THE STATE OF THE ART OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

by

D. E. Knuth

STAN-CS-76-551 JUNE 1976

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT School of Humanities and Sciences STANFORD UNIVERSITY



Donald E. Knuth Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

This report lists all corrections and changes to volumes 1 and 3 of The Art of Computer Programming, as of May 14, 1976. The changes apply to the most recent printings of both volumes (February and March, 1975); if you have an earlier printing there have been many other changes not indicated here. Volume 2 has been completely rewritten and its second edition will be published early in 1977. For a summary of the changes made to volume 2, see SIGSAM Bulletin 9, 4 (November 1975), p. 10f -- the changes are too numerous to list except in the forthcoming book itself.

On any given day the author likes to feel that the last bug has finally disappeared, yet it appears likely that further amendments will be made as time goes by. Therefore a family of computer programs has been written to maintain a collection of errata, in the form printed here, but encoded as an ad-hoc sequence of ASCII characters. The author wishes to thank Juan Ludlow-Saldivar for the enormous amount of help he provided in order to get this system rolling. (Some readers who have access to the Stanford A.I.-Lab computer may wish to consult the change file before they report a "new" error; the file name is ACP.MAS [ART,DEK]. Entries for page nnn of volume k begin with β kOlnnn (but change the 01 to 00 if nnn is the Arabic equivalent of a Roman numeral); since " β " is the control character " \uparrow C", you may rather search for simply the string "kOlnnn". The text of the correction usually includes special codes following the symbol "|", for things like font changes, etc.)

The author thanks all the bounty hunters who have reported difficulties they spotted. The reward to first finder of each error is still \$1 for the first edition and \$2 for the second, gratefully paid. Volume 4 remains rather far from completion, so there is plenty of time to work all the exercises in volumes 1 - 3 and to catch all the remaining errors therein.

This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant MCS 72-03752 A03 and by the Office of Naval Research contract NOO014-76-C-0330. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

			1
•			

The Art of Computer Programming Rurata et Addenda May 14 1976

LXVU line 5
orcing himself - being encouraged
LIXUX line 10 2
nswer 🚧 answers
new quote for bottom of page
We can face our problem. We can arrange such facts as we have with order and method. HERCULE POIROT, in Murder on the Orient Express (1934)
1.4 line 23 4 0.
1.26 line 3 5 Prove 16 → prove that A6
$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$
U.19 lines -3 and -2 7 $T \leq 3n_0, \text{ where } n_0 \text{ is the original value of } n, \longrightarrow T \leq n,$

1,26 ex 25

delete step L5 and move the 1 to the end of step L4

1.26 ex 25, change step L3 to:

9

L3. [Shift.] If $x-z \le 1$, set $z \leftarrow z$ shifted right 1, $k \leftarrow k+1$, and repeat this step.

1.26 line 15, new sentence

10

hardware. Ahardware. The idea goes hack in essence to Henry Rriggs, who used it (in decimal rather than binary farm) to compute logarithm tables, published in 1624.

1.27 line 23

11

cxample, cxample --

1.56 exercise 40

12

. a period (.) should appear after the displayed equation

1,44 line 2 two changes

13

(i) the (q/p) and (p/q) don't match each other. (ii) the first two lines of p44 should ho moved hack to p43, otherwise the reader will think exercise 47 is complete without turning the page.

1.46 line 20

14

 $1/12n \rightsquigarrow 1/(12n)$

1.50 ex 15

15

put spaces in the first matrix, i.e.

def ~ de f

ghi ~ g h i

1.52 line 7 after Table 1	16
Shih-chich Shih-Chich	
1.56 left side of eq. (17)	17
move the k a little left, to center it	
1.58 line 7 after (26)	18
Shih-chich	
1,58 line 8 after (26)	19
the holdface 3 appears to be in wrong font (too small)	
1.71 14 places	20
change B to B (Roman type) in the notation for Beta function, namely in line 3 (twice), line 4 (thrice), line 5 (twice), line 7, line 10 (twice), line 12, line 15.	e 1, line 2, linc
1.71 exercise 47	21
in displayed formula: change upper indices Cram $n, n+1/2, 2n+1, 2n+1-k$ to k respectively line 3: n 1. $r = -1/2$.	r, r-1/2, 2r, 2r-
1.78 lines -3 and -2	22
before the Renaissance. 🚧 during the Middle Ages.	
LEU line 2	23
1963-) • 1963-),	
1.90 between (23) and (24)	24
series 🔷 series (cf. (17))	

1.90 insert new sentence just after (26): 25 See D. A. Zave, Inf. Proc. Letters 6 (1976), to appear, for a further generalization. 1 50 replace (25) by new equation (25): 26 $(1/(1-z)^{m+1}) \ln (1/(1-z)) \cdot \sum_{k>0} (II_{m+k}-II_m) (m+k) z^k, m \ge \infty$ 1,95 lines 4-8 27 move the copy for each step to the left next to the step numbers (standard format, see e.g. Algorithm E on p2) 1.98 line -4 28 $\Sigma \leadsto \Sigma_k$ 1,101 lines 3 and 4 after Fig. 11 29 x; that \sim x — that values, we ✓ values — we 1,102 line 5 30 distribution, the distribution, we can improve significantly on Chebyshev's inequality: The -1,111 line after (13) 31 $f^{(2k+1)}(x)$ tends $f^{(2k+1)}(x)$ and $f^{(2k+3)}(x)$ tend 1215U line 11 32

1.155 line 20

records > blocks

C C (Roman, not italics)

1,155 line 5 (two places)	3 4
record 🚧 block	
1.15៤ row 5 column 4 of the table	3 s
1+T \longrightarrow 1+T	
1.199 Fig. 14 in both steps P7 and P6	3 6
PRIME[K] PRIME[K]	
1.144 line 4	37
fix broken type in the [Of PRIME[M]	
1.150 line 9	3 8
delete the exclamation point (!)	
1.152 ex 3, first line of program	3 9
$X+1 \rightsquigarrow X+1 (0)$	
1.157 last line of e × 18	4 0
assume assume that	
1,164 line 5	41
insert more space after the period, this line's too narrow	
1.171 line no. 21 of the program	4 2
PERM+1 • PERM+1,	

