THE ERRATA OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

by

Donald E. Knuth

STAN-CS-79-712 January 19 79

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT School of Humanities and Sciences STANFORD UNIVERSITY



THE ERRATA OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

This report lists all corrections and changes of Volumes 1 and 3 of The Art of Computer Programming, as of January 5, 1979. This updates the previous list in report CS551, May 1976. The second edition of Volume 2 has been delayed two years due to the fact that it was completely revised and put into the TEX typesetting language; since publication of this new edition is not far off, no changes to Volume 2 are listed here.

The present report was prepared with a typesetting system that is now obsolete; please do not wince at the typography. All cahnges and corrections henceforth will be noted in TEX form on file ERRATA.TEX[ART, DEK] at SU-AI.

In spite of inflation, the rewards to error-detectors are still \$2 for "new" mistakes in the second edition, \$1 in the first edition.

Please do not endanger the author's morale by asking him about Volume 4. Thank you for your understanding.

1,0 throughout the book(s)

2/28/78 2

when the text of these books is on a computer I will try to be consistent in hyphenating compound adjectives like doubly-linked lists and storage-allocation algorithms, etc. . . . but until then, such lapses are not to be considered errors

1.2 line 1 1 5/27/78 3

1.18 line -7 11/29/77 4

the theorem * that the theorem

1.18 line 16 11/29/77 5

3,... 3,....

1.25 line 3, under the big pi 11/12/76 6

 $n, \rightsquigarrow n$

The preparation of this report was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant MCS-77-23738, by Office of Naval Research contract N00014-76-C-0330, and by IBM Corporation. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.

 $n/c \rightsquigarrow n/c$ 1,99 4/19/77 add a footnote (see p. v for style) line 3 after (1): book. $\checkmark \bullet$ book. footnote for bottom of page: In fact, permutations are so important, Vaughan Pratt has suggested calling them "perms." As soon as Pratt's convention is established, textbooks of computer science will be somewhat shorter (and perhaps less expensive). 1,99 lines -4, -5(twice), -7, -15, -16 11/12/76 9 ··· 🔷 . . . 1,95 lines 3, 10, 11, 12, 21 11/12/76 10 1,50 exercise 21 line 1 7/31/76 1 1 Faa 🖴 Fai **1.51** line 13 2/28/78 1 2 manner 🕶 matter 1.52 8/25/76 1 3 line 6 after Table 1 Sxu-yuen → Sru-yiian 1.56 change in Eq. (17) 11/12/76 1 4 and r 🔷 -r

2128178 7

displayed formula in exercise 32

1.41

1.57 Eq. (18)

7131176 1 5

 $n \ge 0$. $\wedge \sim n$.

1.57 iine after (19)

11/12/76 1 6

-r 🖴 r

اگئی $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}}$ caption to Table 2, replace third line by;

9/21/76 1 7

see D. E. Barton, F. N. David, and M. Merrington, *Biometrika* 47 (1960), 439-445; **50** (1963), 169-176.

1.72 line -4

11/15/78 1 8

 $A_{n(k-1)} \rightsquigarrow A_{n-1)(k-1)}$

1.79 lines 8,9,10

6/25/76 1 9

Kepler, ... life. Johann Kepler, 1611, who was musing about the numbers he saw around him [J. Kepler, *The Six-Cornered Snowflake* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1966), p. 21].

1.83 line -7

I J/29/77 20

use same style script F in this line as in line -6 (six places)

1.90 new generalized Eq. (29)

8/25/76 2 1

 $(z/(e^z-1))^n = 1 - (1/(n-1))[{n \choose n-1}]z$ • $(1/(n-1)(n-2))[{n \choose n-2}]z^2 - \cdots = \sum_{k\geq 0} B_k^{(n)}z^k/k!$. (29) (convert this to usual format for displayed equations)

1,90 update to previous correction number 25

11/12/76 2 2

to appear, ~ 75-77,

1.91 replace lines 1-3 by the following new copy

8/25/76 2 3

The coefficients $B_k^{(n)}$ which appear in the last formula are called "generalized Bernoulli numbers"; Section 1.2.11.2 examines them further in the important special case n. 1. For small k, we have $B_k^{(n)}/k!$. $(-1)^k \binom{n}{n-k} (n-k-1)!/(n-1)!$, but when $k \ge n$ this formula breaks down since it reduces to 0 times ∞ . An analogous situation holds for the power series $(z/\ln(1+z))^n$, where the coefficient of e^k for k < n is $\binom{n}{n-k} (n-k-1)!/(n-1)!$.

1.92 line -8

7/31/76 2 4

Faa 🖴 Fai

1.98 caption, line 2

7/31/76 2 5

2.11 2.10

1,105 line 3

7/31/76 2 6

Faa 🖴 Faà

1,110 three lines after (12)

6/25/76 2 7

 $R_m \rightsquigarrow |R_m|$

1,111 line 8

11/15/78 28

mately 2 \longrightarrow mately $(-1)^{1+k/2}$ 2

1.116 line -6

11/29/77 2 9

Analysis A crude analysis

1.116 line -6 and Eq. (22)

11/29/77 3 0

 $n^{n-1/2} \sim n^n$

1.117 line 5 11/29/77 31 three 🖴 two **1.118** exercise 5 11/29/77 3 2 $n^{n-1/2} \sim n^n$ 1,125 line 2 1/16/77 3 3 is loaded. • are loaded. 1,126 line 1 J/16177 34 The contents ^ A portion of the contents 1,126 line 7 1/16/77 3 5 is 🕶 are 1,127 line -19 J J/15/78 36 Overflow may occur as in **ADD**. Same as **ADD** but with **-V** in place of V. 1,127 lines -18 through -13 11/15/78 3 7 move this paragraph in front of the SUB definition on the preceding two lines 1,129 line -12 4/19/77 3 8 MUL requires MUL, NUM, CHAR each require 1.157 box 05 4/19/77 3 9

1 ~ 10

1,150 lines -10,-9,-8 4/19/77 4 0 1.152 line 16 1 1/29/77 41 facilate facilitate 1,156 stylistic correct ions 6/14/77 4 2 line 2: i.e. • e.g. line 3: $(X \rightsquigarrow (Here X))$ line 5: sun. sun; line 10: (E \checkmark (This number E line 22: the year \wedge that the year 1.198 lines 19-21 6|14|77 4 3 An illustration...See also the book See, for example, the book 1,229 line -11 6/14/77 4 4 $F = 7 \rightsquigarrow F = 9$ 1,225 line -9 6/25/76 4 5 about 1946 Add during 1946 and 1947 1,237 line -10 12/19/76 4 6 down an item an item down up the stack the stack up 1.298 insert new paragraph after line 4 4/19/77 4 7

Further study of Algorithm C has been made by D. S. Wise and D. C. Watson, BIT 16

(1976), 442-450.

1,258 line 4

9/21/76 4 8

we exercise 30 describes a somewhat more natural alternative, and we

1.270 new exercise

9/21/76 4 9

30.[17] Suppose that queues are represented as in (12), but with an empty queue represented by F = A and R undefined. What insertion and deletion procedures should replace (14) and (17)?

1,505 exercise 9 line 4

3/ 2/77 5 0

girl6 women

1,325 line 8

4/19/77 5 J

otherwise. • otherwise, making the latter node the right son of NODE (Q).

1.332 new quote to insert just before Section 2.3.2

1/16/77 5 2

Binary or dichotomous systems, although regulated by a principle, are among the most artificial arrangements that have ever been Invented.

--WILLIAM SWAINSON, A **Treatise** on the Geography and **Classification** of **Animals**, Sec. 250 (1835)

1,539 line 13

6/25/76 5 3

In all \to Furthermore TYPE (W) is set appropriately, depending on x. In all

1,582 line 2

12/19/76 5 4

there is a man now living having \shottom somebody now living has

1.598 line -1

5127178 5 5

with 🖴 than

1,406 line -2

1/16/77 5 6

as informally as

1,906 line 11

5/27/78 5 7

-types -tuples

1,406 line 18

11/15/78 5 8

Polya Y Pólya

1,919 step A2 lines 2-4

2/28/78 5 9

unmarked, mark it, and if vunmarked: mark it and, if (twice)

1.920 lines 14-15

9/21/76 6 0

[See the... 372.] An elaborate system which does this, and which also includes a mechanism for postponing operations on reference counts in order to achieve further efficiency, has been described by L. P. Deutsch and D. G. Bobrow in CACM 19 (1976), 522-526.

