

**Software Performance on  
Nonlinear Least-Squares Problems**

by

**Christina Fraley**

**Department of Computer Science**

**Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305**





**CLaSSiC Project**  
**Report CLaSSiC-88-06**

**June 1988**

# **Software Performance on Nonlinear Least-Squares Problems**

**Christina Fraley**

**Center for Large Scale Scientific Computation  
Building 460, Room 313  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305**



# Software Performance on Nonlinear Least-Squares Problems

Christina Fraley  
Stanford University<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

This paper presents numerical results for a large and varied set of problems using software that is widely available and has undergone extensive testing. The algorithms implemented in this software include Newton-based linesearch and trust-region methods for unconstrained optimization, as well as Gauss-Newton, Levenberg-Marquardt, and special quasi-Newton methods for nonlinear least squares. Rather than give a critical assessment of the software itself, our original purpose **was** to use the best available software to compare the underlying algorithms, to identify classes of problems for each method on which the performance is either very good or very poor, and to provide benchmarks for future work in nonlinear least squares and unconstrained optimization. The variability in the results made it impossible to meet either of the first two goals; however the results are significant as a step toward explaining why these aims are so difficult to accomplish.

<sup>†</sup> present address: Université de Genève COMIN

### **Acknowledgements**

I am fortunate to have had generous financial support for this research from the following sources :

- the Xerox Corporation, in the form of a fellowship,
- Joseph **Oliger**, under Office of Naval Research contract # **N00014-82-K-0335**,
- Jean-Philippe Vial, under Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique Suisse research grant # 1 467-0.86,
- the Systems Optimization Laboratory, Stanford University, under National Science Foundation grant # CCR-8413211.

I am also indebted to Stanford Linear Accelerator Center for the use of their computer facilities.



# 1. Introduction

The nonlinear least-squares problem is that of minimizing a sum of squares

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(x)^2,$$

in which each  $\phi_i$  is a real-valued function having continuous second partial derivatives. The problem can also be posed as a minimization of the  $l_2$  norm of a multivariate function :

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|f(x)\|_2^2,$$

where

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) \\ \phi_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_m(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall refer to the function  $\frac{1}{2} \|f(x)\|_2^2$  as the nonlinear *least-squares objective* function. It is assumed that  $n$  and  $m$  are relatively small, so that algorithms are not formulated with any special considerations for limited storage. A common instance is the choice of parameters  $\beta$  within a nonlinear model  $\varphi$  :

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(\beta; \tau_i) - d_i)^2,$$

where  $d_i$  are observations at prescribed values  $\tau_i$ .

Many specialized algorithms have been developed to take advantage of the structure of the nonlinear least-squares objective. This paper presents numerical results for a large and varied set of problems using software that is widely available and has undergone extensive testing. The algorithms implemented in this software include Newton-based linesearch and trust-region methods for unconstrained optimization, as well as Gauss-Newton, Levenberg-Marquardt, and special quasi-Newton methods for nonlinear least squares. Rather than give a critical assessment of the software itself, our original purpose was to use the best available software to compare the underlying algorithms, to identify classes of problems on which the performance of each method is either very good or very poor, and to provide benchmarks for future work in nonlinear least squares and unconstrained optimization. The variability in the results made it impossible to meet either of the first two goals. However, the results are significant in that they reveal a great deal about the reasons these aims why aims are so difficult to accomplish. For treatment of issues and

methodology for software performance evaluation see. e. g., Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom [1978; 1981], Hiebert [1979; 1981], and Hanson and Krogh [1987]. Hiebert [1979; 1981] conducts an extensive evaluation of twelve programs for nonlinear least squares, in which she includes software that uses first derivatives as well as some that does not. In the present study, all of the software requires first if not second derivatives of the problem functions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews computational techniques for the unconstrained optimization problem. These methods are of interest because the nonlinear least squares problem is a particular instance of unconstrained optimization, so that special-purpose algorithms for sums of squares should compare favorably in performance with those developed for the more general case. Moreover, much of the motivation for unconstrained optimization methods is also relevant to algorithm development for nonlinear least squares. Our emphasis is on computational issues related to the methods included in this study. For more extensive treatment of unconstrained optimization algorithms, see Fletcher [1980], Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981], Dennis and Schnabel [1983], and Moré and Sorensen [1984]. Section 3 reviews the principal approaches that are used in software for nonlinear least-squares problems. These are Gauss-Newton methods; Levenberg-Marquardt methods, one of which is implemented in the software package **MINPACK** [Mori (1978), Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom (1980)]; corrected Gauss-Newton methods [Gill and Murray (1978)] which are the basis for the **NAG** Library nonlinear least-squares software; and methods that form quasi-Newton approximations to the term  $B = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \nabla^2 \phi_i$  in the nonlinear least-squares Hessian, a strategy that is adaptively combined with a Gauss-Newton method and a Levenberg-Marquardt method in the computer algorithm **NL2SOL** [Dennis, Gay, and Welsch (1981a, 1981b)]. We assume a knowledge of numerical techniques for linear least-squares (e. g., Lawson and Hanson [1974], and Golub and Van Loan [1983]). For more information on algorithms specific to nonlinear least-squares problems, see Fraley [1988] and the references cited in that paper. Section 4 is a summary and discussion of the numerical results. Section 5 contains **tables** of all of the results, as well as information about the software and test problems used in obtaining them.



## 1.1 Definitions and Notation

We shall use the following definitions and notational conventions :

- Generally subscripts on a function mean that the function is evaluated at the corresponding subscripted variable (for example,  $f_k = f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ ). An exception is made for the residual functions  $\phi_i$ , where the subscript is the component index for the vector  $\mathbf{f}$ .
- $\mathbf{f}$  - The vector of nonlinear functions whose  $l_2$  norm is to be minimized.  
The nonlinear least-squares problem is

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where the factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  is introduced in order to avoid a factor of two in the derivatives.

- $\phi_i$  - The  $i$ th *residual* function, also the  $i$ th component of the vector  $\mathbf{f}$ .

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

An alternative formulation of the nonlinear least-squares problem is

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2,$$

where each  $\phi_i(\mathbf{x})$  is a smooth function mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- $\mathbf{J}$  - The Jacobian matrix of  $\mathbf{f}$ .

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\mathbf{g}$  - The gradient of the nonlinear least-squares objective.

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

- $\mathbf{B}$  - The part of the Hessian matrix of the nonlinear least-squares objective that involves second derivatives of the residual functions.

$$\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$B(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(x) \nabla^2 \phi_i(x).$$

- $\mathcal{R}(A)$  - The range of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \equiv \{b \mid Ax = b \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

is a **subspace** of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

- $\mathcal{N}(A)$  - The **null** space of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \equiv \{z \mid Az = 0\}$$

is a **subspace** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{R}(A^T)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2. Methods for Unconstrained Optimization

### 2.1 Local Quadratic Approximation

Software for the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}(x),$$

is usually based on successive minimization of a quadratic approximation

$$Q_k(p) \equiv \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p \quad (2.1.1)$$

for  $\mathcal{F}(x_k + p) - \mathcal{F}(x_k)$ , the change in  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x_k$ . The matrix  $H_k$  is positive definite, so that the model  $Q_k(p)$  has a well-defined minimum

$$p_k = -H_k^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{F}_k,$$

that can be computed efficiently. Positive definiteness of  $H_k$  also means that  $p_k$  is a descent direction for  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x_k$ , which is essential for linesearch methods (see Section 2.2.1). For methods based on (2.1.1), the condition,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(H_k - \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(x^*))p_k\|}{\|p_k\|} = 0 \quad (2.1.2)$$

is equivalent to **local** superlinear convergence of the sequence  $\{x_k + p_k\}$  to an isolated **local** minimum  $x^*$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see Dennis and Moré [1974; 1977]). The relationship (2.1.2) implies that the step  $p_k$  approaches the Newton step in both magnitude and direction, although the sequence of matrices  $\{H_k\}$  need not converge to  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(x^*)$ .

Section 2.2 is concerned with modifications that are used to enforce convergence from an arbitrary starting point. These modifications fall into two categories: *linesearch* methods and *trust-region* methods. Section 2.3 deals with the choice of  $H_k$  in (2.1.1), so that condition (2.1.2) for superlinear convergence is satisfied. We discuss methods that use exact second derivatives as well as quasi-Newton approximations.

## 2.2 Globalization Strategies

### 2.2.1 Linesearch Approach

Linesearch methods obtain a new iterate in two essentially separate phases. First, a *descent direction*  $p_k$  is found for  $\mathcal{F}$ ; that is, a vector  $p_k$  is computed for which

$$\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k < 0. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Condition (2.2.1) is equivalent to saying that  $\mathcal{F}$  initially decreases along the direction  $p_k$  from  $x_k$ . Various ways of defining  $p_k$  are discussed in Section 2.3. This section is concerned with the second phase of a linesearch method, that of finding a steplength  $\alpha_k$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{F}(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) < \mathcal{F}(x_k), \quad (2.2.2)$$

once a **descent** direction is obtained.