1,180 line 8	4 3
itself " itself."	
1.120 top of page	44
t he "1" is hroken in "1.3.3"	
1.218 line 14	45
the 0 is hroken	
1.226 line 16	46
O. J . •• OJ	
1.227 line -10	47
print) print),	
1.257 Fig. 3(a)	,48
delete the funny little box which appears between "third from top" and "fourthf	rom top"
1.238 just after (1)	49
remove black speck	
1.239 lines -3 and -2	5 0
delete the sentence "Is there obtainable?"	
1.295 bottom line	51
TORANTOR (twice)	

```
1,244 line 3
                                                                        52
< L < 🖴
                < L <
1,245
           after step names G1 and G2
                                                                        53
brokent ypo [ for [
1.298 line -1
                                                                        5 4
BASE, BASE+1, BASE+2, ABASE+1, BASE+2, BASE+3,
1,255
         in ( 10)
                                                                        55
move the heavy har to the right so that it is aligned vertically with the heavy bar in (11)
1.269
          comment for line 18 of the program
                                                                        56
T3 ~~ T4
          new paragraph before the exercises
                                                                        57
  In spite of the fact that Algorithm T is so efficient, we will see an oven better
algorithm for topological sorting in Section 7.4.
1.275
          changes to Program A
                                                                        58
line 04: 6 H ~ 1H
line 05: becomes line 06
line 06; becomes line 07
line 07: becomes line 05, and delete the "1H" and change x ^ 1+m ~
line 12: becomes the following two lines
  12
       LO2
                  1:3(LINK)
                                                  Q-LINK (Q1).
  13
       JMP
                   2B
                                                  Rcpcat.
lines 13-X become lines 14-36
```

1.276 line -1	59
b^3 . \leadsto b^3-1 .	
1,276 line -4	60
exceed $b ext{ } ext{\sim} ext{ } ext{exceed } h - 1$	
1,276 line 12	61
29 ~~~ 27 (twice)	
1.200 Table 1, left column	62
the line for time 0200 is out of place, it belongs just before the line for time 0256	
1,286 Fig. 12	63
the shading in this figure mysteriously disappeared from the 3 rd $$ column of node second edition. (First edition was 0 K!)	s, in the
1.297 line 7	64
2419200 ~ 2,419,200	
1.255 two lines before (11)	65
is the lowest value rothe bottom-most value	
1.504 exercise 20 line 3	66
[L,1]A (L,7)A	

וויים new exercise	6 /
21. [20] Suggest a storage allocation function for $n \times n$ matrices where n is elements A [1, J] for $1 \le 1, J \le n$ should occupy n^2 consecutive locations, revalue of n .	
1.522 tree illustration near bottom of page	6 8
tha number "(9)" must be inserted at the right of this diagram	
1,525 line -13	6 9
P*	
1,555 between (2) and (3)	7 (
tilt the diagram 45° and we have 🖴 tilt the diagram and bend it slightly, obtaining	
1,256 Fig. (7)	7.
the photographer has lined up the two parts of this figure improperly in tleft-hand half of the illustration should he lowered so that the trees are bottom this means that corresponding letters will ho on the same line in right parts of the illustration	flush at the
1.88 6 line -9	7.2
of (7) of the left-hand tree in (7)	
1,599 line 16	73
node to node with	
1.555 line 9	74
only upward links are sufficient wu upward links are sufficient by themse	clves

-		1,557 in (17)	75
		delete "." outside the boxes (far consistency in style)	
		1,260 exercise 1 1	76
	\$	change script & to italic & in five places (lines 5,6,6,23,25)	
	ct.	1,265 Theorem A part (a)	77
		; ~ .	
		1.275 line 4	78
		remove hairline hetween "fin" and "("	
		1,255 line - 3	79
		orit o r	
		1,296 line -2	8 0
		Exercise exercise	
		1,405 exercise 12	81
	•	Suppose (20) Suppose	
		1.406 line -14	8 2
	1	partic lar 🚧 particular	
÷	1	1,427 line -4	8 3
		3.). ~ 3).	

1,429 Fig. 40	84
the shape of the box containing B6, should have rounded sides (like that of B2); on other hand, the box that says "Error" should be rectangular	the
1,445 line -5	8 5
this displayed line should be raid half a space so that it is separated from line -4 hy same amount as it is separated Cram lino -6	the
1,557 lines 4,6,7	86
audition condition emergencies emergencies. hence Hence	
១, មុខ១ line -7	8 7
t w o level	
1.455 exercise 39 line 3	8 8
$N(n,m) \sim N(n,m)/n$	
1,457 line 18	8 9
2 ~ 2,2	
1,465 first line of quote	90
me that ӎ me that	

1,465 exercise 3	91
line 3: let r be $ ightharpoonup let m b cline 4: If r \cdot 0, ightharpoonup If m = 0,line 5: n/r ightharpoonup n/mr and let m ho ightharpoonup m and let n halines 6 and 7 (steps F4 and F5) deletedline 8: F6. ightharpoonup F4,$	
1,468 better answer to exercise 3	92
31/27, hut the text hasn't defined it.	
1,468 exercise 13	93
first sentence should become: Add " $T \le 3(n-d)+k$ " to assertions A3, A4, AS, A6, where k takes the respect, 3,3,1.	tive values
1,468 line 16	94
elements a a n d $b extstyle extstyle extstyle elements, a \leq b,$	
ป.นีวัย exercise 3	95
the value 3 in two n^2 . $n^2 = 3$ occurs for no n , and in the second poccurs for two n .	lace n ² = 4
- 1,470 line 1 0	96
388; v. S. Linskii, Zh. Vych. Mot. i Mat. Fit. 2 (1957), 90-119.	
บ.ชวับ new answer replacing answer 10	97
9.10. No, the applications of rule (d) assume that $n \ge 0$. (The result is correbut the derivation isn't,)	ct for n = - 1

1.478 exercise 41 line 4 98 1/4 \rightarrow I / R (twice) **1.485** exercise 31 99 W c have \(\square\) [This sum was first obtained in closed form by J. F. Pfaff, Nova acta mad. scient. Petr. 11 (1797), 38-57.] Wo have 1.466 and extending to page 487 100 change B to B (Roman type) in the solutions to exercises 40, 41 (twice), 42, 48 (twice). 1.49U exercise 14 101 n+4 ~ n+1 મુ તારેતા exercise 10 line 2 102 $(25) \rightsquigarrow (17)$ 1,464 exercise 15 103 line 1: $zG_{n-2}(z)$, $\sim zG_{n-2}(z)+\delta_{n0}$, line 3 (the displayed formula): delete the period, then add a new line: when $z \neq -1/4$; $G_n(-1/4)$. $(n+1)/2^n$ for $n \geq 0$. 1,498 bottom of page, a new answer to exercise 1.2.11.2-3: JO4

3. $|R_{2k}| \le |B_{2k}|/(2k)!| \int_1^n |f^{(2k)}(x)| dx$. [C. H. Reinsch observes that $R_{2k} = \int_1^n (B_{2k+2} - B_{2k+2}(\{x\})) |f^{(2k+2)}(x) dx/(2k+2)!$, and that $B_{2k+2} - B_{2k+2}(\{x\})$ always lies between 0 and (2-2^{-2k-1}) B_{2k+2} . Therefore if $f^{(2k+1)}(x)$ but not $f^{(2k+3)}(x)$ tends monotonically to zero, (13) still holds for some θ with $0 < \theta < 2 - 2^{-2k-1}$.]

