUILDA PATH. FORWARD,BACK,LOWPCINT
                * DESCRIBE TREES INVESTIGATED USING DEPTH.
            * FIRST SEARCH.
            ************************************************;
            I F PATHCCDE(STARTPOINT)>PATHCCDE(PCINT)T HEN
                G OTO NEXTBACK;
NEXTNARK:
                FPATHCODE(STARTPOINT) >LOWPOINT(POINT)
                THEN
                BEGIN
NEXTFCRWARD:
NEXTEACK:
                EDGE:= FCRWARD(POINT);
                                    PCINT:=HEAD(EDGE);
                                    PATHPT:= PATHPT+1;
                                    PATH(PATHPT):=EDGE;
                                    IFCLD(PCINT)THEN GO TO PATHFCUND;
                                    I FPATHCODE(STARTPOINT)>PATHCODE(POINT)
                                    THEN GC TO NEXTBACK;
                                    GO TO NEXTFORWARD
                ENC;
                EDGE:= BACK(POINT);
                POINT:= FEAC(ECGE);
                PATHPT:=PATHPT+1;
                PATH(PATHPT):= EDGE:
                IFCLC(PCINT)THEN GO TOPATHFOUNOELSE GO TO
                    NEXTMARK ;
                    EDGE:=BACK(POINT);
                    PCINT:=HEAC(ECGE);
                    PATHPT:= PATHPT+1;
                    PATH(PATHPT):= EDGE;
                    IFCLD(PCINT) THEN GO TO PATHFOUND ELSE
                        GO TONEXTBACK
        END:
            COMMENT ********************************************
* USECEPTH-FIRSTSEARCHTO FIND A PATH. SAVE
* INFORMATION DESCRIBING SEARCH TREE.
******************************************************;
```

```
h ExTPOINT: CODEVALUE:= COCEVALUE+1;
    PATHCCCE(P CINT): = CONEVALUE;
NEXTFDGF: EDGE:= NCDE(POINT);
    WHILE EDGE=0 CD
    BEGIN'
            BACK(POINT):=LINK(PATH(PATHPT));
            FISTPOINT:= HEAD(BACK(PUINT));
            IF(FCRWARD(PASTPCINT)=0)O R(LOWPOINT
                                    (PCINT)<LOOWPOINT(PASTPOINT)) THEN
                BECIN
                                    FORWARD(PASTPOINT):= PATH(PATHPT);
                                    LCWPOINT(PASTPOINT):=LOWPOINT(POINT)
                END;
            P\capINT:= PASTPOINT;
            FATHPT:= PATHPT-1;
            EDGE:= NODE(POINT)
        ENC;
    NODE(POINT):= NEXT(EDGE);
    V2:= FEAC(EDGE);
    I F PATHCOCEI V 2I=OTHEN
        REGIN
            POINT:= V2;
            FATHFT:= PATHPT+1;
            PATH(PATHPT):=EDGE;
            GOT O NEXTPCINT
        END:
    I FOLC(V2)AND(V2r=STARTPOINT)T H E N
    BEGIN
            PATHPT:= PATHPT+1;
            PATH(FATHPT):= EDGE;
            GO TO PATHFCUND
        END;
        IF(FORWARC(FCINT)=0)OR(PATHCODE(V2)<LOWPOINT
            (PCINT) ) THEN
        HEG IN
            FCRWARD(FCINT):= E D G E;
            LOWPOINT(POINT):=PATHCODE(V2)
        END;
G O TONEXTEDGE;
COMMENT ***********************************************
* PATH FCUND. CCNVERTSTACK OFEDGESTCLIST
* GF POINTSINPATH. MARKALLEDGES ANC
* FCINTS IN PaTH.
```



```
PATHFOUND: FDRI: = 2 UNTIL PATHPT GO
    BEGIN
            EDGE: = PATH(I);
            PCINT:= FEAC(ECCE):
            FCRWARD(POINT):= BACK(POINT):=0;
            CLC(PCINT):= TRUE;
            MARK(LIAK(EDGE)):=MARK(EDGE):=TRUE;
            PATHIII:= POINT
        END;
DCNE: END;
```
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