Because of (2.2.1), condition (2.2.2) can be satisfied by choosing a sufficiently small value of  $\alpha_k$ , but the result may not be an appreciable reduction in  $\mathcal{F}$ . In fact,  $\{x_k + \alpha_k p_k\}$  may converge to a point that is not a stationary point unless conditions stronger than (2.2.2) are imposed on  $\alpha_k$  (see, e. g., Dennis and Schnabel [1983], Chapter 6). On the other hand, finding a minimum of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $p_k$  is an iterative process which could require many function and derivative evaluations. Steplength algorithms instead compute  $\alpha_k$  that satisfies conditions sufficient to ensure convergence to a stationary point of  $\mathcal{F}$  whenever the sequence  $\{p_k\}$  is bounded away from orthogonality to the gradient.

The work of Goldstein [1965; 1967], Armijo [1966], Goldstein and Price [1967], and Wolfe [1969; 1971], (see also Ortega and Rheinboldt [1970]) established the fundamental principles underlying most steplength algorithms. A simple strategy for sufficient decrease is based on the condition

$$\mathcal{F}(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) - \mathcal{F}(x_k) \leq \mu \alpha_k \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k, \quad (2.2.3)$$

for  $\mu \in [0, 1)$ . An initial value (usually  $\alpha_k = 1$ ) is tried first, and then a backtracking strategy is used to reduce it until an admissible step is found. The steplength strategy of Gill et al. [1979], combines (2.2.3) with the condition

$$|\nabla \mathcal{F}(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)^T p_k| \leq -\eta \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k, \quad (2.2.4)$$

for  $\eta \in [0, 1)$ , which keeps the steplength bounded away from zero by forcing it to approximate a local minimum of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $p_k$ . A procedure for one-dimensional minimization is truncated, using

(2.2.4) as the criterion for termination. This is accomplished by polynomial interpolation to the function

$$\Phi(\alpha) \equiv \mathcal{F}(x_k + \alpha p_k), \quad (2.2.5)$$

together with some safeguards to prevent iterates from being either too closetogether or too far apart. An exact minimization would be carried out for  $\eta = \mathbf{0}$  in (2.2.4), while larger values of  $\eta$  increasingly relax this requirement. When  $\mu < \eta$ , an interval of steplengths satisfies both (2.2.3) and (2.2.4); if  $\mu$  is chosen sufficiently small, then (2.2.3) almost always holds when (2.2.4) **does**. When  $\mu \geq \eta$ , a backtracking strategy may be used if (2.2.3) fails to hold for the steplength computed in the one-dimensional minimization. If  $\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k < 0$  and  $\alpha_k$  satisfies (2.2.3) and (2.2.4), then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k}{\|p_k\|_2} = 0.$$

which implies convergence to a stationary point of  $\mathcal{F}$  provided  $\{p_k\}$  **remains uniformly bounded away** from orthogonality to  $\{\nabla \mathcal{F}_k\}$ . If  $\mu \leq 0.5$ , both conditions (2.2.3) **and** (2.2.4) are automatically satisfied by superlinearly or quadratically convergent algorithms with  $\alpha_k = 1$  when  $x_k$  is sufficiently close to a local minimum.

Although the theory allows considerable flexibility in choosing the interpolant to  $\Phi(\alpha)$  and other parameters in the univariate minimization, as well as in the choice of  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  in (2.2.3) and (2.2.4), in practice performance on difficult problems may **be** very sensitive to these factors. Moreover, safeguarding in univariate minimization requires specification of a finite interval of uncertainty in which the minimum is presumed to lie. If  $p_k$  is very large, it could happen that no satisfactory approximation to a minimum along that direction can **be** found, resulting in an excessively small step.

### 2.2.2 Trust-Region Approach

Trust-region methods were first developed for nonlinear least squares [Levenberg (1944); Morrison (1960); Marquardt (1963)] (see Section 3.2), and later independently for general unconstrained minimization [Goldfeld, Quandt, and Trotter (1966)]. Motivation for trust-region methods comes from the following observation: if the step to the unconstrained minimum of the current local model for  $\mathcal{F}(x + p) - \mathcal{F}(x)$  is relatively large, then it probably falls outside the region in which the model is applicable. The basic idea is to define a neighborhood of the current

point over which an approximate minimization of a local model of the change in 3 will yield a suitable step to the next iterate.

The local model and constraints defining the neighborhood are chosen so that the subproblem has a well-defined minimum, and so that fast local convergence is possible with the unconstrained model. Typically, the model at  $x_k$  is a quadratic function  $\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p$ , and an upper bound is imposed on a scaled  $l_2$  norm of  $p$ , giving the subproblem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \|D_k p\|_2 \leq \delta_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.6)$$

For practical reasons, the scaling matrices  $D_k$  are usually diagonal (with positive diagonal entries). Solving (2.2.6) is equivalent to minimizing the quadratic function

$$\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T (H_k + \lambda_k D_k^T D_k) p \quad (2.2.7)$$

for some  $\lambda_k \geq 0$ , where the matrix  $H_k + \lambda_k D_k^T D_k$  is at least positive semi-definite.

In practice, it has been found to be more satisfactory to control the value of  $\delta_k$  directly rather than  $\lambda_k$  (see Moré [1983]). Increases and decreases in  $\delta_k$  are usually based on comparing the actual reduction

$$\mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k) - \mathcal{F}(x_k)$$

to the reduction predicted by the model,

$$\nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} p_k^T H_k p_k.$$

The updating procedure for  $\delta_k$  can be as simple as multiplying the current value by some prescribed factor, without compromising global convergence properties (see below). The preferred strategy for decreasing  $\delta_k$  is more complicated. An approximate minimum  $\tau_k$  of  $\mathcal{F}(x_k + \tau p_k)$  is computed by safeguarded polynomial interpolation (as in linesearch methods — see Section 2.2.1), and  $\tau_k \|D_k p_k\|_2$  is taken to be the new value of  $\delta_k$ . It may be necessary to decrease  $\delta_k$  a number of times before a suitable reduction in 3 is achieved and the step to a new iterate can be taken.

Once  $\delta_k$  is assigned a value, it remains to find  $p_k$  when the solution to (2.2.6) is not an unconstrained minimum. Mori and Sorensen [1983] obtain  $\lambda_k$  in (2.2.7) by truncating a numerical procedure for computing a zero of the function

$$\Psi(\lambda) \equiv \|p_k(\lambda)\|_2 - \delta_k \equiv \left\| (H_k + \lambda D_k^T D_k)^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{F}_k \right\|_2 - \delta_k, \quad (2.2.8)$$

based on the work of Hebden [1973] (see also Reinsch [1971] and Gay [1981]). The algorithm of Gay [1983], implemented in the PORT library [1984], approximates  $p_k(\lambda)$  by a linear combination of the (scaled) steepest descent direction and the Newton direction. This technique was devised by Powell [1970] (see also Dennis and Mei [1979]), and is used because it achieves comparable performance to methods that attempt to approximate  $\Psi(\lambda)$  closely, with considerably less computational effort.

Somewhat stronger convergence results have been proven for trust-region methods than are known for linesearch methods (see Section 2.2.1). Trust-region methods converge to an isolated local minimum under fairly mild conditions when exact second derivatives are used, and otherwise to a stationary point. Although global convergence properties are not affected, in practice the choice of  $\delta_0$  and the updating strategy for  $\delta_k$  are important. As  $\delta_k$ , and hence the norm of  $p$ , is made to approach zero, the minimizer of the quadratic becomes parallel to the steepest descent direction,  $-\nabla \mathcal{F}_k$ . Small values of  $\delta_k$  are accordingly safe, in the sense that they guarantee a decrease, but progress may be unacceptably slow if no provision is made for taking larger steps where possible.

For more detail and general discussion of trust-region methods, see Moré [1983], Shultz, Schnabel, and Byrd [1985], and Bulteau and Vial [1987]. Related variants are described in Bulteau and Vial [1985] and Byrd, Schnabel, and Shultz [1988].

### 2.2.3 Stationary Points and Directions of Negative Curvature

It is possible to decrease  $\mathcal{F}$  at a stationary point  $x^*$  if the Hessian matrix has one or more negative eigenvalues. The decrease is obtained by moving along a direction of negative curvature; in other words, a direction  $p$  for which  $p^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(x^*) p < 0$ . Trust-region methods that use the quadratic model with exact Hessian information (see Section 2.3.1) will yield directions of negative curvature at stationary points when  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(x^*)$  is indefinite, whereas the linesearch methods discussed above terminate when the gradient vanishes.

A fundamental problem is that of deciding the length of the step to be taken along a direction of negative curvature. This problem is very much related to the problem of setting a maximum step length in order to safeguard a linesearch method, or that of determining the step bound in a trust-region method. First- and second-order information about the function at  $x^*$  indicates that an infinite step can be taken, since the quadratic part of the Taylor series at  $x^*$  is unbounded

below when  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(r^*)$  is indefinite. Clearly an infinite step is not possible if  $\mathcal{F}$  has a finite minimum.

Neither the question of choosing a direction of negative curvature, nor the problem of choosing a steplength along such directions has been adequately resolved, and **thus** in most methods directions of negative curvature are not explicitly sought at arbitrary points. For research on generating directions of negative curvature, and on their use in unconstrained optimization algorithms, see Gill and Murray [1974a], Fletcher and Freeman [1977], McCormick [1977], Moré and Sorensen [1979], Goldfarb [1980], and Shultr, Schnabel, and Byrd [1985].