105 $O(n^{-3}) \rightsquigarrow O(n^{-3})$

1,502 exercise 1 4	106
line 3: MOVE MOVE line 4: JSJ*+1	
1.502 exercise 17(b)	107
(Using assembly section.) \(\shi \) (A slightly faster, but quite preposterous, program uses 993 STZ's: JMF 1,2; STZ 22, 2;; STZ 993.2; J2N 3999: DEC2 993; J2NN: 0,2; JMP 3000,1.)	9 3995; STZ 3 0 0 1 ; ENN1
1.502 exercise 18 add new sentence:	108
(Unless the program itself appears in localions 0000-0015.)	
1,EUZ exercise 20	109
Fukuoka)	
1.502 exercise 16 line 1	110
(49): (49);	
1,500 new line just before answer no. 23:	111
Far small byte size, the entries ±613 would not appear.	
1.506 exercise 6 line 3	112
$\sqrt{n} \rightsquigarrow \sqrt{N}$	
1,517 line -13	113
c.g. the \rightsquigarrow c.g., the	

1.519 exercise 22(d)	114
Since the a's are independently chosen, the * The	
1.520 exercise 23	115
line 1: $\int_0^1 \dots (1 \text{ n } t) \cdot \sim \int_0^\infty \exp(-t - E_1(t)) dt$, where $E_1(x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} dt/t$. line 4: In $n / e^{\gamma} \sim e^{-\gamma} \ln n$ line 6: 8310; \sim 8 3 1 0 0 83724 41796+ [Math. Comp. 2 2 (1968), 411-415];	
1,520 line 6	116
dev $\sqrt{1/m}$). \longrightarrow dev $\sqrt{1/m}$), when $n \ge 2m$.	
1,529 line 5	117
process would loop indefinitely; algorithm breaks dawn (possibly refers to huffer while I/O is in progress);	
1.555 exercise 9	118
in reverse, we can get the inverse	
1.559 exercise 12	119
$0 < \alpha < 1 \implies \alpha < 1$	
១.5១ម line 12	120
$r_2(z) \sim r_2(z)x$	
2.555 exercise 4(ii) should have the following answer instead:	121
(ii) LDA X,7:7(0:2).	

1,591 new answer	122
13. D. J. Kleitman has shown that $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n} \log f(n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n} \log \Pi_{0\leq n}$ [To appear.]	$k \leq n \binom{n}{k}!$.
1,542 line -5	123
COUNT	
1,543 and also page 544, answer to exercise 24	124
replace lines 85-87 of the MI x program by ST6 x, 1 (QLINK) QLI NK[rI1] $\leftarrow k$. Then renumber lines RR-118 to 86-116. Finally delete "Note: When the as the loop." on p. 544.	
1.515 lines 11-12 change to (with same indentation):	125
T10. If $P \neq A$, set QLINK[SUC (P)] $\leftarrow k$, $P \leftarrow NEXT$ (P), and repeat this step.	
1.519 exercise 16	126
line 2 : 29∑ → 27∑ (twice) Line 8: 6 → 4	
1.535 line -4 insert new sentence (no new paragraph)	127
[See exercise 5.2.3-29 for a faster algorithm.]	
1.550 exercise 1 line 4	128
A V A I L ~ Y + INFO(P); AVAIL	
1,559 line 2	129
COL (P) \leadsto COL(PO)	

130 the first part up to "after the final" can be shortened as follows. 18. The three pivot steps, in respective columns 3,1,2, yield respectively (), (), (); (use the same matrices ax before but squeeze onto one line) **1.55**6 exercise 20 131 $A(1,1) \rightsquigarrow A[1,1]$ 1,556 new answer 132 2.1. For example, $M \leftarrow \max(1,J)$, LOC(A[I,J]) = LOC(A[I,I]) + M(M-1) + I = J. (Such formulas have been proposed independently by many people. A. L. Rosenberg and H. R. Strong have suggested the following k-dimensional generalization: LOC (AII1, 141) = L_k where LI = LOC (A[1, ..., 1])+ I_1 -1, L_r . L_{r-1} +(M_r -1)r+(M_r - I_r)(M_r r-1-(M_r -1) $^{r-1}$), where M_r max(11, 1 $_r$). [IBM Tech. Disclosure Bull. 14 (1972), 3026-3028.]) 1,558 exercise 15 133 remove blotches in first and second lines 1.560 exercise 12 line 2 134 $A[m]. \rightsquigarrow A[m],$ 1.500 new answer 135 1 3. (Solution by S. Araujo.) Let steps T 1 through T4 be unchanged, except that a new variable Q is initialized to A in step T1; Q will point to the last node visited, if any. Step T5 becomes two steps: 'T5. [Right branch done?] If RLINK (P) = A or RLINK (P) = Q, go an to T6; otherwise set A & P, P + RLINK (P) and return to T2. T6. [Visit P.] "Visit" NODE (P), set Q ← P, and return to T4.' A similar proof applies. **1.563** line 15 136

change answer 18 (saving space for new answer 21):

1,556

LOC(T). VLOUT).

2,200 exercise 1 line 1	13/
consist consists	
1.567 exercise 12 line 2	138
INFO (P2) -1 → TREE (INFO (P2) -1)	
1,575 exercise 18 line 5	139
preorder postorder	
1,574 exercise 7	140
the diagrams for Case 1 have two arrowheads in the wrong direction the arrows slead away from α and towards β both Before and After	should
1,574 line -8	141
332 🚧 322	
1,575 exercise 12 line 5	142
$a(i)$ set $a(i) \leftarrow c(i,j)$ and $b(i) \leftarrow j$; \sim $a(j)$ set $a(j) \leftarrow c(i,j)$ and $b(j) \leftarrow i$;	
1.577 exercise 16	143
line 2: the existence of tracing out lines 4,5; we have an oriented subsubtree the atated digraph is an oriented tree line 5: configuration digraph line 6: subtree tree	е
1.578 last line	144
D. E. Knuth, R. Dawson and l. J. Good, Ann. Math, Stat. 28 (1957), 946-956; Knuth,	D. E.

1.580 exercise 24 line 2 $G' \rightsquigarrow G$ 1.580 146 last line of exercise 23, add: [For m = 2 this result is due to C. Flye Sainte-Marie, l'Intermédiaire des Mathématiciens 1 (1894), 107-110.] 147 1.581 exercise 3 line 3 upper 🔷 right 1,584 148 exercise 10 height weight (three times) 1250 149 second-last line before exercise 6 this line isn't right-justified, a d d space after the semicolon 1,559 150 bottom line Wadler, CACM 19 (1976), to appear, for further information.] [Note that there's no comma between Steele and Jr. in his name.] 1.554 lines 19-21 replace by 151 Several beaut iful List -copying algorithms which make substantially weaker assumptions about List representation have been devised. See D. W. Clark, CACM 19

miniscule minuscule

line 4

1.596

(1976), to appear, and J. M. Robson, CACM 19 (1976), to appear.