1,920 line 17

11/29/77 61

see See N. E. Wiseman and J. O. Hiles, Comp. J. 10 (1968), 338-343,

1,957 line 1 8

6/25/76 6 2

For these reasons the ^ A contrary example appears in exercise 7; the point is that neither method clearly dominates the other, hence the simple

1.995 line 11

1/16/77 6 3

each with a random lifetime, we each equally likely to be the next one deleted,

1.995 new paragraph after line 6

1/16/77 6 4

Our assumption that each deletion applies to a random reserved block will be valid if the lifetime of a block is an exponentially-distributed random variable. On the other hand, if all blocks have roughly the same lifetimo, this assumption is **false**; John E. Shore has **pointed** out that type A blocks tend to be "older" than type C blocks **when** allocations and deletions **tend** to have a somewhat first-in-first-out character, since a soquence of adjacent **reserved** blocks tends to be in order from youngest to oldest and since the most recently allocated block is almost never type A. This tends to produce a smallar number of available blocks, giving even better performance than the fifty-percent rule would predict. [Cf. **CACM** 20 (1977), 812-820.)

1,998 line -9

11/15/78 6 5

areas areas of the same size

1.951 line 7

1/16/77 66

. >> ; John E. Shore, CACM 18(1975), 433-440.

1,951 yet anot her addition after line 7

2128178 6 7

. Norman R. Nielsen, CACM 20 (1977), 864-873.

1,959 exercise 28

4119177 6 8

line 2: 5; for $\checkmark \checkmark$ 5. For line 4: " $\checkmark \checkmark$ The execution time is 2u."

1,956 line 8

6125176 6 9

V-1.] V-1; and see especially also the work of Konrad Zuse, **Berichte det Gesellschaft** fiir **Math. und Datenv.** 63 (Bonn, 1972), written in 1945, Zuso was the first to develop nontrivial algorithms that worked with **lists** of dynamically varying lengths.]

1.956 line -7

12/19/76 7 0

is divisible is not divisible

1,458 6/25/76 7 1

lines -15 thru -13: The A-1 . . . code; The machine language for several early computers used a three-address code to represent the computation of arithmetic expressions;

lines -11 and -10: the A-l compiler language * an extended three-address code

1,960 line 2

31 2177 72

The latter • Weizenbaum's

1,965 several changes

12119176 7 3

line 1: . • ,

line 4: older 🔷 other

new paragraph to be inserted after line 4:

A related model of computation was proposed by A. N. Kolmogorov as early as 1952. His machine essentially operates on graphs C, having a specially designated starting vertex v_0 . The action at each step depends only on the subgraph C 'consisting of all vertices at distance $\leq n$ from v_0 in C, replacing G' in G by another graph G''-f(G'), where C'' includes v_0 and the vertices v at distance exactly n from v_0 , and possibly other vertices; the remainder of graph G is left unaltered, its components are attached to the vertices v at distance n as before. Here n is a fixed number specified in advance for any particular algorithm, but it can be arbitrarily large. A symbol from a finite alphabet is attached to each vertex, and restrictions are made so that no two vertices with the same symbol can be adjacent to a common vertex. (See A. N. Kolmogorov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 8,4 (1953),175-176; Kolmogorov and Uspenskii, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 13,4 (1958), 3-28, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, series 2, 29 (1963), 217-245.) Such graph machines can easily simulate the linking automata defined above, taking one graph step per linking step; conversely, linking automata can simulate graph machines, taking at most a bounded number of steps per graph step when **n** and the alphabet size are fixed. The linking model is, of course, quite close to the operations available to programmers on real machines, while the graph model is not.

1,975 exercise 44 line 2

11/12/76 7 4

 $x_k + y_i \rightsquigarrow x_j + y_k$

1.978 line 8

1/16/77 7 5

(to appear) ~13 (1975), 251-261.

1.982 line 1

7131176 7 6

Faa **◇→** Fai

1.987 new answer, cont inued

4119177 7 7

For example, Eq. (6) holds for all complex k and n, except in certain cases when n is a negative intager; Eqs. (7), (9), (20) are nover false, although they may occasionally take indeterminate forms such as $0 \cdot \infty$ or $\infty + \infty$. We can even extend the binomial theorem (13) and Vandermonde's convolution (21), obtaining $\sum_{k} \binom{r}{a+k} z^{a+k} = (1+z)^r$ and

 $\Sigma_k \begin{pmatrix} r \\ a+k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ b-k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r+s \\ a+b \end{pmatrix}$, formulas which hold for all complex r, s, l, a, b whenever the series converge, provided that complex powers are properly defined. [See L. Ramshaw, Inf. Proc. Letters 6 (1977), 223-226.)

1.987 new answer

11/12/76 7 8

42, 1/(r+1)B(k+1,r-k+1), if this is defined according to exercise 41(b). In general it appears best to define (k) = 0 when k is a negative integer, otherwise $(k) = \lim_{s \to r} \Gamma(s+1)/\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(s-k+1)$, since this preserves most of the important identities.

1.999 line 9

11/15/78 7 9

Polya Y Pólya

1.499 exercise 7

11/15/78 8 0

(It is "Glaisher's constant" 1.2824271...) To \curvearrowright To This formula . . . n=4. \curvearrowright (The constant A is "Glaisher's constant" 1.2824271..., which R. W. Cosper has proved equal to $(2\pi e^{\gamma-t})^{2}/t^{2}/t^{2}$.)

1.500 exercise 5

11/29/77 8 1

line 1: $2n-1 \longrightarrow 2n+1$ line 2: has . . . dx. \longrightarrow changes sign at $r = n - O(\sqrt{n})$, so $R = O(\int_0^n |f'(x)dx) = O(|f'(r)|) + O(|f'(n)|) = O(f(n)/\sqrt{n})$.

1,502 exercise 17(b) line 6

31 2177 8 2

J2NN ✓ J2P

```
1,502 exercise 19
```

4/19/77 8 3

24 42 1+1)u 10+10)u

1,509 exercise 25

4/19/77 8 4

lines 11-12: operations" operations," jump6 on register even or odd, and binary shift6 last line: M. M, and others could set register A, register X.

1,509 6/14/77 8 5

line 1: 6 5 (also make this change in previous correction no. 111)

line 6: $3494 \longrightarrow 3495$ and $6 \longrightarrow 5$ line 7: $3495 \longrightarrow 3496$ and $5 \longrightarrow 4$ line 9: $3506 \longrightarrow 3505$ and $6 \longrightarrow 5$

1,511 changes to answer 14

6/14/77 8 6

line 1: uses as much ightharpoonup due in part to J. Petolino uses a lot of

line 2: as possible, in \square in

line 9: I NCX 1

line 10: G ~ GMINUS1

XPLUS57

lines -17 to end of page, replace by:

INCA 61 STA CPLUS60 MUL =3//4+1= STA XPLUS57(1:2) ENTA *

CPLUS60 ENTA *
HUL =8//25+1 = rA = i? + 24
GMINUS1 ENT2 *
ENT1 1, 2 rI1 = C

ENTI 1,2 INC2 1,1 INC2 0,2 INC2 0,1 INC2 0,2 INC2 773,1

INC2 773,1 r12 = 11G + 773 INCA -*,2 $rA = 1 1G + Z - X + 20 + 24 \cdot 30 (≥ 0)$

1.512 more changes to answer 14

6/14/77 8 7

delete the bottom line and replace lines 1-31 by: SRAX 5 D I V = 30= rX = E DECX 24 JXN 4F DECX 1 JXP 2F JXN 3F DEC1 11
J1NP 2F
INCX 1
DECX 23
S T X 20MI NUSN (0:2)
L D A Y 3H 2H E6. 4H EC. MUL = 1//4 + 1 =ADD Y SUB XPLUS57 (1:2)
20MI N U S N ENN1 * rA. D-41 INCA 67,1 E7. SRAX 5 rX = D + NDIV =7= SLAX 5 DECA -4.1 JAN 1F rA = 31 - N

DECA 31 CHAR

CHAR LDA APRIL

LDA MARCH JMP 2F

1.515 new answer

1H

6/14/77 8 8

15. The first such year is A.D. 10317, although the error almost leads to failure in A.D. 10108+19k for $0 \le k \le 10$.