## 2.3 Defining the Quadratic Model

### 2.3.1 Second-Derivative Methods

There are two basic frameworks for defining  $H_k$  in the quadratic model (2.1.1) when second derivative information is available: direct modification of the Hessian, and trust-region methods. Both can be viewed as procedures for producing a positive-definite quadratic model by modifying the **exact** Hessian  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$ . A method that combines the two approaches is given in Chapter 5 (Section 5) of Dennis and Schnabel [1983].

The modified Newton method of Gill and Murray [1974a] is a linesearch method in which the definition of the search direction is based on the fact that if  $H_k$  is positive definite, it can be characterized by its *Cholesky factorization*

$$H_k = R_k^T R_k, \quad (2.3.1)$$

where  $R_k$  is upper-triangular and nonsingular (see, e. g., Stewart [1973], Chapter 3). Gill and Murray alter the Cholesky factorization procedure so that it can be continued in the event of indefiniteness or near-singularity. The modified factorization is applied to the Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$ , resulting in the Cholesky factorization of a matrix  $H_k$  with a prescribed upper bound on its condition number. The matrix  $H_k$  may differ from  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  only in the diagonal elements. Local convergence properties of Newton's method are preserved, because  $H_k = \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  whenever  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  is sufficiently positive definite. An implementation is available in the NAG Library [1984] (subroutine **E04LBF**). For information on other direct modification methods, see Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981], Chapter 4, and Higham [1986].



In trust-region methods with exact Hessian information, a subproblem of the form

$$\min \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k p \quad (2.3.2)$$

$$\text{subject to } \|D_k p\|_2 \leq \delta_k.$$

is solved for the step  $p_k$  to the next iterate. We recall from the overview of trust-regions in Section 2.2.2 that solving (2.3.2) is equivalent to solving

$$\min \nabla \mathcal{F}_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T (\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k + \lambda_k D_k^T D_k) p \quad (2.3.3)$$

for some non-negative value of  $\lambda_k$ , with  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k + \lambda_k D_k^T D_k$  positive semidefinite. In particular,  $\lambda_k$  will be positive whenever  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  is indefinite, and also when  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  is positive-definite if  $\delta_k$  happens to be smaller than the norm of the scaled unconstrained minimum of the quadratic objective. In contrast to the modified Newton method described above, all of the **eigenvalues** of  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k$  are changed when  $\lambda_k > 0$  in (2.3.3). As long as the constraint in (2.3.2) is inactive near a local minimum, the local convergence behavior of Newton's method is preserved. A recent implementation of a trust-region method that uses second derivatives is available in the **PORT** Library [1984] (subroutine **DMNH**; see also Gay [1983]). For further information on trust-regions with exact Hessian information, see Fletcher [1980], Chapter 5, Gay [1981], Sorensen [1982], Moré [1983], Moré and Sorensen [1983], and Shultz, Schnabel, and Byrd [1985].

### 2.3.2 Quasi-Newton Methods

In *quasi-Newton* methods (also called *variable metric* or *secant* methods), a sequence of approximations  $H_0, H_1, \dots$ , to the Hessian matrix of  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated, with  $H_{k+1}$  depending on  $H_k$  as well as on gradient information at the current iterate. The approximate Hessian is required to satisfy the *quasi-Newton* condition

$$H_{k+1} s_k = y_k. \quad (2.3.4)$$

$$s_k \equiv x_{k+1} - x_k; \quad y_k \equiv \nabla \mathcal{F}_{k+1} - \nabla \mathcal{F}_k.$$

The quantity  $y_k^T s_k$  approximates the curvature,  $s_k^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k s_k$ , of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $s_k$ . Equation (2.3.4) does not uniquely define  $H_{k+1}$ ; papers that discuss completion of the specification include Dennis and Moré [1977], Nazareth [1984], Todd [1984], and Flachs [1986]. Conditions imposed on the approximate Hessian almost always include symmetry and positive definiteness.

It is generally agreed that the best overall performance is achieved by the BFGS update

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k s_k (H_k s_k)^T}{s_k^T H_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{y_k^T s_k},$$

although precise reasons for its superiority are still not known (see, e. g., Brodlie [1977]). Like most proposed updates, the BFGS update is a rank-two modification of the current approximate Hessian. The BFGS update preserves positive definiteness whenever  $y_k^T s_k > 0$ , a condition that holds automatically in a linesearch method satisfying (2.2.4).

Originally, quasi-Newton updates were formulated in terms of  $H_k^{-1}$  rather than  $H_k$ , so that minimizing the quadratic (2.1.1) at each stage in a linesearch algorithm involved only a matrix multiplication ( $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  arithmetic operations) rather than solution of a linear system ( $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  arithmetic operations). Gill and Murray [1972] showed that rank-two quasi-Newton methods could be implemented in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  operations per iteration by applying an update to a Cholesky factor (see Section 2.3.1) of  $H_k$ . This has the additional advantage that it allows the numerical positive definiteness of  $H_k$  to be monitored from iteration to iteration. For more information on computational aspects of the update, see Dennis and Schnabel [1983], Chapter 9, and Gill et al. [1985].

The BFGS method belongs to a class of quasi-Newton methods that can be derived by minimizing the difference  $(H_{k+1} - H_k)$  or  $(H_{k+1}^{-1} - H_k^{-1})$ , in various weighted norms, subject to (2.3.4) [Dennis and Schnabel (1979)]. Other classes of methods attempt to minimize the condition number of  $H_k$  by selecting parameters in a class of updates at each step [Shanno and Kettler (1970); Oren (1973, 1982); Davidon (1975); Oren and Spedicato (1976); Spedicato (1976); Schnabel (1978)]. Al-Baali and Fletcher [1985] apply a scaling factor before updating that minimizes an approximate measure of the error in the inverse Hessian matrix. Performance tests indicate that these modified methods are not as successful as the BFGS method for general problems [Brodlie (1977); Shanno and Phua (1978b); Al-Baali and Fletcher (1985)].

Under the same assumptions as required for local quadratic convergence of Newton's method, quasi-Newton methods are locally superlinearly convergent, provided  $H_0$  is sufficiently close to  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(x_0)$  [Broyden, Dennis, and Moré (1973)]. Selection of the initial Hessian approximation  $H_0$  can be critical in the performance of a quasi-Newton method. Often the identity is chosen because it gives the steepest-descent direction on the first iteration, and it is positive definite. Computational tests have shown that improved performance can sometimes be achieved by scaling  $H_0$  before performing the first update [Shanno and Phua (1978a); Dennis and Schnabel (1983)].

Chapter 9]. Another possibility is to use a finite-difference approximation to  $\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  for  $H_0$ , modified if necessary to ensure positive definiteness. Although the choice of  $H_0$  can have a significant effect on performance, the question of how best to choose  $H_0$  is still open. It is generally agreed that exact or approximate curvature information should be used to start the algorithm if it is available at a reasonable cost. For a nonlinear least-squares problem,  $J_0^T J_0$  can be used as the initial estimate, provided the columns of  $J_0$  are linearly independent.

### 3. Methods for Nonlinear Least Squares

#### 3.1 Gauss-Newton Methods

The Gauss-Newton method is a linesearch method in which the search direction at the current iterate minimizes the quadratic function

$$g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T J^T J p. \quad (X1.1)$$

As a model for the change in the least-squares objective, (3.1.1) has the advantage that it involves only first derivatives of the residuals, and that  $J^T J$  is always at least positive semi-definite. If

$$p^{GN} = \arg \min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T J^T J p,$$

then

$$J^T J p^{GN} = -g, \quad (X1.2)$$

so that  $p^{GN}$  is a direction of descent for  $f^T f$  whenever  $g \neq \mathbf{0}$ , as required in a linesearch method.

To guarantee convergence, the sequence of search directions must also be bounded away from orthogonality to the gradient, a condition that may not be met by successive Gauss-Newton directions unless the eigenvalues of  $J^T J$  are bounded away from zero. Powell [1970a] gives an example of convergence of a Gauss-Newton method with exact linesearch to a non-stationary point.

The Gauss-Newton method can be viewed as a modification of Newton's method in which  $J^T J$  is used to approximate the Hessian matrix

$$J^T J + \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \nabla^2 \phi_i = J^T J + B$$

of the nonlinear least-squares objective function. The assumption is that the matrix  $J^T J$  should be a good approximation to the full Hessian when the residuals are small. In fact, if  $f(x^*) = 0$  and  $J(x^*)^T J(x^*)$  is positive definite, then the sequence  $\{x_k + p_k^{GN}\}$  is locally quadratically convergent to  $x^*$ , because  $J(x_k)^T J(x_k)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(\|x_k - x^*\|)$  approximation to the Hessian of the nonlinear least-squares objective at  $x^*$ .