152

1.605	line before' exercise 34	153
	165. See also B. Wegbreit, <i>Comp. J.</i> 15 (1972), 204-208; D. A. Zave, <i>I</i> 1975), 167-169.]	inf.Proc.
1.608	line -7	154
det (A) 🔨	→ det(Λ)	
1.609	in several places	155
change numbers of	to in the definitions of \boldsymbol{x} upper \boldsymbol{k} , \boldsymbol{x} lower k , \boldsymbol{n} factorial, and bath kinds	d Stirling
1.610	pottom line	156
givesection	reference 1.2.5 in right-hand column	
1,610	definition of Beta function	157
<i>B</i> ∼ B	4	
1.614	line -20 (the entry for 1 degree of arc)	158
1154 🔷	1155	
1.615	insert new paragraph after line 7:	159
See tha	a answer to exercise 1.3.3-23 for the 40-digit value of another funds	amental
1.6170	ast line	160

2.6176	161
Araujo, Saulo, 560.	
1.6188	162
Bendix G20, 120.	
1.6190	163
Briggs, Henry, 26.	
1.619L Bolzano entry	164
delete "theorem,"	
1.6198	165
Carlyle, Thomas, xvi.	
1.6198	166
Christic Mallowan, Dame Agatha Mary Clarissa (Miller), xix.	
1.6196	167
Chu Shih-Chich, 52, 58.	
บ.๕ฃ๖๚	168
Clark, Douglas Wells, 594.	
1.6156 Chebyshev's inequality entry	169
add p. 102	

1.6210	170
Dawson, Reed, 578.	
1.6211:	171
Doyle, Sir Arthur Conan, 463.	
1.6224	172
Even, Shimon, 239.	
1.625L	173
Flye Sainte-Marie, Camille, 580.	
1.625L	174
delete Fisher, David Allen	
1,622()	175
Hamlet, Prince of Denmark, 228.	
1.625៤ entry for Good, Irving John .	176
add p. 578	
1.624Li line -8	177
Exercise exercise	
1.6250	178
Kleitman, Daniel J., 541.	

1.625 Knopp entry add p.494	179
1.ออธิเนิ Krogdahl entry	180
1.6256 line 1	181
20 ~~ 20.	
1,626L Linskii, V. S., 470.	182
1.6254	183
Path length, 399-405. 1.6291	184
Pfaff, Johann Friedrich, 485.	107
1.629L Phileo S2000, 120.	185
1.6291	186
Poirot, Hercule, xix.	
2.65UL RCA 601, 120.	187

Rosenberg, Arnold Leonard, 556.	188
1.6311 Robson entry	189
1,621L Shakespeare, William, 228, 465.	190
delete Shih-chich, Chu entry	191
LESIN Steele Jr., Guy Lewis (=Quux), 594.	192
1,652L Strong, Havey Raymond, Jr., 556.	193
1,652G Tarjan, Robert Endre, 239.	194
U. CESC: Wadlar, Philip Lee, 594.	195
1.655G last line delete "theorem," (saves one line)	196

1.6540	197
Wegbreit, Eliot Ben, 603.	
1.6540	198
Wise, David Stephen, 434, 595.	
1.654เม	199
Zave, Derek Alan, 90, 603.	
1.626 (namely the endpapers of the book)	200
delete "Table 1" also make the change specified for page 136	
∑. V line 4 of the Preface	201
system 🚧 systems	
E.VU line 4	202
forcing himself being encouraged	
∄.UX line 10	203
answer answers	
e.xuu	204

- raise this illustration about 3/8 inch

5,1	making	the	quotation	format	more	consistent	

205

line 5: The Prince The Prince
line 10: MASON (The Case . . . 195 1)
MASON, in The Case of the Angry Mourner (1951)

5.22 new exercises

206

•21. [M25] (G.D. Knott.) Show that the permutation $a_1...a_n$ is obtainable with a stack, in the sense of exercise 2.2.1-5 or 2.3.1-6, if and only if $C_j \le C_{j+1}+1$ for $1 \le j \le n$ in the notation of exercise 7.

2.2. [M28] (C. Meyer.) When m is relatively prime to n, we know that the sequence (m mod n) $(2m \mod n)$... $((n-1)m \mod n)$ is a permutation of $\{1,2,...,n-1\}$. Show that the number of inversions of this parmutation can be expressed in terms of Dedekind sums (cf. Section 3.3.3).

최, 건화 line -9

207

45885 ~ 45855

5.69 lines 5-8 after (38)

208

Curiously ... situation to the A n interesting one-to-one correspondence between such permutations and binary trees, more direct than the roundabout method via Algorithm I that we have used here, has been found by D. Rotem [Inf. Proc. Letters 4 (1975), 58-61]; similarly there is a

insert new sentence after (53):

209

Actually the O terms here should have a n extra 96 in the exponent, bu 1 our manipulations make it clear that this 96 would disappear if we had carried further accuracy.

5.72 exercise 28, three changes

210

the average is \sim The average l_n is sorting" for some obscure reason, \sim sorting,"

 $2\sqrt{n};\dots 1.97\sqrt{n}.) \longrightarrow 2\sqrt{n}.$ I,. A. Shepp and B. F. Logan have proved that lim $\inf_{n\to\infty} 1_n/\sqrt{n} \ge 2$ [to appear].)

5.79 figure 9 step 03	211
$C O U N T [K_j] COUNT [K_j]$	
ಶ್ರಿಬಿಲಿ7 addition to step B2	212
(If BOUND = 1, this means go directly to B4.)	
5.107 line -5	213
the underline shouldn't ho broken	
2.108 comments for lines 14 and 15 of the program	214
BOUND MBOUND	
3.120 line 9	215
(December, 1974).) (1974), 287-289.)	
코,15억 line 8	216
$\log_2 \longrightarrow \lg$	
E.155 exercise 15 line 2	217
subscripts an superscripts are in wrong font	
១.១៤១ line 1	218
items; 🚧 items,	
된,11년년 line 3	219
r15 🔷 r15	

5,155 line -18	220
one 🕶 at least one	
ಶ್ವ165 last line of Table 2	221
179 ~ 170	
5,200 line -2	222
wise, oracle dangerous, adversary	
5,200 lines -13, -12, -9, -8	22 <i>3</i>
pronouncements outcomes (four changes)	
5,200 lines -7 thru -3	224
oracles adversaries oracle adversary (five changes)	

E. 200 lines 7-23 must be replaced by new copy:

225

Constructing lower bounds. Theorem M shows that the "information theoretic" lower bound (2) can be arbitrarily far from the true lower bound; thus the technique used to prove Theorem M gives us another way to discover lower bounds. Such a proof technique is often viewed as the creation of an adversary, a pernicious being who tries to make algorithms run slowly. When a nalgorithm for merging decides to compare $A_i:B_j$, the adversary determines the fate of the comparison so as to force the algorithm down the more difficult path. If we can invent a suitable adversary, as in the proof of Theorem M, we can ensure that every valid merging algorithm will have to make a rather large number of comparisons. (Some people have used the words 'oracle' or 'demon' instead of 'adversary'; but it is preferable to avoid such terms in this context, since 'oracles' have quite a different guise within languages for artificial intelligence.)