E8.

1,513 still more changes to answer 14

6/14/77 8 9

replace lines 1-6 by:

BEGIN

ENTX 1950 ENT6 1950-2000 JMP EASTER INC6 1 ENTX 2000,6 J6NP EASTER+1 "driver" routine, **uses** the above subroutine.

1,519 line 18

11/29/77 9 0

time. \leadsto time. (It would be faster to calculate $r_n(1/m)$ directly when m is small, and then to apply the suggested procedure.)

1.515 bottom line

11/29/77 9 1

Berk'ly A Berkeley

1,516 lines -4,-3

4/19/77 9 2

3)+7 ~ 7.5)+16

1.517 exercise 12 lines 7-10

5/27/78 9 3

delete "Thus, . ..(b)."

1.518 line 5

5/27/78 94

19-27. 19-27; E. G. Cate and D. W. Twigg, ACM Trans. Math. Software 3 (1977), 104-1 10.

1.596 new answer

9/21/76 9 5

30, To insert, set $P \in AVAIL$, INFO (P) $\leftarrow Y$, LINK (P) $\leftarrow A$, if F = A then $F \leftarrow P$ else LINK (R) $\leftarrow P$, and $R \leftarrow P$. To delete, do (9) with F replacing T.

1,550 exercise 18

31 2177 96

denotes, ... are included. denotes "exclusive or." Other invertible operations, such a6 addition or subtraction modulo the pointer field size, could also be used. It is convenient to include

1,550 exercise 2

31 2177 97

line 2: next . . . list point next, so the links in the list must point

line 3: So . . . the A Deletion at both ends therefore implies that the

line 4: ways. • ways, On the other hand, exercise 2.2.4-18 shows that two links can be represented in a single link field; in this way general deque operations are possible.

1,555 exercise 9 step G4

31 2177 9 8

desired girls, voung ladies desired,

1.558 line -6

5/27/78 9 9

"pedigrees", "pedigrees,"

1.575 exercise 12 line 5

9/21/76 1 0 0

 ∞ . \wedge ∞ . Hore c(i,j) means c(j,i) if j < i.

1,583 answer 5

1/ 5/79 101

There is ... exist. \sim When n>1, the number of series-parallel network 6 with n edge 6 is $2c_n$ [see P. A. MacMahon, *Proc. London Math. Soc. 22* (1891), 330-339].

1,588 fourth line before exercise 33

5/27/78 1 0 2

minimal. minimal. [This argument in the case of binary tree6 was apparently first discovered by C. S. Peirce in an unpublished manuscript; see his New Elements of Mathematics 4 (The Hague: Mouton, 1976), 303-304.]

1.599 updates to previous change number 150

9/21/76 103

to appear, 491-500,

(see also the important new contribution by H. G. Baker, Jr., *CACM* 21 (1978), 280-294, for which I will probably want to revise Section 2.3.5 entirely!)

1.599 update to previous change number 151

11/29/77 104

Clark's list-copying algorithm appeared in *CACM* **21(1978),** 351-357, and **Robson's** in CACM 20 **(1977),** 431-433

1.597 last line of answer 6

1/16/77 105

list. for an alternative improvement to Algorithm A, see exercise 6.2.3-30.

1,597 exercise 8

6/25/76 106

line 1: also set $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow$ also set $\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \infty$, \mathbb{R}

line 3: If R = A or tl If M

1.601 exercise 26 line 3

2/28/78 107

two. \checkmark two, with blocks in decreasing order of size. $P \ge M \rightsquigarrow P \ge M - 2^k$.

1.601 program line number 12

4119177 108

 $j \rightsquigarrow j$.

1,602 n e w answer

2/28/78 109

3 1. Seo David L. Russell, SIAM J. Computing 6 (1977), 607-621.

1,602 addition to previous change 153

4/19/77 110

.] \to: Lars-Erik Thoreiii, BIT 16 (1976), 426-441.

2,606 exercise 41, numerator in value of a[5]	6 14 77 111
19559 18535	
1,617L	6 25 76 112
delete A-1 compiler, 458.	
1,617L Aardenne	11/29/77 113
Taniana 🕶 Tatyana	
1.6170	12 19 76 114
$\Lambda MM \rightsquigarrow AMM$	
1,618L	5/27/78 115
Baker, Henry Givens, Jr., 594.	
1,6180	4 19 77 116
add p487 to entry for Binomial theorem, generalizations of	
1,619L Bobrow entry	9 21 76 117
add p420	
1,619R	5/27/78 118
Cate, Esko George, 518.	
1,619R	11 2 9 77 119

Cheney, Christopher John, 420.

1.6200 new definition entry

12/19/76 120

Data organization: A way to represent information in a data structure, together with algorithms that access and/or modify this structure.

1,6216 2/28/78 121

Derangements, 177.

1.6211 Deut sch entry 9/21/76 1 2 2

add p420

1.622L End of file entry 3/ 2/77 123

224 🔷 223

1.623G Garwick entry 11/15/78 1 2 4

244 🔷 245

1.629L Hopper entry 6/25/76 1 2 5

255,458. • 225.

1,6246 11/29/77 126

Hiles, John Owen, 420.

1.629Q 3/2/77 127

Invert a linked list, 266, 276.

1,629@INT entry 6/14/77 128

225. • 224-225.

1,625G	5/27/78 129
Leibnitz (= Leibniz)	
1,625Q	12/19/76 130
Kolmogorov, Andrei Nikolaevich, 463.	
1,6260 MacMahon entry	1 5179 131
add p. 583	
1,627L	9/21/76 132
Merrington, Maxine, 66.	
1,628L	2/28/78 133
Nielsen, Norman Russell, 451.	
1,6280	5/27/78 134
Peirce, Charles Santiago Sanders, 588.	
1.629	4/19/77 135
add p44 to Pratt entry	
1.629L	6/14/77 136
Petolino, Joseph Anthony, Jr., 511.	
1,6290	5/27/78 137

Prüfer, Heinz Prüfer, Ernst Paul Heinz

1,6290	6 25 76 138
Prinz, Dietrich G.	
1.630L	4/19/77 139
Ramshaw, Lyle Harold, 487.	
1,6500	31 2177 140
Reversing a list, 266, 276.	
1,631L new entry	1 5179 141
Series-parallel networks, 583.	
1,651L	1/16/77 142
Shore, John E., 446, 451.	
1,621L	2/28/78 143
Russell, David Lewis, 602.	
1.632L	1 16 77 144
Swainson, William, 332.	
1,652C Stirling numbers entry	8/25/76 145
90, ~ 90-91,	
1,6520	4 19 77 146
add p630 to Thorelli entry	

4/19/77	147
4/19/77	148
5/27/78	149
11/29/77	150
12 19 76	151
4/19/77	152
6/25/76	153
9/21/76	154
11/29/77	155
	4 19 77 4 19 77 5 27 78 11 29 77 12 19 76 4 19 77 6 25 76 9 21 76

Wiseman, Neil Ernest, 420.

1,639U 6/25/76 156

Young Tanner, Rosalind Cecilia Hildcgard, 75.

1,636 (namely the endpapers of the book) 4/19/77 J 5 7

also make any changes specified for pages 136-137

 $\Xi_e DX$ quotation for bottom of page 5/27/78 158

Two hours' daily exercise, ., w111 be enough to keep a hack Fit For his work.
--M.H. MAHON, The Handy Horse Book (Edinburgh, 1865)

된, BL line 21 3/ 2/77 159

mädeln 🚧 Mädeln

5.88 line 26 3/ 2177 160

Weiner Wiener

물, 코딕 line 13 2/28/78 16 J

(1965 ^ (1965)

3.39 bottom line of determinant on line 12 5/27/78 162

 $a_{mn} \rightsquigarrow a_{mm}$

3.40 Eq. (26) 2/28/78 163

the j in e^{j} should be in smaller (superscript size) font

5.57 line 2 of step S3 2/28/78 164

right right of

5.58 line 4

2128178 165

 $a_1 a_2$, \sim a_1, a_2 ,

3.63 line -4

5/27/78 166

 $S'_s \rightsquigarrow X'_s$ $X'_s \rightsquigarrow S'_s$

5,65 line -8

2/28/78 167

to better understand $t_n \sim$ to understand t_n better

5.67 following (50)

5/27/78 168

lines 2-4: we find...Euler's Euler's

line 5: in this case, since since

lines 7-8 (the two lines following (51)): n; this...we have proved that \sim n. The derivative $g^{(m)}(y)$ is a polynomial in y times e^{-2y^2} , hence $R_m \cdot O(n^{(t+1-m)/4})$. Furthermore if we replace α and β by $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ in the right-hand side of (SO), we make an error of at most $O(\exp(-2n^6))$ in each term. Thus

3.69 exercise 8

6114177 169

accent over o in Erdös should be " not "

5.72 new copy for exercise 28

J 1/15/78 170

28. [Mb33 Prove that the average length of the longest increasing subsequence of a random permutation on $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is asymptotically $2\sqrt{n}$. (This is the average length of row 1 in the correspondence of Theorem A.)