When  $J^T J$  is singular, or, equivalently, when  $J$  has linearly dependent columns, (3.1.1) does not have a unique minimizer. The set of vectors that minimize (3.1.1) is the same as the set of solutions to the linear least-squares problem

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Jp + f\|_2. \quad (3.1.3)$$

One (theoretically) well-defined alternative that is often approximated computationally is to require the unique solution of minimum  $l_2$  norm:

$$\min_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \|p\|_2. \quad (3.1.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of solutions to (3.1.3), while another is to replace  $J$  in (3.1.3) by a maximal linearly independent subset of its columns. In finite-precision arithmetic, there is often some ambiguity about how to formulate and solve an alternative to (3.1.3) when the columns of  $J$  are “nearly” linearly dependent, which is significant because the numerical solution of these problems is dependent on the criteria used to estimate the rank of  $J$ . Fraley [1987b] gives some detailed examples that illustrate some of the difficulties that arise in implementation.

### 3.2 Levenberg-Marquardt Methods

Levenberg-Marquardt methods alter the Gauss-Newton search direction in the range of  $J$ , by replacing  $J^T J$  in the quadratic model function with  $J^T J + \lambda D^T D$ , where  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $D$  is a diagonal scaling matrix with positive diagonal entries. The step  $p$  between successive iterates minimizes the quadratic model

$$g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T (J^T J + \lambda D^T D) p, \quad (3.2.1)$$

for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Since the matrix  $J^T J + \lambda D^T D$  is positive semidefinite, minimizers  $p_\lambda$  of (3.2.1) satisfy the equations

$$(J^T J + \lambda D^T D) p = -g = -J^T f, \quad (X2.2)$$

which are the normal equations for the linear least-squares problem

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J \\ \sqrt{\lambda} D \end{pmatrix} p - \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Equivalently,  $p$  solves

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T J^T J p \quad (X2.1)$$

$$\text{subject to } \|Dp\|_2 \leq \delta,$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ ; that is, the Gauss-Newton quadratic model is minimized subject to a trust-region constraint.

Considerable research effort has been directed toward improvements in this class of methods since their introduction by Levenberg [1944], Morrison [1960], and Marquardt [1963] for nonlinear least-squares problems, and independently by Goldfeld, Quandt, and Trotter [1966] for general unconstrained optimization. Moré [1978] gives an implementation in which he adjusts the step bound  $\delta$  in (3.2.4) rather than  $\lambda$ , a strategy used in trust-region methods for unconstrained optimization (see Moré [1983] for a survey). Changes in  $\delta$  depend on agreement between the actual reduction in the sum of squares

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \|f(x + p_\lambda)\|_2^2 - \|f(x)\|_2^2 \right),$$

with the reduction

$$g^T p_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} p_\lambda^T J^T J p_\lambda$$

predicted by the model  $J^T J + \lambda D^T D$ , which is the optimum value of the objective in (3.2.4).

- Increases are accomplished by taking  $\delta_{k+1} = 2\|D_k p_k\|_2$ , while  $\delta$  is decreased by multiplying by the factor  $\gamma < 1$ . In order to obtain  $\lambda$  when the bound in (3.2.4) is active, the nonlinear equation

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \|Dp_\lambda\|_2 - \delta = \left\| (J^T J + \lambda D^T D)^{-1} g \right\|_2 - \delta = 0 \quad (3.2.5)$$

is approximately solved by truncating a safeguarded Newton method based on the work of tiebden [1973] (see also Reinsch [1971]). Moré reports that, on the average, (3.2.5) is solved fewer than two times per iteration. He also proves global convergence to a stationary point of  $f^T f$ , without assuming boundedness for  $\{\lambda_k\}$ . Many computational details are given, including an efficient method for calculating the derivative of  $\Psi(\lambda)$  in (3.2.5) that uses the  $QR$  factorization of  $J$ . Equation (3.2.2) is solved by a modification of the two-stage factorization described by Osborne [1972] that allows column pivoting. Subroutine **LMDER** in **MINPACK** [Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom (1980)] is an implementation of the method. Variables are scaled internally in **LMDER** according to the following scheme: the initial scaling matrix  $D_0$  is the square root of the diagonal of  $J^T J$  evaluated at  $x_0$ , and the  $i$ th diagonal element of  $D_k$  is taken to be the maximum of the  $i$ th diagonal element of  $D_{k-1}$  and the square root of the  $i$ th diagonal element of  $J^T J$ . Numerical results are presented indicating that this scaling compares favorably with those used

in earlier research. The user also has the option of providing an initial diagonal scaling matrix that is retained throughout the computation.

### 3.3 Corrected Gauss-Newton Methods

Gill and Murray [1976] propose a linesearch algorithm that divides  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  into complementary subspaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is nearly orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ . The search direction is the sum of a Gauss-Newton direction in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ , and a projected Newton direction in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . This strategy avoids a shortcoming of Gauss-Newton methods — that components of the search direction that are nearly orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$  may not be well determined when  $J$  is ill-conditioned — **because** each component is computed from a reasonably well-conditioned subproblem. The vector  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*$  may **become** almost entirely in  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$  in a Gauss-Newton method, yet the algorithm computes a search direction that is virtually orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$  due to ill conditioning in the Jacobian (see Fraley [1987b]). Gill and Murray show that both Gauss-Newton algorithms defined by (3.1.4) and Levenberg-Marquardt algorithms generate search directions that lie in  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , while the Newton search direction generally will have a component in  $\mathcal{N}(J)$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , whenever  $J$  has linearly dependent columns. For problems with small residuals, they point out that  $J^T J$  is a reasonable approximation to the full Hessian in  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , but not in  $\mathcal{N}(J)$ . Thus, in situations where  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , and  $J$  is well-conditioned but has linearly dependent columns (for example, when  $m < n$ ), the Gauss-Newton and Levenberg-Marquardt directions have no component in the direction of  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*$ , while Newton's method and also the method of Gill and Murray would have components in both  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(J)$ .

A version of this algorithm called the *corrected Gauss-Newton method* [Gill and Murray (1978)] forms the basis for the nonlinear least-squares software in the NAG Library [1984]. Rules based on the relative size of the singular values of  $J$  are given for choosing an integer  $gradc(J)$  to approximate  $rank(J)$ , and an attempt is made to group together singular values that are similar in magnitude. The method is not as sensitive to  $gradc(J)$  as Gauss-Newton is to rank estimation, both because of the division of the computation of the search direction into separate components in  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , and because  $gradc(J)$  is varied adaptively based on a measure of the progress of the minimization. Moreover, the rate of convergence is potentially faster than Gauss-Newton or Levenberg-Marquardt methods on problems with **nonzero** residuals. The quantity  $gradc(J)$

is reduced when the sum of squares is not adequately decreasing, so that there is the potential of having  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{R}^n$  (with exact second derivatives, this implies taking full Newton steps) in the vicinity of a solution.

When  $\text{rank}(J) = \text{grad}r(J) = n$ , the search direction  $p$  is a full-rank Gauss-Newton direction. Otherwise the vector  $p$  is computed as the sum of two mutually orthogonal components: a Gauss-Newton direction, and a projected Newton direction. The projected Hessian is replaced by a modified Cholesky factorization (see Section 2.3.1) if it is computationally singular or indefinite. A modified Newton search direction (corresponding to the case  $\text{grad}r(J) = 0$ ) is used whenever if  $|\cos(g, p)|$  is smaller than some prescribed value, or if  $g^T p$  is positive. A quasi-Newton approximation to  $B$  (see the discussion in Section 3.4) and a finite-difference approximation to the projected matrix  $Z^T B Z$  along the columns of  $Z$ , where  $Z$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , are given as alternatives to handle cases in which second derivatives of the residual functions are not available or are difficult to compute. Gill and Murray test their method on a set of twenty-three problems, and find that the version that uses quasi-Newton approximations to  $B$  does not perform as well as those that use exact second derivatives or finite-difference approximations to a projection of  $B$ . They observe only linear convergence for the quasi-Newton version on problems with large residuals. The algorithms are implemented in the NAG Library [1984]; subroutine **E04HEF** uses exact second derivatives, while subroutine **E04GBF** is the quasi-Newton version.

### 3.4 Special Quasi-Newton Methods

Special quasi-Newton methods for nonlinear least squares use a Hessian of the form  $J^T J + \tilde{B}$  in the quadratic model, so that the search direction differs from the Gauss-Newton direction in  $\mathcal{R}(J^T)$ , and also has a component in  $\mathcal{N}(J)$  when  $J$  is rank-deficient. The matrix  $\tilde{B}$  is a quasi-Newton approximation to the term  $B = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \nabla^2 \phi_i$  in the Hessian of the nonlinear least-squares objective. Brown and Dennis [1971] first proposed a method in which the Hessian matrix of each of the residuals was updated separately. This approach is impractical because it entails the storage of  $m$  symmetric matrices of order  $n$ , and more recent research has aimed to approximate  $B$  as a sum.