We shall make use of constrained adversaries, whose power is limited with regard to the outcomes of certain comparisons. Amerging method which is under the influence of a constrained adversary does not know about the constraints, so it must make the necessary comparisons even though their outcomes have been predestined. For example, in our proof of Theorem M we constrained all outcomes by condition (5), yet the merging algorithm was unable to make use of this fact in order Lo avoid any of the comparisons.

The constraints that we shall use in the following discussion apply cotheleft and right ends of the files. Left constraints are symbolized hy

5.202 lines 7 and 16

226

questions comparisons be answered result in

3.200 lines 9, 10, 18

227

oracle adversary (four changes)

5.202 line 12

225

t hen we define \leadsto thus, to he \leadsto is

5,202 line 15

229

o u r oracle what our adversary

5,202 line 18	230
the oracle 🚧 h o	
E , EUZ lines 2, 11, 16, 20, -9, -6	231
oracle adversary (six changes)	
5,205 line 1	232
ORACLE ADVERSARY	
환,건10년 line 4	233
its his	
5.207 exercise 10 , line 2	234
oracle adversary	
EZUS exercise 23 line 6	235
oracle 🚧 adversary	
5.210 line 2	236
oracle is asked 🗪 adversary is about to decide	
5,210 line 3	237
The oracle → H c .	
3.211 lines 5, 11, 20, 24, 27, 30	235
s a y 🍑 Decide (six changes)	

5,211 line -2 239 "oracle", "adversary" as in Section 5.3.2, 3.212 240 line 13: finding an oracle constructing an adversary line 1 5 : oracle declare 🚧 adversary cause lines 17, 20, 23: oracle 🕶 adversary 5,215 replace the eight lines preceding Table 1 by: 241 m a y be subject to further improvement. The fact that $V_4(7) = 10$ shows that (11) is already off by 2 when n = 7. A fairly good lower hound for the selection problem has been obtained by David G. Kirkpatrick [Ph.I), thesis, II. of Toronto, 1974], who constructed an adversary which proves $V_t(n) \ge 0.4 + t - 0.8 \cdot \Sigma_{0 \le j \le t-2} \lceil \lg((n+2-t)/(t+j))\rceil, n \ge 2t-1.$ (12) Kirkpatrick has also established the exact behavior when t=3 by showing that $V_3(n)=n+1$ $\lceil \lg((n-1)/2.5) \rceil + \lceil \lg((n-1)/4) \rceil$ for all $n \ge 80$ (cf. exercise 22). 3.217 242 line 17: A. Schönhage M. Paterson, N. Pippenger, and A. Schönhage line 1 8 : has \sim have line -1: (12) **(13)** 3.218 243 line - 7: (13) \(\ldots \) (14) line -5: $V_{\ell}(n) \rightsquigarrow V_{\ell}(n)$ 3,220 244

line -21: a homogeneous \sim an oblivious iina -2 arid -1: a homogeneous \sim an oblivious any homogeneous \sim any oblivious

ಶ್ರಿಬಿಬಿಟ lines 5-6		245
a suitable oracle.] 🚧 a n ad	vorsary.]	
2.220 substitute for e	exercise 22	246
	.) Show that whan $4\cdot 2^{k} < ext{n-1} \le 5\cdot 2^{k}$, the follows: (i) Form four "knockout trees	_
	ima, and discard all 2^k elements of its single knockout tree of size $n-1-2^k$. (iv)	
된,건간1 caption		247
A homogeneous 🗪 An obliv	vious	
된, 22명 line 3		248
1972), Chapter 15] 1973),	, 163-172]	
5,251 upper left corne	er of Fig. 51	249
there's a dot missing on the sec	condline of the diagram for <i>n-6</i>	
5.252 line 3 new sen	tence	250
A. C. Yao and F.F. Yao hav $lg(m+1)$ form $\leq \pi[J/ACM]$, to ap	e proved that $\widehat{M}(2,n) = C(2,n) = \lceil \frac{3}{2}n \rceil$ and opear].	that $\hat{M}(m,n) \geq \frac{1}{2}n$
5,259 line 12		251
16 is in the wrong hold-fact (font	
5.259 line 13		252
RECORO (Q) ~ RECORO) (Q)	

전,건년5 line 10	25 <i>3</i>
lelate "[lint: 4.5.3[]" since the proof of that theorem is being changed in the dition of vol. 2	; second
5.266 line 6	254
other P. other P.]	
된, 25년 line 15	255
to C5. $\wedge \rightarrow$ to C5 if $m > 0$.	
មិ <u>្</u> និទីមី lines -16 and -15	256
SORT10 SORT01	
±្នាប់ម bottom line	257
lg ₂ \rightsquigarrow lg (twice)	
5,591 line -3	258
"Soundex"	
3,397	259
line 17: formulated $ ightharpoonup ext{popularized}$ lines 19-20: inversely Reading $ ightharpoonup ext{approximately proportional to 1/n. [The Biology of Language (Boston, Mass.: Houghton Mifflin, 1935); Human Behavior Principle of Least Effort (Reading$	Psycho- and the
១,១៤៩ extra annotation on line 08 of Program B	260

LrA/2J. \LrA/2J. (rX changes to o)

3,410 line -7	261	
only all 🕶 only if all		
១,៥១០ line 13	262	
between and outside the extremo values of the		
3,412 (6)	263	
$1 \le j \iff 2 \le j$		
5.417 line -10 and also line -18	264	
800 ~ 500		
១,417 line 18	265	
memory. It memory. The difference between lg lg N and Ig N is not substantial unless N is quite large, and typical files aren't sufficiently random either. Interpolation		
3.917 new paragraph after line 14:	266	
Interpolation search is asymptotically superior to binary search; one step of binary search essentially replaces tha amount at watch, n_i , hy $\frac{1}{2}n_i$, while one step of interpolation		
search essentially replaces n by \sqrt{n} if the keys in the table arc randomly distributed. Hence it can be shown that interpolation search takes about $\lg \lg N$ steps on the average. (See exercise 22.)		
3.417 replace lines - 5 thru -11 by: 0113 09 34 29 08 08 53 20	267 49 12 27	
01 13 14 31 52 30 01 13 43 40 48 01 13 48 40 3 0 01 14042640	490907 12 48 49 41 15 48 46 22 59 25 25 55 33 20 48 36	
ន.្បាន bottom line	268	

was seems to have been

rmulation he average, w hermore, a risons, ON

269

इ.सग्र

line -7: time. \sim time. In fact, M. Fredman has shown that O(n) units of time suffice, if the right data structures are used [ACM Symp. Theory of Cotnp. 7 (1975), 240-244].

3,439 and following pages

276

in the second edition of vol. 3 I must revise the subsection about the Hu-Tucker algorithm to take account of the naw Garsia-Wachs algorithm. Meanwhile I could have improved my treatment of Hu-Tucker hy leaving the external nodes out of the priority queues (cf. (23) on p. 444, an unnecessarily cumbersome approach).

ಕ್ಕಳಕ್ಕ replace lines 3-5 by:

277

that the resulting maximum subtree weight, $\max(w(0,k-1),w(k,n))$, is as small as possible. This approach can also be fairly poor, because it may choose a node with very small p_k to ha the root; however, Paul J. Hayer has proved that the resulting tree will always have a weighted path length near the optimum (see exercise 36).