3.79 last line before exercises

9121176 171

Feurzig Feurzeig

3.8 lines 7 and 12

11/29/77 172

 $\log_2 \sim \log$

5.98 line 4

J 1/29/77 173

 $log_2 \rightsquigarrow lg$

5.109 line -2

6/14/77 174

inversions. inversions. Discuss corresponding improvements to Program S.

3.117 simplifications of step Q2

12/19/76 175

line 3: $K \leftarrow K_l$, $R \leftarrow R_l$. $\sim K \leftarrow K_l$.

line 4: K and $R \rightsquigarrow K$

5.118 comment to program line 05

12/19/76 176

 $K \leftarrow K_l, R \leftarrow R_l \rightsquigarrow K \leftarrow K_l$

5.120 line -3

6114177 177

 $s_N \rightsquigarrow s_N$

3.122 line -6

12/19/76 178

instructions " $K \leftarrow K_l$, $R \leftarrow R_l$ " \longrightarrow instruction " $K \leftarrow K_l$ "

5.128 line -3

4/19/77 179

v. Yihsiao Wang has suggested that the mean of three key values such as (28) be used as the threshold for partitioning; he has proved that the number of comparisons required to sort uniformly distributed random data will than be asymptotic to 1,082 $n \lg n$.

3.132 10 lines after (42)

5/27/78 180

 $(N/x)^t \rightsquigarrow (N/xe)^t$

3,132 7 lines after (42)

. 5/27/78 181

 $O(N^{t-1/2}e^{-\pi N/2}) \longrightarrow O(|t+iN|^{t-1/2}e^{-t-\pi N/2})$

3.133 in the discussion following (45)

5/27/78 182

line 3: Nt ~ |M+iN|t

line 4: negligible. ightharpoonup negligible, when N and N are much larger than M.

5.159 Eq. (46) and the line following

2/28/78 183

, \rightsquigarrow + $O(n^{-M})$,

where \checkmark for arbitrarily large M, where

5.159 displayed formula on line 12

2128178 184

 $f(n) \rightsquigarrow |f(n)|$ $1725 \rightsquigarrow 173$

3.135 exercise 16

11/29/77 185

HM46 → HM42

5.158 exercise 46 lower limit of integral

6/14/77 186

3.138 exercise 52 binomial coefficient in the sum

6/14/77 187

remove spurious fraction line between 211 and n+t

5,199 line 10

2/28/78 188

Language, ^ Language

3.153

11/12/76 189

about here I will someday insert material about the new "binomial queue" algorithms to be discussed in **papers** by Vuillemin and Brown, **since** they appear to outperform **leftist** trees

5.158 line -5

5/27/78 1 9 0

 $a_i \rightsquigarrow a_1$

5,167 line 21 of program

5/27/78 191

 $L_q \rightsquigarrow L_p$

3.176 line -12

5/27/78 192

 $M=b \rightsquigarrow M=b^r$

5.177 lines 25-27

9/21/76 193

that the multiplicity . . . Algorithm R, even $\checkmark \checkmark$ that it ultimately spends too much time fussing with very small piles. Algorithm R is relatively efficient, even

3,192 line -7

5/27/78 194

Well's Wells's

5.195 line -15

5/27/78 195

less fewer

5.199 Eq. (4)

2|28|78 196

lg Γ Γlg

5,208 replacement for exercise 14

11/29/77 197

14. [41] (F. K. Hwang.) Let $h_{3k} = \lfloor (43/28) \cdot 2^k \rfloor - 1$, $h_{3k+1} = h_{3k} + 3 \cdot 2^{k-3}$, $h_{3k+2} = \lfloor (17/7) \cdot 2^k - 6/7 \rfloor$ for $k \ge 3$, and let the initial values be defined so that $(h_0, h_1, h_2, \ldots) = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 18, 23, 29, 38, 48, 60, 76, 97, 121, 154, ...). Prove that <math>M(3,h_t) > t$ and $M(3,h_t-1) \le t$ for all t, thereby establishing the exact values of M(3,n) for all n.

3,215 bottom line of Table 1

3 | 2 | 77 | 198

1 7 **16**** (twice)

add footnote:

xx See K. Noshita, Trans. of the IECE of Japan, E59, 12 (Dec. 1976),17-18.

3.215 line 4 after second illustration

3 | 2177 199

the values listed in the table for $n\geq 8$ \leftrightarrow the values shown for $V_4(9)$, $V_5(10)$ and their duals $V_6(9)$, $V_6(10)$

3.217 amendment to previous correction number 242 12/19/76 2 0 0

line 17: A. Schiinhage [to appear] \sim A. Schiinhage, M. Paterson, and N. Pippenger [J. Camp. Sys. Sci, 13 (1976), 184-199]

line 18: asymptotic 🖴

lines 19-20: 3n, and ... 1.75n. $\rightsquigarrow 3n + O(n \log n)^{3/4}$. On the other hand, Vaughan Pratt has obtained an asymptotic lower bound of 1.75n for this problem [cf. **Proc.** IEEE **Conf.** Switching and Automata Theory 14 (1973), 70-81]; a generalization of his result appears in exercise 25.

3.219 exercise 14

12/19/76 201

Show that ... comparisons. ightharpoonup Let $U_l(n)$ be the minimum number of comparisons needed to find the t largest of n elements, without necessarily knowing their relative order. Show that $U_2(5) \le 5$.

3,220 new exercise

12/19/76 2 0 2

26. [M32] (A. Schönhage, 1974.) (a) In the notation of exercise 14, prove that $U_t(n) \ge \min \left(2+U_t(n-1), 2+U_{t-1}(n-1)\right)$ for $n \ge 3$. Hint: Construct an adversary by reducing from n to n-1 as soon as the current partial ordering is not composed of components \bullet or \bullet . (b) Similarly, prove that $V_1(n) \ge \min \left(2+U_t(n-1), 3+U_{t-1}(n-1), 3+U_t(n-2)\right)$ for $n \ge 5$, by constructing an adversary which deals with components \bullet , \bullet , \bullet , (c) Therefore we have $U_t(n) \ge n+t+\min \left(\lfloor (n-t)/2\rfloor, t \right) - 3$ for $1 \le t \le n/2$. (d) The inequalities in (a) and (b) apply also when V or W replaces U_1 , thereby establishing the optimality of several entries in Table 1.

3.225 line 1

5/27/78 2 0 3

 $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor \longrightarrow 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \longrightarrow 2 \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

5.229 remarks about current best known sorting networks

1/16/77 204

line 19: D. Van Voorhis in 1974. R. L. Drysdale III in his undergraduate honors project at Knox College in 1973.

lines 20-21: a $n \lg n + O(n)$ comparators, . ..3651.

 $(371/960)n \lg n + O(n)$ comparators; in particular, his construction yields $\$(256) \le 3657$,

5,252 update to previous change number 250

8/25/76 2 0 5

 $[JACM, to appear] \sim [JACM 23 (1976), 566-571]$

5.255 line 9

5/27/78 2 0 6

)] 🔷])

3,295 rating of exercise 48

1/16/77 207

IIM49 **→** IIM46

3,259 lines 4, 5, 6, 7

9/21/76 208

has not yet . . . m = 8. This increase

is difficult to analyze precisely, but T. 0. Espelid has shown how to extend the snowplow analogy to obtain an approximate formula for the behavior [BIT 16 (1976),133-142]. According to his formula, which agrees well with empirical tests, the run length will be about 2P+b(m-1.5)(2P+b(m-2))/(2P+b(2m-3)), when b is the block size and $m \ge 2$. Such an increase

5.260 insert new paragraph before Table 2

2/28/78 2 0 9

The ideas of delayed run-reconstitution and natural selection can be combined, as discussed by T. C. Ting and Y. W. Wang in *Camp. J.* 20 (1977), 298-301.