Gill and Murray [1978] discuss a linesearch method in which they use the augmented Gauss-Newton quadratic model only to compute a component of the search direction in a subspace that approximates the null space of the Jacobian (see Section 3.3). They apply the BFGS



formula for unconstrained optimization (see, e. g., Dennis and Moré [1977]) to the matrix  $\tilde{H}_k = J_{k+1}^T J_{k+1} + \tilde{B}_k$  with the quasi-Newton condition

$$\tilde{H}_{k+1} s_k = y_k$$

where

$$s_k \equiv x_{k+1} - x_k \quad \text{and} \quad y_k \equiv g_{k+1} - g_k,$$

and then form  $B_{k+1} = H_{k+1} - J_{k+1}^T J_{k+1}$ . They point out that, if  $J_{k+1}^T J_{k+1} + B_k$  is positive definite, and  $y_k^T s_k > 0$ , then  $J_{k+1}^T J_{k+1} + \tilde{B}_{k+1}$  is also positive definite with this scheme. In order to safeguard the method, the projected approximate Hessian is replaced by a modified Cholesky factorization when it is singular or indefinite. In addition, if the cosine of the angle between the search direction and the gradient of the nonlinear least-squares objective exceeds a fixed threshold value, a modified Newton step with the full augmented approximate Hessian is taken. See Section 3.3 for a summary of their observations on the performance of the methods.

Dennis, Gay, and Welsch [1981a] apply a scaled DFP update to  $\tilde{B}_k$  at each step. The new approximation  $B_{k+1}$  solves

$$\min_{B; H} \|H^{-1/2}(\tau_k \tilde{B}_k - B)H^{-1/2}\|_F$$

subject to

$$H s_k = y_k; \quad H \text{ positive definite}$$

$$B s_k = J_{k+1}^T f_{k+1} - \bullet \quad ; f_{k+1}; \quad B \text{ symmetric,}$$

where

$$\tau_k \equiv \min\{|y_k^T s_k / s_k^T \tilde{B}_k s_k|, 1\}.$$

The scale factor  $\tau_k$  is based on the observation that the quasi-Newton approximation to  $B$  is often too large with the unscaled update, on account of the contribution of the residuals. The term  $|y_k^T s_k / s_k^T \tilde{B}_k s_k|$  in  $\tau_k$  is derived from the self-scaling principles for quasi-Newton methods of Oren [1973], and attempts to shift the eigenvalues of the approximation  $\tilde{B}_k$  to overlap with those of  $B_k$ , Using curvature information at  $x_k$ . The algorithm forms the basis for the ACM computer program **NL2SOL** [Dennis, Gay, and Welsch (1981b)], which is distributed by the PORT library [1984] as subroutines **N2G** and **DN2G**. It is implemented as an adaptive method, in that Gauss-Newton steps are taken if the Gauss-Newton quadratic model predicts the reduction in the function better than the quadratic model that includes the term involving  $\tilde{B}$ . A trust-region

strategy is used to enforce global convergence. Numerical results are given in Dennis, Gay, and Welsch [1981a] for a set of twenty-four test problems, many with two or three different starting values.

## 4. Discussion and Summary of Numerical Results

In this section, we summarize numerical results obtained for the unconstrained optimization and nonlinear least-squares methods; more detailed results are tabulated in the Appendix. The tests were performed using the following software:

- **DMNG/SUMSOL** - Trust-region method for unconstrained optimization that uses a quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian matrix. From the PORT Library [1984].
- **NPSOL** - Linesearch method for unconstrained optimization that uses a quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian matrix. From the Systems Optimization Laboratory, Stanford University (see Gill et al. [1986b; 1987]). Also available in the NAG Library.
- **DMNH/HUMSOL** - Trust-region method for unconstrained optimization that uses analytic second derivatives. From the PORT Library [1984].
- **E04LBF** - Linesearch method for unconstrained optimization that uses analytic second derivatives. This implementation, which is available at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, is from the National Physical Laboratory, England. It is essentially the same as subroutine **E04LBF** from the NAG Library [1984].
- **G-N** - Gauss-Newton algorithm for nonlinear least squares that uses **LSSOL** (Gill et al. [1986a]) to solve the linear least squares subproblems, and the linesearch from **NPSOL** (Gill et al. [1986b]). Both **LSSOL** and **NPSOL** are also available in the NAG Library.
- **LMDER** - Levenberg-Marquardt method for nonlinear least squares. From MINPACK (Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1980]).
- **DN2G/NL2SOL** - Adaptive method for nonlinear least squares (combines Gauss-Newton, Levenberg-Marquardt, and special quasi-Newton methods). From the PORT Library [1984].
- **LSQFDQ** - Corrected Gauss-Newton method that uses a quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian matrix. This implementation, which is available at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, is from the National Physical Laboratory, England. It is essentially the same as subroutine **E04GBF** from the NAG Library [1984].
- **LSQSDN** - Corrected Gauss-Newton method that uses analytic second derivatives. This implementation, which is available at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, is from the National Physical Laboratory, England. It is essentially the same as subroutine **E04HEF** from the NAG Library [1984].

Information about the individual test problems is given in the Appendix. The number of function evaluations required by each subroutine is listed in the tables at the end of this section. In addition, the following symbols are used:

0	zero-residual problem
l	linear least-squares problem
-	failure to achieve an approximate solution
~	appears to be unable to terminate at an approximate solution
l	local minimum
l	termination criteria satisfied at a point away from a local minimum
s	failed with default step length or trust-region size

Two columns of figures corresponding to two different values of a single parameter are given for each subroutine. For the Gauss-Newton methods, the parameter affects rank estimation; for all of the other methods, the parameter affects termination criteria. See the tables of numerical results given in the Appendix for information about the precise choices that were made. The wide variability in the numerical results makes it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the relative performance of the software, because observations of small samples could result in misleading generalizations. The sources of this variability are discussed below.

First, the number of function evaluations may not be an adequate basis for comparison. The routines vary in the number of gradient evaluations performed per function evaluation, and second-derivative methods require evaluation of the Hessian matrix. Moreover, when function evaluations are relatively inexpensive, costs could be dominated by other portions of the computation. Another difficulty in making comparisons is that the definition of an acceptable minimum varies from routine to routine. For example, the norm of the gradient of the nonlinear least-squares objective,  $\|g\|$ , at an alleged solution  $x^*$  may differ considerably for different software, although  $g(x^*) = 0$  is a necessary condition for a minimum. (On problem 10., **LMDER** terminates at a point for which  $\|g\|$  is of order  $10^0$ , while **DN2G** terminates at a point for which  $\|g\|$  is of order  $10^{-3}$ .) Most algorithms do not attempt to reduce  $\|g\|$  directly, but convergence criteria may include a threshold on  $\|g(x^*)\|$ . Depending on how this threshold is used in relation to other criteria, some routines may spend more function evaluations in anticipation of a reduction in  $\|g\|$  than others. A small value of  $\|g\|$  means greater certainty that a minimum has actually been obtained, but may be unreasonably expensive to achieve in practice.

Second, aside from design choices that define a particular implementation of an algorithm, the user is permitted to specify certain parameters that may affect performance. Fraley [1987b] gives examples that illustrate the sensitivity of Gauss-Newton methods to rank estimation criteria (see, e. g., problems **35b.**, **36a.**, and **20d.**). For problems on which an algorithm is linearly convergent, small changes in tolerances that are used to define convergence criteria can mean substantial differences in the amount of computation required in order to obtain a point satisfying conditions for convergence (see, e. g., DMNG on **24b.**, and **LMDER** on 40.). Selection of a maximum steplength or an initial trust-region radius can also be critical factor in the performance of a method. In these tests, the default values for these parameters were altered only in cases where a method was initially observed to fail by attempting to evaluate problem functions outside the region in which they are numerically defined (see, e. g., the results for the **DeVilliers** and **Glasser** test problems **42.** and **43.**). Failures of this sort may be caused either by poor scaling among the variables in the problem, or by ill-conditioning within subproblems. Hiebert [1981] is of the opinion that failure due to ill-conditioning can be avoided in software, but that it is not always possible to anticipate abnormal terminations that are caused by bad scaling.

In **NPSOL**, one can specify bounds on the variables, as well as adjust the maximum step length, in order to deal with this type of difficulty. Subroutine **E04LBF**, the version of **MNA** that is available in the **NAG** Library [1984], also provides for bounds on variables, and there are alternative versions of all of the PORT software used in these tests that allow bounds to be specified. Unfortunately, when bounds on the variables are included in the problem formulation, local minima at which the bounds are active may be found rather than local minima for the nonlinear least-squares problem. See Hanson and Krogh [1987] for numerical results in which simple bounds are included for some problems. Holt and Fletcher [1979] give an algorithm designed for nonlinear least-squares problems with explicit bounds on the variables.

Third, the performance of any given method over the set of test problems is by no means uniform, and it is not easy to separate the problems into classes for which the behavior of an algorithm can be categorized. One reason for this is that many of the test problems recur in the literature precisely because they have certain distinguishing properties. Powell's singular function and variants (**13.** and **22.**) are zero-residual problems in which the Jacobian becomes singular at the solution. The **McKeown** test problems (**39.**, **40.**, and **41.**) are chosen so that the Jacobian is well-conditioned everywhere, and the rate of convergence for the Gauss-Newton method with unit steplength can be controlled by varying a single parameter (the parameter can

also be chosen so that the unit-step Gauss-Newton method diverges). Both Powell's singular function and McKeown's test problems are constructed analytically rather than derived from data-fitting applications. The matrix square root problems (36.) are examples of small, dense, nonlinear systems of equations requiring a very **accurate solution**. **Watson's function (20.)** comes from polynomial interpolation, and has multiple local minima with small, but **nonzero**, residuals. It also has the feature that the Jacobian becomes increasingly ill-conditioned as the problem size is increased (see Fraley [1987b]). The Gulf Research and Development function (11.) has discontinuities in the derivative of each residual on a one-dimensional **subspace** and hence violates the assumption (made in developing all of the algorithms we have discussed) that the sum of **squares has continuous** second derivatives. The results for the **DeVilliers** and **Glasser** test problems (42. and 43.) illustrate variability in performance due to the use of different starting values. More generally, the behavior of a given method for a certain type of residual function may not be uniform over several sets of defining data of similar magnitude, as shown by the results for the Dennis, Gay, and Vu test problems (44. and 45.).