5,450 exercise 30

278

M46 ~ M41

%, % & u new version of exercise 36

279

3 6. [M40] (Paul J. Bayer.) Seneralizing the upper bound of Theorem G, prove that the cost of any optimum binary search tree with nonnegative weights must be at most the total weight S. $\Sigma_{1 \le i \le n} p_i + \Sigma_{0 \le i \le n} q_i$ times H+2, where

 $H = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i/S) \lg(S/p_i) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (q_i/S) \lg(S/q_i);$

in fact, the top-down procedure—which repeatedly chooses roots that minimize the maximum subtree weights will yield a binary search tree satisfying this hound. Show further that the cost of the optimum binary tree search tree is $\geq S$ times II - $\log(2II/e)$.

១,១៩៦ diagrams (2)

280

put extra little vertical lines above the topmost nodes (B and X, respectively), for consistency with (1)

5.456 line 2

281

K **∼** ✓ K

5,460 replace lines -4 and -3 by: 282 indicate that the average number of comparisons needed to insert the Nth item is approximately 1.01 $\lg N \cdot 0.1$ except when N is mall. 3,461 283 bottom line of Table 1 2.0 2.78 5,462 Eq. (14) 284 $p/(1-p) \approx 1.851$. $1/(1-p) \approx 2.851$. 5,462 282 line -12 k - 1 is p/(1-p). $\wedge k \text{ is } 1/(1-p)$. 3.403 286 line 1: $\lg N + 0.25 \rightsquigarrow 1.01 \lg N + 0.1$ line 2: 11.17 $\lg N + 4.8$ 11.3 $\lg N + 3$ line 6: 6.5 $\lg N + 4.1 \iff$ 6.6 $\lg N + 3$ **3,465** Figure 24 287 below the third node from the left, the 1 has a bar across it, making it look like a 4 hy mistake 3,464 288 line -9 R(P) ARANK(P)

3.465

line 16

RANK(R). ARANK (R). Co to C10.

289

된 년 6년 line -4

290

(unpublished) ightharpoonup [see Aho, Hopcroft, and Ullman, The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms (Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1974), Chapter 4]

E. Sines 11-1 2 should be replaced by:

291

trees which arise when we allow the height difference of subtrees to be at most k. Such structures may be called $\mathrm{HB}[k]$ trees (meaning "height-balanced"), so that ordinary balanced trees represent the special case $\mathrm{HB}[1]$. Empirical tests on $\mathrm{HB}[k]$ trees have he condiscussed by P. L. Karlton et al., CACM 19 (1976), 23-28.

5.471 new exercise

292

31. [34] (M. I., Fredman.) Invent a representation of linear lists with the property that insertion of a new item between positions m-I and m, given m, takes $O(\log m)$ units of time.

5.479 new paragraph before the exercises

293

Andrew Yao has proved that the average n u m h c r of nodes after r a n d o m insertions without the overflow feature will be $N/(m \ln 2) + O(N/m^2)$, for large N a n d m, so the storage utilization will be approximately 1 n 2 \approx 69.3 percent [Acta Informatica, t o appear].

된, 일본U line 11

294

long, tong, hut always a multiple of 5 characters,

된, 역단인 line -10

295

tree. 🔷 trie.

១,៥១០ line -4

296

HOUSE → HOUSE (twice)

```
១,៥១០ line 5
                                                                             297
the nodes of the tree when the tree is nonempty and that its nodes
3,465
           line 19
                                                                             298
follows. > follows:
5.500 exercise 4
                                                                             299
there will be a new illustration, with positions numbered from 1 to 49 instead of 1 to 55.
The respective entries will be:
         (20)
                                            (18)
                                   THAT
                                                    OF
         THE
                  HIS
                          WHICH WITH
                                            THIS
         ON
(4)
                                                    (19)
                                   A
(14)
                                            OR
(3)
                  HAD
                                           BUT
                                                    (1)
         то
(17)
         FOR
                  BY
                                   FROM
                                           AN0
                                                    NOT
                           ΙN
(1)
(7)
         HER
                  ARE
                                           AS
YOU
                           IS
                                                    ΑT
                           (3)
                  HAVE
line 2 : 55 49
lines after new illustration: 20,1,14,..., 2 within 20,19,3,14,1,17,1,7,3,20,18,4 within
3,503
           line 2
                                                                             300
that \wedge that, if n \geq 2,
5,504 exercise 39
                                                                             301
M47 ~ M43
3,513
           line -5 add new sentence after "of M."
                                                                             302
(A precise formula is worked out in exercise 34.)
5.520 program line 13
                                                                            303
empty ~ nonempty
```

5.521 304

delete lines 15-18 (m+1 not really needed after a 11)

antially stantidly

similar 🔷 weaker

* [

purposes. ightharpoonup purposes. In fact, Leo Guibas and Endre Szemerédi have succeeded in proving the difficult theorem that double hashing is asymptotically equivalent to uniform probing, in the limit as $M \to \infty$. [To appear.]

By convention we also set f(0,0). 1.

$$C_N = 1 \cdot (a^{-b\alpha}b^b\alpha^b)/2b!$$
 (2 + (\alpha - 1)b \cdot (\alpha^2 + (\alpha - 1)^2(b - 1))R(\alpha, b) + O(1/M).

until Morris's... 1968, wuntil the late 1960's,

line 1: The only ... among The first published appearance of the word seems to have been in H. Hellerman's book Digital Computer System Principles (Now York: McGraw-Hill, 1967), p. 152; the only previous occurrence among line 6: 1968 1967

ELECT exercise 5 lines 3 and 4	312
ten or less 🖴 al most Icn	
ELEUE exercise 10	313
M48 ~ M43	
5.546 line -4	314
M '> M M '≥ M	
5.547 exercise 45	315
M48 M43 ~	
ಶ್ವಕ್ಷಣ exercise 66	316
6 6 · ••66.	
5.59 new exercise	317
67, [M25] (Andrew Yao.) Prove that all fixed-permutation single-hashing schemes in sense of exercise 6.2 satisfy the inequality $G_N \ge \frac{1}{2}(1+1/(1-a))$. [Hint: Show that unsuccessful search takes exactly k probes with probability $p_k \le (M-N)/M$.]	
5,554 lines -10, -8, -6	318
LONGITUDE (three places)	

in the second edition I will be revising Section 6.5 again, deleting the material on post-office trees, paying more attention to Bentley's k-d trees, and discussing the search procedure of Burkhard and its analysis by Dubost and Trousse (cf. Stanford CS report of Sept. 1975)

319

5.555

3,555	line 13, add:	320
[C/ICM 18	3 (1975), 509-516.]	
E.EE 3 (to appear	line 8 -)	321
5,561 .07948358; .	the numbers in (5) should be respectively: 00708659; .00067094; .00006786; .00000728; .00000082.	322
	quotation Iventures in Wonderland ^→Alice's Adventures inWonderlan d	323
5.576	lines 1-3	324
In general determine (a has the pri	(p-1)/2. \leadsto if f is any divisor of $p-1$ and d any divisor of $\gcd(f,n)$, we can sime n/d) mod f by Tooking up the value of $b^{(p-1)/f}$ in a table of length f/d , ime factors $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_t$ and if q_t is small, we can therefore conby finding the digits from right to left in its mixed-radix representation q_t . (This idea in tlue to R. L. Silver.)	If <i>p-l</i> npute <i>n</i>
•	exercise 6 ne exponentaride too high (twice)	325
	exercise 13 $b_{m-1}, b_{m+1},$	326
deal designing	exercise 20	327

Zolnowsky (to appear). ~ Zolnowsky, Discrete Math. 9 (1974), 293-298.