Should be the square root of (4e-10)P	5 27 78 210
2.269 line -1	5/27/78 2 11
beings begins 2.279 line 10 after Table 4 JACM(to appear) SIAM J. Computing 6 (1977), 1-39	6 14 77 212
≦,282 line before the big tableau "R,"	5/27/78 213
5.289 line 22	1 5 79 214
3,299 lines 4, 13, 20 25 → 27	11 5179 215
E.EUE line -4 always get \longrightarrow always gets	8/25/76 216
3.526 line -7 $L[p] \rightsquigarrow L[m]$	11 29 77 217
5,556 lines 1 and 7 ! → .	6 14 77 218

3,291 the foldout illustration

7/31/76 219

in the bottom example (*10) look at line 4 of the six lines, where there is a longish black bar as the seventh activity (the sixth activity is a shorter black **bar**)...and lines 1,2,3, and 5 have a blank bar just above and below this longish black bar; actually lines 1,2,3, and 5 should have parallel upward-slanting diagonal lines (the symbol for "reading in forward direction") inside these blank bars

5.598 line 9 after the first illustration	5/27/78 2 2 0
tape $C \stackrel{\bullet}{\leadsto}$ tape A tape $D \stackrel{\bullet}{\leadsto}$ tape B	
3,352 line -9	6 14 77 221
is 🚧 in	
5.352 exercise 3	11/29/77 2 2 2
merge radix sort	
5,356 line -11	5/27/78 2 2 3
T3 Track 3	
多。至58 line -20	12/19/76 2 2 4
artifically tificially	
多。多7日 Equation (8)	8/25/76 2 2 5
$B_2^2 \rightsquigarrow B_1^2$	
5,575	6 25 76 2 2 6

about here I should mention C. McCulloch's new approach to external disk sorting (embodied in the **KA** Sort on Honeywell 200)

5.579 st yiist ic improvements

1/16/77 227

line 17: large, and . . . unthinkable! ightharpoonup large; it is, however, so large that N seeks are unthinkable.

line 24: But On the other hand,

line 24: ! •• .

5.201 table entries for Straight insertion

6/14/77 2 2 8

Length: $12 \longrightarrow 10$ Space: $N \longrightarrow N + 1$

Average: $2N^2 + 9N \longrightarrow 1.5N^2 + 9.5N$

N=1000: 19855'74 1491928

5.569 insert new paragraph before line -1

6125176 2 2 9

In Germany, K. Zuse independently constructed a program for straight insertion sorting in 1945, as one of the simplest examples of linear list operations in his "Plankalkül" language. (This pioneering work remained unpublished for nearly 30 years; see Berichte dot Gesellschaft für Math. und Datonv. 63 (1972), part 4, 84-85.)

5.587 line 2

8/25/76 2 3 0

near-optional near-optimal

3,399 caption to Fig. 1

3/2/77 231

search. or "house-to-house" search.

3.599 Fig. 1

4/19/77 2 3 2

label the downward branch coming out of box S2 with an sign

多。如如 lines 12 and -5

2/28/78 2 3 3

running time * average running time

3.912 correction to previous change 263

4/19/77 2 3 4

delete this change, the book was right the first time

5,915 lines -4,-3

4/19/77 2 3 5

and N > 2^k , we \leadsto we L 1 g $(N-2^k)$ J+1 \leadsto $\lceil \lg(N+1-2^k) \rceil$

5.919 lines 13-14

31 2177 2 3 6

H. Bottenbruch . . . He \to D. H. Lehmer [Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. 10 (1960), 180-181 \to Was apparently the first to publish a binary search algorithm which works for all N. The next step was taken by H. Bottenbruch [JACM 9 (1962), 214], who

3,419 line 30

11/12/76 237

, but his flowchart and analysis were incorrect.

3.929 line 7 (append to step D1)

5/27/78 2 3 8

(For example, if Q ■ RLINK (P) for some P, this means we would set RL I NK (P) ← LLINK (T), etc.)

5,958 Fig. 16

6/14/77 2 3 9

insert "a)" and "b)" to the left of the roots of the trees, and change circles to squares in the right descendants of nodes AN and AS in the upper tree

5.959 update to previous change 276

11/15/78 2 4 0

the Garsia-Wachs algorithm appeared in **SIAM J.** Computing, Dec. 1977, pp. 622ff; but now it **seems** an even better way has **been** found by Hu, Kleitman, and Tamaki (UCSD report 78-CS-016)

5.950 modifications to exercise 33

12/19/76 241

line 6: optimum. Cf. optimum; cf.

line 7: .) •• . On machines which cannot make three-way comparisons at once, a program for Algorithm T will have to make two comparisons in step T2, one for equality and one for less-than! B. Sheil and V. R. Pratt have observed that these comparisons need not involve the same key, and it may well be best to have a binary tree whose internal nodes specify an equality test or a less-than test but not always both. This situation would be interesting to explore as an alternative to the stated problem,)

5,451 line -3

3 | 2 | 77 2 4 2

put a small inverted U over the ia in Akadamiia

5,956 Fig. 22

9/21/76 2 4 3

the arrows between boxes A2 and A3 should be reversed (downward arrow on left, upward arrow on right); also delete "P • A" **below** boxes A3 and A4 and insert the words "Leaf found" between the two arrows leading to **A5**

3,957 line 15

2128178 2 4 4

necessary. necessary. Essentially the same method can be used if the tree is **threaded** (cf. exercise **6.2.2-2)**, since the balancing act never needs to make difficult changes to thread links.

3,957 line after (4)

11/29/77 245

 $K \rightsquigarrow K$

5,961 Table 1

11/29/77 246

I will recompute this table, since .144 should be ,143; also will modify the discussion on page 462 accordingly and will refer to **exercise** 11

B. ២៤០ line 2 after caption

11/29/77 247

change • and • to typewriter-style type (+ and -)

5,966 lines 6-9

2/28/78 2 4 8

I will rewrite this, as these trees have been studied almost too thoroughly by now

5.970 exercise 10

11/29/77 249

Does ... c? What is the asymptotic average number of comparisons **made** by Algorithm A when inserting the Nth item, assuming that items are inserted in random order?

3.970 exercise 16

11/29/77 2 5 0

the root node F were node E and the root node F were both

5,970 new exercise 11

11/29/77 25 J

[M24] (Mark R. Brown.) Prove that when $n \ge 6$ the average number of external nodes of each of the types +A, -A, ++B, +-B, -+B, --B is exactly (n+1)/14, in a random balanced tree of n internal nodes constructed by Algorithm A.

១,972 near the bottom

11/15/78 2 5 2

5.979 update to previous change 293

11/15/78 2 5 3

, to appear $\checkmark 9$ (1978), 171-181

2.979 new paragraph before the exercises

12/19/76 254

It is possible for many independent users to be accessing and updating different parts of a large **B-tree** file simultaneously without "deadlock," if the algorithms are implemented properly; see B. Samadi, **Inf. Proc. Letters** 6 (1976), 107-112.

5,485 line 25

7/31/76 2 5 5

55 🖴 49

3,986 lines 6 and -2

5/27/78 2 5 6

less 🖴 fewer

3,991 line -14

5/27/78 2 5 7

text, e.g. text; e.g.,

3.505 line -14

5/27/78 2 5 8

to uniquely identify them vi identify them uniquely

5.507 line 13, add new sentence

2/28/78 2 5 9

See R. Sprugnoli, CACM 20 (1977), 841-850, for a discussion of suitable techniques.

5.509 line 3

5/27/78 2 6 0

superimpose a **/** over the ■ sign

5.516 lines 5-7

4/19/77 261

using circular . . . complicated. ightharpoonup hashing FIRE and searching down its list, as suggested by m D. E. Ferguson, since the lists are short.