Finally, there is considerable diversity in performance among the routines tested, and few **generalizations** are possible. Our data generally supports the use of nonlinear least-squares software over that designed for general unconstrained minimization, but there are some exceptions (see, e. g., the **McKeown** test problems 39. - 41.). Of the nonlinear least-squares routines, **DN2G (NL2SOL)** is often the **best** (the Dennis, Gay, and Vu test problems 44. and 45. are examples of exceptions). When second derivatives are relatively cheap to obtain, the use of an unconstrained optimization method that uses exact second derivatives may be a reasonable alternative to a nonlinear least-squares method (see, e. g., the results for the penalty function **23b.**). Our tests do not indicate overall superiority of any particular method over the others; in situations in which a variety of problems are being solved, we conclude that it is desirable to have the flexibility to choose from among several methods.



## Summary of Results : Unconstrained Optimization Methods

(number of function evaluations)

### Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom Test Problems

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA		
1. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	40	42	27	29	32	32	14	14	
2. <sup>''</sup>	2	2	12'	12'	9	10	10'	10'	8	8	
3. <sup>''</sup>	2	2	217	220	897	897	130	132	175	175	
4. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	66	67	20	20	22	23	1	1	
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	16	17	20	22	11	12	35'	35''	
6.	2	10	33	34	14'	15'	11	11	12	12	
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	28	30	37	38	16	17	14	14	
8.	3	15	19	22	22	23	9	10	11	11	
9.	3	15	8	12	8	9	4	4	3	3	
10.	3	16	465	467	450	450	382	388	249	249	
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	4'	327	2'	2'	290	292	538	538	
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	43	45	34	35	24	24	43	43	
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	62 <sup>t</sup>	89	66	71	27 <sup>t</sup>	38	38	23'	23 <sup>t</sup>
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	100	102	50	51	42	49	54	54	
15.	4	11	35	36	33	35	11	12	20	20	
16.	4	20	46	47	24	25	11	13	9	10	
17.	5	33	69	72	32''	56'	46'	47'	43	43	
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	45	47	45'	45'	—	—	44	44	
19.	11	65	69	72	88	90	23	24	7 <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>s</sup>	
20a.	6	31	35	37	43	46	15	15	13	13	
20b.	9	31	76	79	83	85	20	22	14	14	
20c.	12	31	89'	148'	55 <sup>t</sup>	151	24	24	14	14	
20d.	20	31	110'	134'	73'	114'	50'	~	129s'	1295'	
21a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	120	125	101	104	2s	26	14	14	
21b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	189	193	252	265	27	27	14	14	
22a. <sup>0</sup>	12	12	143'	235	83'	165 <sup>t</sup>	28'	40	23 <sup>t</sup>	23'	
22b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	187'	344	103'	196'	29 <sup>t</sup>	40	24 <sup>t</sup>	24'	
23a.	4	5	77	78	198	198	42	43	43	43	
23b.	10	11	80	81	117	124	44	45	44	44	
24a.	4	8	364	472	23'	462	126	128	158	158	
24b.	10	20	475	632	368	419	158	162	133	133	



## Summary of Results : Nonlinear Least-Squares Methods

(number of function evaluations)

### Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom Test Problems

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
<b>1."</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>2.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>180'</b>	<b>235'</b>	<b>14'</b>	<b>21'</b>	<b>10'</b>	<b>12'</b>	<b>36'</b>	<b>36'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>
<b>3.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>31'</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>64</b>	65	47	47	47	47
<b>4.<sup>0</sup></b>	54	54	40'	54	40'	53	64	64	53	53
<b>5."</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>36'</b>	<b>36''</b>
<b>7.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<i>i</i>	<i>i</i>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>11.<sup>0</sup></b>							<b>69'</b>	<b>69'</b>	<b>30''</b>	<b>30''</b>
<b>12."</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>13.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>16'</b>	<b>16'</b>	65	65	<b>19'</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>
<b>14.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>15.</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>16.</b>	<b>3651</b>	<b>3651</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>17.</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>18.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>—'</b>	<b>243'</b>	<b>247'</b>
<b>19.</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	19	19
20a.	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
20b.	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>20c.</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
20d.	<b>6'</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18</b>	23	7'	~	18	18	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	27	27	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	<b>16'</b>	<b>16'</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>20'</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	16'	<b>16'</b>	69	69	<b>39'</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>
<b>23a.</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>23b.</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>24a.</b>	1043	1043	151	156	<b>139</b>	142	411	411	228	228
<b>24b.</b>			<b>80</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>566'</b>	<b>566'</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>150</b>

**Summary of Results : Unconstrained Optimization Methods .**

(number of function evaluations)

**Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom Test Problems (continued)**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
25a. <sup>0</sup>	10	12	20	21	19	20	15	15	14	14
25b. <sup>0</sup>	20	22	25	26	24	24	18	19	17	18
26a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	34'	37 <sup>l</sup>	33'	35'	11'	12'	21	21
26b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	62'	65'	76 <sup>l</sup>	78 <sup>l</sup>	20'	20'	30	30
27a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	13	16	18	19	9	10	22	22
27b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	15	18	30	32	11	12	31	31
28a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	31	34	33	36	4	4	4	4
28b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	60	64	54	56	4	4	4	4
29a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	8	10	7	8	4	5	4	4
29b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	8	10	7	8	4	5	4	4
30a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	51	57	37	40	6	7	7	7
30b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	65	88	65'	67'	6	7	7	7
31a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	46	60	67'	70 <sup>l</sup>	9	9	9	9
31b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	47	63	141	144	9	9	9	9
32. <sup>L</sup>	10	20	6	6	2	2	6	6	4	4
33. <sup>'</sup>	10	20	4	4	4	4	5	5	27	27
34. <sup>L</sup>	10	20	5	5	4	4	6	6	20	20
35a.	8	8	34	38	31	33	14	14	41	41
35b. <sup>0</sup>	9	9	44	46	29	32	17	18	66	66
35c.	10	10	41'	45'	37'	42'	19	20	86	86

**Matrix Square Root Test Problems**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
36a. <sup>0</sup>	4	4			- <sup>t</sup>	- <sup>t</sup>	-	-		
36b. <sup>0</sup>	9	9			- <sup>t</sup>	- <sup>t</sup>	-	-		
36c. <sup>0</sup>	9	9	69	101	3	3	31	35	3188	3188
36d. <sup>0</sup>	9	9			- <sup>t</sup>	- <sup>t</sup>	-	-		

**Summary of Results : Nonlinear Least-Squares Methods**

(number of function evaluations)

**Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom Test Problems (con t inued)**

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
25a. <sup>0</sup>	11	11	11	12	15	16	16	16	12	17
25b. <sup>0</sup>	13	13	13	14	19	19	18	18	14	19
26a. <sup>0</sup>	16	16	28'	37 <sup>l</sup>	11	12	22	22	18	22
26b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	57'	71 <sup>l</sup>	39	42	18	24	18	26
27a. <sup>0</sup>	21	21	15	15	8	9	26'	26'	22'	28'
27b. <sup>0</sup>	31'	31'	5'	18	11	12	31'	31'	21'	27'
28a. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
28b. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4
29a. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	5	5	4	4	10	10	6	6
29b. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	5	5	4	4	10	10	6	6
30a. <sup>0</sup>	6	6	6	7	8	9	11	11	7	11
30b. <sup>0</sup>	6	6	6	i	8	9	11	11	7	11
31a. <sup>0</sup>	7	7	7	8	10	11	12	12	8	12
31b. <sup>0</sup>	7	7	7	8	10	11	12	12	8	12
32. <sup>L</sup>	2	2	3	3	5	5	2	2	2	2
33. <sup>L</sup>	3	—	3	8	18	18	2	2	2	2
34. <sup>L</sup>	3	3	3	i	13	13	2	2	2	2
35a.	— <sup>t</sup>	—	40	53	23	24	87'	87'	74	74
35b. <sup>0</sup>	148	— <sup>t</sup>	12	13	11	11	34	34	30	34
35c.		— <sup>t</sup>	25	34	17'	19'	73' <sup>sl</sup>	73' <sup>sl</sup>	43'	43'

**Matrix Square Root Test Problems**

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
36a. <sup>0</sup>	2885'	36	—	—	—	—	44	44	38	38
36b. <sup>0</sup>	683'	36	9	10	16'	—	44	44	38	38
36c. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	29	40	16	22	28	28	28	28
36d. <sup>0</sup>	74 <sup>l</sup>	—	2	2	4	4	424	424	380	380