5.551 new answer

328

22. $\lim_{j \neq n} \int \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty$

3.592 exercise 19

329

elete lines 3-7 of this answer.

line 8: The answer 🔷 (This formula

now add a new paragraph:

Note: A general Formula for the number of ways to place the integers $\{1,2,...,n\}$ into a narray which is the "difference" of two tableau shapes $(n_1,\ldots,n_m)\setminus (l_1,\ldots,l_n)$, where $0 \le l_i \le n_i$ and $n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n l_j$, has been found hy W. Feit [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 740-744]. This number is n! det $\{1/((n_j-j)-(l_i-i))!\}$,

330

 $4.5 N^2 + 2.5 N - 6.$ (4.5 $N^2 + 2.5N - 6$)u.

addendum to exercise 15

331

It is interesting to note that G(w,z) = F(-wz,z)/F(-w,z), where $F(z,q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n q^{n^2} / \prod_{1\leq k\leq n} (1-q^k)$ is the generating function for partitions $p_1+\cdots+p_n$ into n parts, where $p \neq p_{j+1}+2$ for $1\leq j\leq n$ and $p_n\geq 0$ (cf. exercise 5.1-16).

E.CUZ exercise 31 line 03

332

INPUT-N,4 -INPUT-N,4

B.CLS addition to answer 2

333

[Algorithm 5.2.3S does exactly xch(\(\pi\)) exchanges, see exercise 5.2.3-4.]

ಶ್ವ**೮**೬೮ line 12

334

,to appear]. 1 1 (1975), 29-35].

5.611 bottom two (clobbered) lines should start respectively thus:	3 3 5
43. As $a \rightarrow o+$, $\Gamma(1) / a \rightarrow \Gamma'(1) = -\gamma,$	
Tis in wrong font (see line -2 for correct t)	336
ਬ. G ਬ exercise 13	337
397-404 → 263-269 \$\int_{\circ}\sigma\s	33s
oracle adversary	333
oracle → adversary	339
ಶ್ಮಿ © 25 exercise 9	340
comparisons.) comparisons, yet the procedure is not optimal.)	
ឌី ខែដាច់ exercise 14	341
line 1: found in \frown found in $U_l(n) \le$ also add nnw sentence: (Kirkpatrick's adversary actually proves that (12) is a lower for $U_l(n+1) = 1$.)	bound
5,657 line 2	342
oracle 🚧 adversary	

±್ತ್ರೆ new answer	3 4 3
22. In general when $2^r \cdot 2^k < n+2-t \le (2^r+1)\cdot 2^k$ and $t < 2^r \le 2t$, this procedure starti $t+1$ knockout trees of size 2^k wilt yield $\lfloor (t-1)/2 \rfloor$ fewer comparisons than (1 1), since this many all the comparisons used to find the minimum in (ii) can be "reused" in	ce at least
E.GEU exercise 36 last line to appear.] \sim 333-339.]	344
១.៤១០ insert new paragraph before line -2:	3 4 5
G. Baudet and D. Stevenson have observed that exercises 37 and 38 combine simple sorting method with $(n \lg n)/k + O(n)$ comparison cycles on k processors: F k subfiles of size $(\lceil n/k \rceil)$, then merge them in k passes using the "odd-even transperge" of order k . (To appear.)	First sort
D8 \sim C8	346
2.66 new answer 10. SceProc. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing 6 (1974), 216-229.	3 4 7
exercise 3 for section 5.5, last line variables. variables, without transforming the records in any way.	3 4 s
Strauss >> Straus	3 4 9

80]. 🔷 80; see also L. Guibas, Acta Informatica 4 (1975), 293-298.]

exercise 7 line 3

3.672

3 5 0

3,673 351 line - 9 (displayed nodes): $s_2 \rightsquigarrow s_1$ line -8: s₁ ~ s₀ $k > 1 \rightsquigarrow k > 0$ lines-6 and -5: the right subtrees of . . . a n d the result the result ១.៤៦២ new answer 352 30. This has been proved by Russell Wessner [to appear]. 5,67/9 replace answer to 36 by: 353 36. See MAC Tech. Memo. 69 (M.I.T., November 1975), 41 pp. ೨,676 exercise 19 354 the fourth rectangle in the left-handfigure is too short -- it should be extended so that its bottom line is at the same level as the bottom of the first and third rectangles 3.677 answer 20, the line following the tree should become: 355 It may no difficult to insert a new node at the extreme left of this tree. ្**១.៤%** answer 30 line 4 356 leftsubtree of that subtree rooted at that ಶ್ವರಿ70 new answer 357

29. Partial solution by A. Yao: With $N \ge 6$ keys the lowest level will contain an average of $\frac{7}{4}(N+1)$ onc-key nodes, $\frac{1}{4}(N+1)$ two-key nodes. The average total number of nodes lies

between 0.70N and 0.79N, for large N. [Acta Informatica, to appear.]

ಶ್ರೆಲೆ7ಟ new answer

358

31. Use a nearly balanced tree, with additional upward links for the leftmost part, plus a stack of postponed balance factor adjustments a 1 o n g this p a t h. (Each insertion does a bounded number of t hese adjustments.)

E exercise 4 line 3

359

10N/C T R A S H

seven 🔷 six

insertnew sentence on last line: [This remarkable 49-place packing is due to J. Scot Fishburn, who showed that 48 places do not suffice.]

E.CE2 new answer to exercise 11 (extends to p. 683)

360

11. No; climinating a node with only one empty subtree will "forget" one bit in the keys of tic? nonempty subtree. To delete a node, it should be replaced by one of its terminal descendants, e.g., by searching to the right whenever possible.

5.665 exercise 12

361

line 3: Algorithm 6.2.21). \sim the algorithm suggested in the previous answer. last line: $\frac{3}{4} \sim \frac{1}{4}$

ಶ್ವರಚಿತ exercise 34 line 1

362

 $B_k 2^{j(k-1)} \sim B_k/2^{j(k-1)}$

ELEE exercise 34, new answer to part (b)

363

(b) In the $1/(e^x-1)$ part, it suffices to consider values of j with $x \le 2$ In n. For $1 \le x \le 2$ In n we have $\sum_{1 \le k \le n/x} (1-kx/n)^{n-1} = \sum_{k \ge 1} e^{-kx} + O(x^2e^{-x}/n)$. For $x \le 1$ we have $\sum_{0 \le k \le n} {n \choose k} B_k(x/n)^k = \sum_{k \ge 0} B_k x^k/k! + O(x^2/n)$.