5.526 new paragraph after line 19

11/29/77 262

E. G. Mallach *[Camp. J.* 20 (1977), 137-140] has experimented with **refinements** of Brent's variation, and further recent work on this topic has been done by G. Gonnet and I. Munro *[Proc.* ACM *Symp. Theory Comp.* 9 (1977), 113-121)

5.527 insertion of new material after line 20

12/19/76 263

Algorithm R may move some of the table entries, and this is undesirable if they are being pointed to from elsewhere. Another approach to deletions is possible by adapting some the ideas used in garbage collection (cf. Section 2.3.5): We might keep a "reference count" with each key telling how many other keys collide with it; then it is possible to convert unoccupied cells to empty status when their reference count is zero. Alternatively we might go through the entire table whenever too many deleted entries <code>have</code> accumulated, changing all <code>the</code> unoccupied positions to empty and then looking up all remaining keys, in order to <code>sce</code> which unoccupied positions really require 'deleted' status. This procedure, which avoids relocation and works with any hash technique, was originally suggested by T. Gunji and E. <code>Goto</code> [to <code>appear</code>].

5.528 update to previous change 307

11115178 264

5.552 line after (48)

2/28/78 265

likely we, likely, we

5.539 line -5

3/ 2177 266

buckote pages or **buckotr**

3.537 line -8

4/19/77 267

access accesses

5,599 line 16

6/14/77 268

3.599 exercise 60

1/5/79 269

M48 ~ HM41

3,599 another quote, put above the other

1/16/77 270

She made a hash of the proper names, to be sure. --GRANT ALLEN, The Tents of Shem, Ch. 26 (1889)

5.561 new paragraph to insert after line 18

31 2177 271

If carefully selected nonrandom codes are used, it is possible to use superimposed coding without having any false drops, as shown by W. H. Kautz and R. C. Singleton, *IEEE Transactions* IT- 10(1964), 363-377; see exercise 16 for one of their constructions.

3,565 line 11

5/27/78 272

the N**D*E \square the form N**D*E

3,565 line 9

8/25/76 273

his Ph. D. thesis (Stanford University, 1973).] SIAM J. Computing 6 (1976), 19-50.]

5,566 Eq. (11)

3/2/77 274

this is all wrong, it should be the **31** sextuples shown in the first printing of vol. 3 on page 565

3.566 iine -7

11/15/78 275

3,570 line 6

3/ 2177 276

systems or 🔷 systems on

3.570 new exercise

31 2177 277

16. [25] (W. H. Kautz and R. C. Singleton.) Show that a Steiner triple system of order v can be used to construct v(v-1)/6 codewords of u bits each such that no codeword is contained in the superposition of any two others.

3.576 new paragraph after answer 19

11/12/76 278

A similar algorithm can be used to find $\max\{x_i+x_j \mid x_i+x_j \le c\}$; or to find, e.g., $\min\{x_i+y_j \mid x_i+y_j > t\}$ given t and two sorted files $x_1 \le \cdots \le x_m, y_1 \le \cdots \le y_n$.

3.576 line -6

12/19/76 279

junctions; iunctions; STELA, an alternative spelling of 'stele';

5.579 answer 7, line 3

5/27/78 2 8 0

 $> B_k$ and append $(B_k+1) \sim > k-B_k$ and append $k-B_k$

5.505 new paragraph for answer 8

8/25/76 28 J

A simple $O(n^2)$ algorithm to count the number of permutations of (1, ..., n) having respective run lengths $l_1, ..., l_k$ has been given by N. G. de Bruijn, Nieuw Archief voor Wiakunda (3) 18 (1970), 61-65.

3,599 new answer

11/15/78 282

28. This result is due to A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 233 (1977), 1024-1028. See also B. F. Logan and L. A. Shepp, Advancer in Math. 26 (1977), 206-222.

5.599 exercise 14 line 7

11/29/77 283

13); $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ 13), and still another by the identity in the answer to exercise 5.2.2-16 with f(k) = k;

E.GUB exercise 33, comments to program

7/31/76 284

lines 09 and 15: To L4 $\checkmark \checkmark$ To L4 with $q \leftrightarrow p$

E.GUG replace lines 3 and 4 by the following new copy 6/14/77 2 8 5

The ∞ trick also **speeds** up Program S; the following code **suggested** by J. H. Halperin use6 this idea and the MOVE instruction to reduce the running time to (6B+11N-10)u, assuming that location INPUT+N+1 already contain 6 the largest possible one-word value:

01 ST <i>I</i>	ART	ENT2	N-1	1
<i>02</i> 2 H		LDA	INPUT.2	N-l
03		ENT1	INPUT, 2	N-1
04		JMP	3F	N-l
05 4H		MOVE	1,1(1)	В
06 3H		CMPA	1,1	B+N-1
07		JG	4B	B+N-1
08 5H		STA	0,1	N-l
09		DEC2	1	N-l
10		J2P	2B	N-l

Doubling up the inner loop would save an additional B/2 or so unit6 of time.

3.605 exercise 4

2/28/78 286

lower the Σ sign and the relation below it

5.606 line 10 of the program

2128178 287

rA rA

3,606 answer 11

11/29/77 288

In general, ... elements. \checkmark The situation becomes more complicated when N = 64; R. Sedgewick has shown how to compute **the** worst-case permutations, and he has proved that the maximum number of exchanges is 1 - ig ig N / ig N + $O(1/\log N)$ times the number of comparisons [SIAM J. Computing, to appear].

3.607 new answer 16

11/29/77 289

16. Consider the $\binom{2n}{n}$ lattice paths from (0,0) to (n,n) as in Figs. 11 and 18, and attach weights f(i-j) if $i \ge j$, f(j-i-1)+1 if i < j, to the line from (i,j) to (i+1,j); here f(k) is the number of bits 6, $\neq b_{r+1}$ in the binary expansion $k \cdot (...b_2b_1b_0)_2$. The total number of exchanges on the final merge when N=2n is

 $\Sigma_{0 \le j \le i \le n} (2f(j)+1) \begin{pmatrix} 2i-j \\ i-j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2n-2i+j-1 \\ n-i-1 \end{pmatrix}$

R. Sedgewick has simplified this sum to

 $(1/2)n\binom{2n}{n} + 2\sum_{k\geq 1}\binom{2n}{n-k}\sum_{0\leq j\leq k}f(j)$ and used the gamma function method to obtain the asymptotic formula $\binom{2n}{n}\binom{(1/4)n}{n}n + (\lg(\Gamma(1/4)^2/2\pi)+1/4-(\gamma+2)/(4 \text{ I n } 2)+\delta(n))n + O(\sqrt{n}\log n))$, where $\delta(n)$ is a periodic function of $\lg n$ with magnitude bounded by .0005; hence about 1/4 of the comparisons lead to exchanges, on the average, as $n\to\infty$. [SIAM

3.610 second line of answer 31

11/29/77 290

step *stop

J. Computing, to appear.]

5.611 last line of answer 37

2/28/78 291

. 🔷 .]

5.612 exercise 48 line 4 in limits to the integral

2/28/78 2 9 2

1/2 **-1/2** (twice)

5.616 line 26 of the program

2/28/78 293

rA rA

5.618 answer 20 line 2

5/27/78 294

5.619 answer 27 line 1

5127178 295

5.627 line 16

1/ 5/79 296

See also See also P. A. MacMahon, Proc. London Math. Soc. (1891), 341-344;

5.627 bottom of page, new paragraph for answer 6

8/25/76 297

M. Paterson observes that if the multiplicities of keys are $\{n_1, \ldots, n_m\}$, the number of comparisons can be reduced to $n \lg n - \sum n_i \lg n_i \cdot O(n)$; see SIAM J. Computing 6 (1976), 2.

3.630 answer 20

5/27/78 298

line 6: $2^{l} \longrightarrow 2^{l+1}$ (twice) line 7: Llg NJ+ 1 \longrightarrow Llg NJ

5.659 exercise 6

11/29/77 299

lg(...) **→ 「lg(...)**]

5,635 answer 10

3/ 2/77 3 0 0

[Inf. Proc. Letters \rightarrow]. \rightarrow .