**Summary of Results : Unconstrained Optimization Methods**

(number of function evaluations)

**Hanson Test Problems**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
<b>37.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>38.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>21'</b>	<b>23'</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>

**McKeown Test Problems**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
<b>39a.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>39b.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>39c.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>39d.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>39e.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>39f.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>39g.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>40a.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>40b.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>40c.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>40d.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1s</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>40e.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<i>i</i>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>40f.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>40g.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>41a.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>41b.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>41c.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>41d.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>41e.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>41f.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>41g.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>

## Summary of Results : Nonlinear Least-Squares Methods

(number of function evaluations)

### Hanson Test Problems

	<i>G - N</i>		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
37.	39	39	15	21	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	25	25	9	9
38.	58	58	18	28	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	30	30	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>

### McKeown Test Problems

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
39a.	8	8	5	<b>6</b>	5	5	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	4	4
<b>39b.</b>	32	32	14	21	6	<b>7</b>	24	24	6	6
<b>39c.</b>	23	23	18	<b>25</b>	7	8	22	23	9	9
<b>39d.</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>681</b>	20	28	<i>i</i>	8	31	32	12	12
39e.	–	–	28	44	9	<b>10</b>	32	32	12	12
<b>39f.</b>			<b>31</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	43	43	25	25
39g.	–	–	39	44	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>40a.</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	6	9	<b>7</b>	7	18	18	5	5
<b>40b.</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	7	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	6	6
40c.	380	380	16	22	9	<b>10</b>	27	27	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>40d.</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>781</b>	26	40	9	9	33	34	13	13
40e.	–		90	<b>146</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	70	<b>72</b>	45	45
<b>40f.</b>	–	–	<b>180</b>	272	13	14	92	92	49	49
40g.	–	–	206	<b>319</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>123'</b>	<b>123'</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>41a.</b>	5	5	4	4	4	4	8	8	4	4
4 lb.	6	6	4	<b>5</b>	4	5	18	18	4	4
4 lc.	12	<b>12</b>	6	8	6	6	21	21	5	5
<b>41d.</b>	30	30	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	38	38	9	9
<b>41e.</b>	222	222	29	38	<b>17</b>	20	47	47	14	14
<b>41f.</b>	933	933	57	89	24	<b>27</b>	54	54	16	16
<b>41g.</b>	3285	3285	84	144	29	30	62	62	21	21

**Summary of Results : Unconstrained Optimization Methods .**

(number of function evaluations)

**DeVilliers and Glasser Test Problems**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
42a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>60<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>61<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>41<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>41<sup>r</sup></b>
42b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>103<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>104<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>140<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>141<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>
42c. <sup>0</sup>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>51<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>52<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
42d. <sup>0</sup>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>56<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>57<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
43a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>44<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>53<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>30<sup>r</sup></b>
43b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>37<sup>s,l</sup></b>	<b>38<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>17<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>17<sup>r</sup></b>
43c. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44<sup>s,l</sup></b>	<b>54<sup>s,l</sup></b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>89<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>89<sup>r</sup></b>
43d. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>112<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>120<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>28<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>41<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>42<sup>s</sup></b>
43e. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>95<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>97<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>28<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>29<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>142<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>143<sup>s</sup></b>
43f. <sup>0</sup>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>56<sup>r</sup></b>	<b>59<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>37<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>37<sup>r</sup></b>

**Dennis, Gay, and Vu Test Problems**

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	DMNG		NPSOL		DMNH		MNA	
44a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>144</b>
44b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<i>57</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>9</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>
44c. <sup>0</sup>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3726</b>	<b>3731</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>915</b>
44d. <sup>0</sup>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-<sup>t</sup></b>	<b>3865</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>915</b>	<b>916</b>
44e. <sup>0</sup>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-<sup>t</sup></b>	<b>2815</b>	<b>1976</b>	<b>1978</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>476</b>
45a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>186</b>
45b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>
45c. <sup>0</sup>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6197</b>	<b>6200</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>1416</b>	<b>1416</b>
45d. <sup>0</sup>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7929</b>	<b>1934</b>	<b>1654</b>	<b>1656</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>1478</b>	<b>1479</b>
45e. <sup>0</sup>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3341</b>	<b>3346</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>1441</b>	<b>1441</b>

**Summary of Results : Nonlinear Least-Squares Methods**

(number of function evaluations)

**DeVilliers and Glasser Test Problems**

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
42a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>51'</b>	<b>51'</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>29'</b>	<b>29''</b>	<b>73'<sup>i</sup></b>	<b>73'<sup>i</sup></b>	<b>60''</b>	64''
42b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>611'</b>	–	48'	49'	74'	<b>74<sup>s</sup></b>	94'	94'	<b>75<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>75<sup>s</sup></b>
42c. <sup>0</sup>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	20'	20'	32'	32'	46'	46'	48'	48'
42d. <sup>0</sup>	24'	24'	15'	16'	23	24	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>
43a. <sup>0</sup>	23'	23'	14'	15'	31	32	33'	33''	25'	33'
43b. <sup>0</sup>	<b>15''</b>	20'	18'	18'	20	20	45'	45'	37'	45'
43c. <sup>0</sup>	24'	24'	<b>11'</b>	<b>11<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>34'</b>	<b>41'</b>	33'	33'	25'	33'
43d. <sup>0</sup>	<b>18'</b>	<b>18'</b>	<b>22<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>23<sup>s</sup></b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>38<sup>s</sup></b>	38'	30'	38'
43e. <sup>0</sup>	<b>31'</b>	<b>31'</b>	<b>12'</b>	<b>13'</b>	28	29	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>	27'	<b>19'</b>	<b>27<sup>s</sup></b>
43f. <sup>0</sup>	22	22	12	13	20	20	31	31	23	31

**Dennis, Gay, and Vu Test Problems**

	G-N		LMDER		DN2G		LSQFDQ		LSQSDN	
44a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>171</b>	<b>171</b>	37	38	58	59	97	97	93	95
44b. <sup>0</sup>	5	5	5	6	7	7	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	6	10
44c. <sup>0</sup>	55	<b>55</b>	108	<b>109</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>47</b>	47	47	<b>47</b>
44d. <sup>0</sup>	35	35	98	99	<b>97</b>	98	40	40	40	40
44e. <sup>0</sup>	42	42	82	83	83	83	47	47	<b>47</b>	47
45a. <sup>0</sup>	<b>111</b>	<b>171</b>	47	48	<b>65</b>	66	<b>97</b>	97	93	<b>95</b>
45b. <sup>0</sup>	5	5	5	6	8	8	12	12	6	12
45c. <sup>0</sup>	41	41	164	<b>165</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>47</b>	<i>4i</i>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>
45d. <sup>0</sup>	35	35	144	<b>145</b>	168	168	42	42	42	42
45e. <sup>0</sup>	42	42	130	131	<b>173</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	43	43





## 5. Appendix : Numerical Results

In this section, numerical results are presented for a **large** set of test problems, using software based on the unconstrained optimization techniques and methods for nonlinear least squares problems discussed in Sections 2 and 3.

### 5.1 Sources and Presentation

The following is a list of the software sources that were used to obtain the results:

subroutine	source	problem type	derivatives
<b>DMNG/SUMSOL</b>	<b>PORT</b>	unconstrained	first
NPSOL	<b>SOL / NAG</b>	unconstrained	first
<b>DMNH/HUMSOL</b>	<b>PORT</b>	unconstrained	second
MNA	<b>NPL / NAG</b>	unconstrained	second
<b>G-N</b>	uses SOL / NAG LSSOL	least squares	first
LMDER	<b>MINPACK</b>	least squares	first
<b>DN2G/NL2SOL</b>	PORT	least squares	first
LSQFDQ	<b>NPL / NAG</b>	least squares	first
LSQSDN	<b>NPL / NAG</b>	least squares	second

<b>ACM</b>	- Association for Computing Machinery
<b>MINPACK</b>	- Argonne National Laboratory, U. S. A.
<b>NAG</b>	- Numerical Algorithms Group
<b>NPL</b>	- National Physical Laboratory, England
<b>PORT</b>	- PORT Mathematical Software Library, A. T. & T. Bell Laboratories, Inc.
<b>SOL</b>	- Systems Optimization Laboratory, Stanford University

All of the programs were run in FORTRAN using double precision on the IBM 3081 and IBM 3033 computers at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, for which

$$\text{relative machine precision } \epsilon_M = 2.22 \dots \times 10^{-16}; \quad \sqrt{\epsilon_M} = 1.49 \dots \times 10^{-8}.$$

In the tables, associated with the label 'est. err.', we include the quantity

$$\frac{\|f^*\|_2^2 - \|f_{best}\|_2^2}{1 + \|f_{best}\|_2^2}, \quad (5.1.1)$$

where  $f^*$  is the value of  $f$  at the point of termination, and  $\|f_{best}\|_2$  is the best available estimate of the norm of the solution, in order to get some idea of the error in  $\|f^*\|_2$ . For those problems

that have **nonzero** residuals, the value of  $\|f_{best}\|_2$  is given to six figures of accuracy, rounded down.