5.686 line -9

364

 $+f(n), \longleftrightarrow +f(n)+2/n,$ $k \le 1 \longleftrightarrow k \ge 1$

ಶ್ವಡೆಟಿ7 new answer 365 39. See Miyakawa, Yuba, Sugito, and Hoshi, SIAM J. Computing, to appear. 5.692 line 12 366 and \rightsquigarrow with O/O . 1 when k = N = M-1, and 3.694 367 in the second edition I will revise several of these answers, using Mike Paterson's simplified new approach to such analyses ಕ್ಕಳ exercise 39 368 line 6 (third line of displayed formulas): delete "j>1," (on this line only) line 6 (fourth line of displayed formulas): $(\underline{j}) \sim \Sigma_{j \geq 1} (\underline{j})$ ಶ್ವರ್ new answer 369 46, Yes. Scol. Guihas (to appear). 3.699 new answer 370 67. Let $q_k = p_k + p_{k+1} + \cdots$; then $C_N = \Sigma_{k \ge 1} q_k$ and $q_k \ge \max\{0, 1 - (k-1)(M-N)/M\}$. 五.7世 line 1 371 $\Sigma_t p_t P_t$, $\longrightarrow \Sigma_t p_t P_t$, minus the probability that a particular record is a "true drop",

namely $\binom{N-q}{r-q}$ / $\binom{N}{r}$, where $N = \binom{n}{k}$.

A few interesting constants without common names have arisen in connection with the analysis of sorting and searching algorithms; $\frac{40}{2}$ digit values of these constants appear in the answers 10 exercises 5.2.3-27, 5.2.4-13, and 6.3-27.	
ឹង្ហ្ី២៤ left column	374
det (Λ)	
ฮ.7ัยธ์ line -2	375
···· ••	
ย.7007 definition of factorial	376
$1 \cdot 2 \cdot \cdots \cdot n \longrightarrow 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n$	
2.707 definitions of x lower k and Stirling numbers of both kinds	377
···· ~	
± _• 7២7 line -12	378
5.1.3. • 5.1.3	
3.71UL	379
Adversaries, 200-204, 209, 21 1-212, 220.	
型。プロU Aho entry	3 8 0
add p. 468	

373

5.7/05

after line 7, a new paragraph:

5.711L	381
Baudet, Gerard, 640.	
5.7/11L	382
Bayer, Paul Joseph, 439, 450.	
5.7/1213	383
Dedekind sums, 22.	
ฮ.7ขอน	384
Demons, 200.	
ฮ.7ปฮเม	385
Feit, Walter, 592.	
5.729 L	386
Fishburn, John Scot, 680.	
로.7인데L Fredman entry	387
add pp. 439, 471	
ฮ.7ขยเ	388
Grasseli Grasselli	
된.7인데 Guibas entry	389
add pp. 528, 672, 696	

5.725L	3 9 0
Hellerman, Herbert, 542.	
e.yuel	391
Hoshi, Mamoru, 687.	
5,715L	3 9 2
delete the entry for "Homogeneous comparisons"	
もっつ担ちし Hyafil entry	3 9 3
delete p. 21s	
E _e 725L two new entries	394
H B[k] rees, 468. Heigh t-balanced trees, 468, see Balanced trees.	
型。72位L Knockout tournament entry	395
add pp. 214, 220	
型プロビU Linear list represent ation entry	396
468. → 471.	
も。7200 two new entries	3 9 7
Karlton, Philip Lewis, 468. Kirkpatrick, David Galer, 215, 220, 636.	
ฮ.7ขยีเม	3 9 8

Logan, Benjamin Franklin, Jr., 594.

5.717U	3 9 9
Meyer, Curl, 22.	
ฮ.ชบชน	400
Miyakawa, Masahiro, 687.	
5.71EL	401
Oblivious algorithms, 220-221.	
5.71EL	402
Oracles, 200, see Adversaries.	
೨.71೭೬ Parallel comput at ion entry	403
add p. 640	
5,718U	404
Paterson, Michael Stewart, 217.	
5.719L	405
Pippenger, Nicholas John, 217.	
5,719L line -1	406
7 8 ~~ v i, 78	
5.719 6	407
Rotem, Doron, 64.	

3.72UL	408
Shepp, Lawrence Alan, 594.	
5.7200 line -7	409
223, ~ 223, 405 (exercise 22),	
3.7200	410
Silver, Roland Lazarus, 576.	
至。72世紀 Simultaneous comparisons entry	411
add p. 640	
3.721 L	412
Stevenson, David, 640.	
5.721L new subentry under Sorting	413
history of, 382-388, 417-418.	
3.721 6	414
Strauss Straus	
ช.721 ผ	415
Sugito, Yoshio, 687.	
ฮ,721ผ	416
Szemerédi, Endre, 528.	

5.721U	417
Tape searching, 400-401, 405.	
อ.721เม line 25	41s
Slawomir Sławomir	
e.del	419
Treesort, see Tree selection sort, Heapsort.	
5.722L	420
Two-dimensional trees, 555, 570.	
ヨックセロL Turski entry	421
Wladysław Władysław	
型プロUllman entry	422
add p. 468	
ยิวีวิวินิ new subentry under Trie search	423
generalized, 565.	
ฮ.722น	424
Wessner, Russell, 674.	
5.722U	425

Yao, Foong Frances, 232, 422.

型プロロ Wrench entry	426
add p. 686	
もって記し Yao, Andrew entry	427
add pp. 232, 422, 479, 549, 678	
e, veel	425
Yuba, Toshitsugu, 687.	
ฮ.72ฮน	429
Zeta function, 612, 666.	
ສູ້72ສີພ just before 2-3 trees entry	430
2Dtrees, 555, 570.	
立って記じ (namely the endpapers of the book)	431
delete "Table 1" also change 1 to italic t in box number 35	
E.U changes to MIX booklet	432
p30, Fig. 3: Step P3 should say "500 found?" p34, Fig. 4: third c a r d should say L EQU 5 0 0 p43, Line 1 : 6667 66667	
p43, line 2: 193,334 → 133,334 p44, problem 16, line 2: rowdiagonal → row and column	
p44, problem 16, line 8: 10 \rightsquigarrow 9 and change "record" to "block" overywhere in the discussion of MIX I/O operators.	

, 5.0 changes to the book Surreal Numbers

p99, line 2: (4) \longrightarrow (3)
p111, lines 4 and 5, interchange the inside of the braces: $\{(x-x^2, x-v^2+v^3-v^4, ...)\}$, $\{x, x-x^2+x^3, x-x^2+x^3-x^4+x^5, ...\}$,
p117, problem 18, lines 3 and 4 should be: X_L has a greatest element or is null if and only if X_R has a least element or is null.

57

433