5.657 supplement to new answer 22

9/21/76 301

[See C. K. Yap, CACM 19 (1976), 501-508, for a further improvement,]

5.657 new answer

12/19/76 3 0 2

- 25. (a) Let the vertices of the two types of components be designated a; 6 < c. The adversary acts as follows on nonredundant comparisons: Case 1, a:a', make an arbitrary decision. Case 2, x:b, say that x > 6; all future comparisons y:b with this particular 6 will result in y > 6, otherwise the comparisons are decided by an adversary for $U_t(n-1)$, yielding $\geq 2+U_t(n-1)$ comparisons in all. This reduction will be abbreviated "let $6 = \min; 2+U_t(n-1)$." Case 3, x:c, let $c = \max; 2+U_{t-1}(n-1)$.
- (b) Let the new types of vertices be designated $d_1,d_2 < e$; f < g < h > i. Case 1, a:a or c:c, arbitrary decision. Case 2, a:c, say that a < c. Case 3, x:b, let $b=\min$; $2+U_t(n-1)$. Case 4, x:d, let $d=\min$; $2+U_t(n-1)$. Case 5, x:c, let $e=\max$; $3+U_{t-1}(n-1)$. Case 6, x:f, let $f=\min$; $2+U_t(n-1)$. Case 7, x:g, let f and $g=\min$; $3+U_t(n-2)$. Case 8, x:h, let $h=\max$; $3+U_{t-1}(n-1)$. Case 9, x:i, let $i=\min$; $2+U_t(n-1)$.
- (c) For t=1 we have U₁(n) = n-1, so the inequality holds. For $1 < t \le n/2-1$, use induction and (b). For t=(n-1)/2, use induction and (a). For t=n/2, $U_t(n-1)=U_{t-1}(n-1)$; use induction and (a). Exercise 14 now yields the following lower bound for the median: $V_t(2t-1) \ge 3t+Lt/2J-4$.

5.690 update to previous correction number 345

2/28/78 3 0 3

3.691 line -2

1/16/77 3 0 4

Pollard.] Pollard.] All such identities can be obtained from a system of four axioms and a rule of inference for multivalued logic due to Eukasicwicz; **see** Rose and Rosser, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 87 (1958), 1-53.

3.691 exercise 43

31 2177 *305*

A. Waksman and M. Green have proved that \rightsquigarrow By slightly extending a construction due to L. J. Goldstein and S. W. Lcibhoiz, *IEEE Trans.* EC-16 (1967), 637-641, one can show that $P(n) \le P(\ln/2 \text{J}) + P(\ln/2 \text{J}) + n - 1$, hence

Eq. 5.3.1-3, cf. ... Green also has proved \(\square\) Eq. **5.3.1-3**; M. W. Green has proved (unpublished)

3.692 line 14

5/27/78 3 0 6

← ◆→ >

3,695 new paragraph after answer 10

2/28/78 3 0 7

One might complain that the algorithm compares KEY values that haven't been initialized. If such behavior is too shocking, it can be avoided by setting ail KEYs to 0, say, in step R1.

3,658 line 7

5/27/78 3 0 8

increase *l* by 1, set and return set increase *l* by 1, and return

2.665 exercise 3 line 7

11/12/76 3 0 9

Trabb-Pardo Trabb Pardo

3.671 exercise 2

2/28/78 3 1 0

line 1: RTAG ARTAG(Q)

line 2: RLI NK (P). \wedge RLINK (P) and RTAG (P) \leftarrow +. In step T4, change the test RLINK (P) \neq A to RTAG (P) \neq +.

last line: .] . Similar remarks apply with simultaneous left and right threading.)

5.675 tree illustration in answer 23

11/15/78 311

5 • 9

3.675 new answer 11

11/29/77 312

11. Clearly there are as many +A's as --B's and +-B's, when $n \ge 2$, and there is symmetry between + and -. If there are M nodes of types +A and -A, consideration of all possible cases when $n \ge 1$ shows that the next random insertion produces M-1 such nodes with probability 3M/(n+1), otherwise it produces exactly M+1 such nodes. The result follows. [To be published.]

5.676 new answer to exercise 16

11/29/77 313

Delete E; Case 3 rebalancing at \mathbf{D} . Delete G; replace F by G; Case 2 rebalancing at \mathbf{H} ; balance factor adjusted at K.

(a new illustration, in the same style as before, must be supplied now)

3.677 answer 20

8/25/76 314

the line following the tree should **become** the following (instead of what was stated in the former correction number 355):

It is perhaps most difficult to insert a new node at the extreme left of a tree like this. An insertion algorithm taking at most $O(\log n)^2$ steps has been presented by D. S. Hirschberg, **CACM** 19 (1976), 4'71-473.

3.678 update to previous change 678

11/15/78 315

, to appear $\checkmark 9$ (1978), 171-181

3.679 changes to answer 5

6/14/77 316

450. The worst . . . chars. ◆

Interpretation 1, trying to maximize the stated minimum: 450. (The worst . . . chars.)

Interpretation 2, trying to equalize the number of keys after splitting, in order to keep branching factors high: 155 (15 short keys followed by 16 long ones).

5.680 bottom, new paragraph for answer 4

7131176 317

A more versatile way to economize on trie storage has been proposed by Kurt Maly, CACM 19 (1976), 409-415.

5.689 line -8

2/28/78 3 18

 $n \rightsquigarrow N$

3,687 exercise 1

2/28/78 3 19

-38 -37

5.687 answer 4

6/14/77 3 2 0

change line 1 to: Consider cases with k pairs. The smallest n such that in line 2 (the displayed formula), interchange m and n everwhere, then add ", for m 365,"

5.667 update to previous change number 365

6/14/77 321

Computing, to appear. Computing 6 (1977), 201-234.

5.668 new answer

12/19/76 322

10. See F. R. K. Chung and R. L. Graham, Ars Combinatoria 1 (1976), 57-76.

3.689 exercise 14

6114177 3 2 3

line 2: keys 🕶 all keys

line 12: until \(\rightarrow\) until TAG (P) = 1 and

line 12: points opints (perhaps indirectly through words with TAG • 2)

2,695 replace all but first line of answer 37 by:

12/19/76 3 2 4

$$\begin{split} M^{N}NS_{N} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum \binom{N}{k_{1},...,k_{M}} \binom{k_{1}(k_{1} - \frac{1}{2})(k_{1} - 1) + \cdots + k_{M}(k_{M} - \frac{1}{2})(k_{M} - 1)}{k_{M} \sum \binom{N}{k} \binom{M-1}{N-k}k(k - \frac{1}{2})(k - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} M \sum \binom{N}{k} \binom{M-1}{N-k}k(k - \frac{1}{2})(k - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} M N(N-1)(N-2) \sum \binom{N-3}{k-3} \binom{M-1}{N-k} + \frac{1}{2} M N(N-1) \sum \binom{N-2}{k-2} \binom{M-1}{N-k}k(k - \frac{1}{2})(M-1)^{N-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} M N(N-1)(N-2)M^{N-3} + \frac{1}{2} M N(N-1)M^{N-2}. \end{split}$$

The variance is SN - $((N-1)/2M)^2 = (N-1)(N+6M-5)/12M^2 \approx \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{12}\alpha^2$.

3.698 new answer

11 5179 3 2 5

60. No; see M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, Inf. Proc. Letters 7 (1978), 270-273.

3.700 new answer

31 2177 3 2 6

16, Let each **triple** correspond to a codeword, where each codeword has exactly three 1 bits, **identifying** the elements of the **corresonding** triple. If u, v, w are distinct codewords, u has at most two 1 bits in common with the superposition of v and w, since it had at most one in common with v or w alone. [Similarly, from quadruple systems of order v we can construct v(v-1)/12 codewords, none of which is contained in the superposition of any three others, etc.)

5.705 update to previous correction number 373

11/12/76 3 2 7

appear in the * appear in Eq. 5.2.3-19 and in the

5.710L 1/5/79 328 Ajtai, Miklos, 698. 3.7100 1/16/77 329 Allen, Charles Grant Blairfindie, 549. 3.710L 4/19/77 3 3 0 add p576 to AND entry 3.711 11/15/78 331 delete index entries for R. M. Baer and P. Brock 3.7110 11/29/77 3 3 2 Brown, Mark Robbin, 470. 5.712L 4/19/77 3 3 3 delete Circular lists entry 3.712L 12/19/76 3 3 4 Chung, Fan Rang King, 688. 5.7120 de Bruijn entry 8/25/76 3 3 5 add p. 585 3.7120 12/19/76 3 3 6

Deadlock, 479.

3,713	6 1 4 77 3 3 7
accent over o in Erdös should be "not.'	
3.713L	J/16/77 338
Drysdale, Robert Lewis (Scot), III, 229.	
2,7120	4 1 9 77 3 3 9
add p576 to Exclusive or entry	
5.715C	J1 12 76 340
Espelid, Terje Oskar, 259.	
3.719L	4/19/77 341
add p518 to Ferguson entry	
3,719L line 5	9 21 76 3 4 2
Feurzig Feurreig	
3.7190	2/28/78 3 4 3
Connet Haas, Caston Henry, 526.	
3.714C	31 2177 3 4 4
Goldstein, Larry Joel, 641.	
3.714B	6/14/77 3 4 5

Halperin, John Harris, 604.

5.7190 6114177 3 4 6 h-ordered, 86-92, 103-104, see %-ordered. h-sorting, 86-92. 5.714G 11/29/77 347 add p607 to Gamma function entry 5.7190 12/19/76 3 4 8 Goto, Eiichi, 527. 3.7190 12/19/76 3 4 9 Cunji, Takao, 527. 5.715L 4/19/77 3 5 0 Index mod **p**, 9. 3.715L 9/21/76 35 J Hirschberg, Daniel Syna Moses, 677. **5.715** new entry 5/27/78 3 5 2 Interchanging blocks of data, **598** (exercise **6)**, 664 (exercise **3)**. 5.716L 1/5/79 3 5 3 Komlós, János, 698. 3,716L Kleit man entry 2/28/78 3 5 4

640 ^ 639

5,716L	31 2177 3 5 5
Lehmer, Derrick Henry, 419.	
5.716L	3 2 77 3 5 6
add pp. 561, 570 to Kau tz entry	
3,716L	11/15/78 357
Kerov, S. V., 594.	
5.7160	1/16/77 3 5 8
add p641 to Eukasiewicz entry	
5.716G	31 2177 3 5 9
Leibholz, Stephen W., 641.	
5.716C	6/25/76 3 6 0
Lozinski i, Eliezer Leonid Solomonovich, 621.	
至。717日 MacMahon entry	1/5/79 361
add p. 627	
5.717L	7/31/76 3 6 2
Maly, Kurt, 680,	
5.717L	11/29/77 3 6 3

Mallach, Efrem Cershon, 526.

3.717L	12/19/76 3 6 4
add p. 637 to the entry for Median	
5,717G	2/28/78 3 6 5
Munro, James Ian, 526.	
5.717G	5/27/78 3 6 6
Mahon, Maurice Hartland (* Magenta), ix.	
5.717C	6/14/77 3 6 7
ROVE, 604.	
5.718L	3/ 2/77 3 6 8
add p.215 to Noshita entry	
3.718L	4 19 77 369
delete Newell entry	
3,718L	12/19/76 3 7 0
Nitty gritty Nitty-gritty	
5.718C	4 19 77 371
Packed data, 401.	
5.718C new entry	5/27/78 3 7 2

Pardo, see Trabb Pardo.

5.7180 Paterson entry	8/25/76 3 7 3
add p. 627	
3,719L	11/15/78 374
add p. 576 to Pollard entry	
5.719Q	1/16/77 375
Rose, Alan, 641. Rosser, John Barkley, 641.	
5.719G	31 2177 376
Rearrangeable network, see Permutation network.	
至。719日 new entry	5/27/78 377
Rotation of data, 598.	
3.720L	11/29/77 378
add pp. 606, 607 to Sedgewick entry	
5,720L	12 19 76 379
Samadi, Behrok h, 479.	
5.720L	12/19/76 3 8 0
add p. 220 to Schönhage entry	
3,720G	3 2 77 381

add pp. 561, 570 to Singleton entry

2.7200 entry for SLB add p. 509	8/25/76 382
E.720 C Sheil, Beaumont Alfred, 450.	12/19/76 3 8 3
5,721L	2/28/78 3 8 4
Sprugnoli, R , 507. 2,7210 replacement for previous change 416	1 5 79 3 8 5
Szemer édi, Endre, 528,698. 3.7210	1/16/77 386
Shanks, Daniel Charles, 575. \$2.7226	2120170 2 0 7
Ting, T. C., 260.	2/28/78 3 8 7
2,722L Threaded tree entry add p457	2/28/78 388
E.722L Trabb-Pardo Trabb Pardo	11/12/76 389
3.722C	1 16 77 390

delete p229 from Van Voorhis entry

2.7220	2/28/78 3 9 1
Wang, Y. W., 260. 3,722	31 2/77 3 9 2
Wiener, Norbert , 8.	3 2 77 3 9 3
delete p641 from Waksman entry	4/19/77 3 9 4
Wang, Yihsiao, 128. 5,7220 new name6	6 25 76 3 9 5
Venn, John L. Windley, Peter F.	
2.722 Yap, Chee-Keng, 637.	11/1 2/76 3 9 6
E.722 © Vershik, Anatolii Moiseevich, 594,	11 15 78 3 9 7
2.725 (2) 2-ordered, 87, 103, 112, 135.	6 14 77 3 9 8
2.726 (namely the endpapers of the book) also make any changes specified for pages 136-137 of volume 1	4 19 77 399
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

3.749L 12119176 400

add p. 450 to Vaughan Pratt entry

3.765 addendum to previous change 324

11/15/78 401

John M. Pollard has discovered an **elegant** method for index computation in about $O(\sqrt{p})$ operations mod p, requiring very little memory, based on the theory of random mappings. See Math. Comp. 32 (1978), 918-924, where he also suggests another method based on numbers $n_r = r^j \mod p$ that have only small prime factors.

S. changes for the book Mariages Stables

1/1/77 402

```
p12 line 18: Ac Aa
p14 line 4: Ab 		Bb
p18 line -5: B_i \rightsquigarrow B_j and A_i \rightsquigarrow A_j (four changes)
p18 line -4: b_i \rightsquigarrow b_j and a_i \rightsquigarrow a_j (four changes)
p18 line -3: a_n \sim a_k
p22 line -5, -4, -3: d: \rightsquigarrow b: b: \rightsquigarrow c: c: \rightsquigarrow d:
p32 line 6: exercises recices
p32 line -5 exercise \rightsquigarrow exercice
p35 illustration: delete arc from 4 of clubs to 8 of hearts
p47 line 2: Chsbyshav ~ Tchébichev
p50 lines -12, -10, -3 and p51 line 5: Chebyshev Tchébichev
p65 line -4: m 		 m 		 m
p66 line -10, denominator of third term in sum: n+1 \sim n-l
p71 line 8: que R<sub>A</sub>. • que
p78 line -4: Q[\Lambda] \rightsquigarrow Q[t]
p86 line 10: fcmmes.  femmes?
p87 line -10: ZZ' ~ Zz'
p92 line -8: exercise \rightsquigarrow exercice
p93 line 4: et (Aa, Bb, Cc 
ightharpoonup et (Aa, Bc, Cb
p93 lines -6,-3,-2: crossed-out e should be crossed-out c
p95 line 3: n!P_n \rightsquigarrow n!p_n
p95 line 9: Σ 🗫 Σ<sub>i</sub>
p95 line -2: formula should be preceded by (3)
p95 line -2: dx_2,...,dx_ndy_1dy_2,...,dy_n \rightarrow dx_2...dx_ndy_1dy_2...dy_n
```

9.1 Changes for Surreal Numbers

1/16/77 403

p86 lines 13-14 should say: II(y,X_L,z), II(Y_R,x,z).

p86 line -2, change final comma to a period
p86 line -1, delete this line

p112 line -5: p. The p. [See his incredible book On Numbers and Games, published by Academic Press in 1976.] The

p113 Mathematik Analysis

THE TEX/METAFONT PROJECT.

WHAT HAS BEEN DONE:

Don Knuth has finished (and frozen) the implementation of TEX (the typesetting system) and is currently involved in the implementation of METAFONT (the font generator).

WHAT WE WANT TO DO:

We want to complement TEX / METAFONT with a suitable hardware environment, namely:

- environment, namely:

 * An XGP type device that will provide hardcopy capabilities both for proofreading and for (medium quality) originals.
 - * A high resolution typesetting device for high quality originals.
 - * A high resolution CRT terminal, capable of displaying TEX output.

We also want to make the system widely available, thus it is needed to implement it in a more widespread language (PASCAL).

And finally we would like to try our hand in making TEX more interactive than what it is now. (This one is a tougher cookie.)

IF YOU ARE INTERESTED:

There are many things to be done. There are learning oportunfties. There are academic goodies (units, CS293 projects, etc). And there is also monies.

FOR MORE INFO:

Send a message to LTP, or call 74425 or 74377.