The following abbreviations are used in the headings of the tables:

**est. err.** - error estimate (5.1.1)  
**conv.** - termination conditions

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe conditions under which the algorithm terminates abnormally :

**F LIM.** - function evaluation limit reached  
**TIME** - time limit exceeded  
**LOOP** - subroutine appears to loop

For information on the test problems, see Section 5.9.

## 5.2 Trust-Region Methods

(PORT/ACM DMNH/HUMSL and DMNG/SUMSL)

### 5.2.1 Software and Algorithms

The results were obtained using subroutines **DMNH** and **DMNG**, which are double precision versions of the ACM algorithms **HUMSL** and **SUMSL** available in the PORT Library [1984]. A subproblem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q_k(p) &\equiv g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p \\ \text{subject to } &\|D_k p\|_2 \leq \delta_k \end{aligned}$$

is solved at each iteration for the step  $p_k$  to the next iterate, where  $D_k$  is a diagonal scaling matrix, and  $H_k$  is the exact Hessian matrix at  $x_k$  in **DMNH**, and a quasi-Newton approximation in **DMNG** (see Sections 2.3.2 and 2.4).

### 5.2.2 Parameters

Parameters were kept at their default values with the following exceptions:

<b>IV(MXFCAL)</b>	$\min\{9999, 1000n\}$	function evaluation limit
<b>IV(MXITER)</b>	$\min\{9999, 1000n\}$	iteration limit
<b>V(AFCTOL)</b>	$\text{TOL}^2$ (varied; see tables)	absolute function convergence tolerance
<b>V(RFCTOL)</b>	TOL (varied; see tables)	relative function convergence tolerance
<b>V(SCTOL)</b>	$\epsilon_M$	singular convergence tolerance
<b>V(XCTOL)</b>	TOL (varied; see tables)	$x$ convergence tolerance
<b>V(XFTOL)</b>	$\epsilon_M$	false convergence tolerance
<b>V(LMAX0)</b>	usually 1.0 (default) †	initial trust-region diameter

† In some cases the default  $V(LMAX0) = 1.0$  for the initial diameter of the trust-region was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation. These cases are indicated in the table by giving the lower value of  $V(LMAX0)$  that was subsequently used to obtain the results in the column labeled "init. diam."

See Dennis, Gay, and Welsch [1981a, 1981b], Gay [1983], and PORT [1984] for details concerning the parameters.

### 5.2.3 Convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{objective function} & : \mathcal{F}_k (= \frac{1}{2} f_k^T f_k) \\
 \text{objective gradient} & : g_k = \nabla \mathcal{F}_k (= J_k^T f_k) \\
 \text{current step} & : p_k, \text{ the minimizer of the subproblem} \\
 \text{Newton step} & : p_N \begin{cases} H_k^{-1} g & \text{if } H_k \text{ is positive definite;} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 \text{Newton reduction} & : \rho_N = \begin{cases} -Q_k(p_N) & \text{if } H_k \text{ is positive definite;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 \text{predicted reduction} & : \rho_r = -Q_k(p_k) \\
 \text{actual reduction} & : \rho_A = \mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k) \\
 \text{scaled distance} & : \nu(x, y, D) = \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|(D(x - y))_i|\}}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|(Dx)_i| + |(Dy)_i|\}}. \dagger
 \end{aligned}$$

† Here  $v_i$  denotes the  $i$ th component of the vector  $v$ . There is a provision for the user to replace the function  $\nu$ ; we used the default in **all** of the tests.

The convergence criteria used in **DMNH** and **DMNG** are as follows:

- Absolute *function* convergence occurs at  $x_k$  if

$$|\mathcal{F}_k| < \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{AFCTOL}). \quad (5.2.1)$$

- Relative *function convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x^*) \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{RFCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|.$$

The test actually used is

$$\rho_N \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{RFCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|. \quad (5.2.2)$$

- *x convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\nu(x_k, x^*, D_k) \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{XCTOL}),$$

The test actually used is

$$p_k = p_N \text{ and } \nu(x_k, x_k + p_k, D_k) \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{XCTOL}). \quad (5.2.3)$$

- *Singular convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \min \{ \mathcal{F}(y) \mid \|D_k(y - x_k)\| \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{LMAXS}) \} < \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{SCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|,$$

where  $D_k$  is the diagonal scaling matrix at the  $k$ th iterate. The test for singular convergence is made only when none of the convergence criteria listed above holds. It is **meant to indicate**

relative function **convergence** when the Hessian in the subproblem is singular.

The actual test is

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \min \{ Q_k(y) \mid \|D_k(y - x_k)\| \leq V(\text{LMAXS}) \} < V(\text{SCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|. \quad (5.2.4)$$

Under certain conditions, the test **(S.2.4)** is repeated for a step of length **V(LMAXS)**.

• **False convergence** is returned if none of the other criteria are satisfied and a trial step no larger than **V(XFCTOL)** is rejected. This usually indicates either an error in computing the objective gradient, a discontinuity (in  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $g$ ) near the current iterate, or that one or more of the convergence tolerances (**V(RFCTOL)**, **V(XCTOL)**, and **V(AFCTOL)**) are too small relative to the accuracy to which the objective is computed.

The test actually used is

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k) \leq V(\text{TUNER1}) \rho_F \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_k, x_k + p_k, D_k) \leq V(\text{XFTOL}), \quad (5.2.5)$$

where the parameter **V(TUNER1)** is adjustable, although in these tests the default value **0.1** is used **throughout**.

Except for **(5.2.1)**, tests for convergence are performed only when

$$\rho_A \leq 2\rho_F. \quad (\text{S.2.6})$$

See Dennis, Gay, and **Welsch [1981a, 1981b]**, **Gay [1983]**, and **PORT [1984]** for more discussion of the convergence criteria.

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

<b>ABS. F</b>	-	<b>(52.1)</b>
<b>REL. F</b>	-	<b>(52.2)</b> and <b>(5.2.6)</b>
<b>X</b>	-	<b>(5.2.3)</b> and <b>(S.2.6)</b>
<b>X, F</b>	-	<b>(5.2.2)</b> and <b>(5.2.3)</b> and <b>(5.2.6)</b>
<b>SING.</b>	-	<b>(5.2.4)</b> and <b>(5.2.6)</b>
<b>FALSE</b>	-	<b>(S.2.S)</b> and <b>(S.2.6)</b>
<b>F LIM.</b>	-	function evaluation limit reached
<b>TIME</b>	-	time limit exceeded
<b>LOOP</b>	-	subroutine appears to loop

The total number of Jacobian evaluations is either equal to the total number of iterations of the method, or it is one more than the number of iterations. The number in the column labeled "**iters. / J evals.**" is followed by a "+" if an extra Jacobian evaluation was used in the computation.



## Numerical Results for DMNG

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>1."</b>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		<b>40</b>	35+	<b>1.41</b>	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		42	37+	<b>1.41</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-20}$	X
<b>2.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		12	10+	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^1$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>12</b>	10+	<b>11.4</b>	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^1$	REL. F
<b>3.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		217	160+	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		220	162+	<b>9.11</b>	$10^{-12}$	$10^{''}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
4."	2	3	$10^{-8}$		66	11+	$10^{''}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-15}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		61	12+	$10^{''}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-22}$	X
5."	2	3	$10^{-8}$		16	14+	<b>3.04</b>	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-22}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		17	15+	<b>3.04</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
<b>6.</b>	2	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		<b>33</b>	20+	.365	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		34	21+	.365	$10^{''}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
<b>7.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	3	$10^{-8}$		28	23+	<b>1.00</b>	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		30	25+	<b>1.00</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
8.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		<b>19</b>	17+	2.60	$10^{''}$	$10^{''}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.60</b>	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
9.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		<b>8</b>	5+	<b>1.08</b>	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-14}$	X, REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.08</b>	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-14}$	REL. F
<b>10.</b>	3	<b>16</b>	$10^{-8}$		465	325+	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>467</b>	<b>327</b>	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
<b>11."</b>	3	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	<b>5.66</b>	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-2}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		327	267+	55.9	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F
<b>12.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		43	34+	<b>10.1</b>	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>45</b>	<b>36+</b>	<b>10.1</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
<b>13.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		<b>62</b>	61+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>89</b>	88+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
<b>14.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	<b>6</b>	$10^{-8}$		<b>100</b>	78+	<b>2.00</b>	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{''''}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>102</b>	80+	<b>2.00</b>	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
<b>15.</b>	4	<b>11</b>	$10^{-8}$		35	31+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>36</b>	32+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
<b>16.</b>	4	<b>20</b>	$10^{-8}$		<b>46</b>	34+	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>47</b>	35+	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
<b>17.</b>	5	<b>33</b>	$10^{-8}$		69	55+	<b>2.46</b>	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		<i>72</i>	58+	<b>2.46</b>	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
<b>18.<sup>0</sup></b>	6	<b>13</b>	$10^{-8}$		45	41+	<b>18.7</b>	$10^{''}$	$10^{''}$	$10^{-2}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		47	43+	18.7	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-2}$	REL. F
<b>19.</b>	11	<b>65</b>	$10^{-8}$		<b>69</b>	58+	9.38	$10^{''}$	$10^{''''}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		72	61+	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F

Numerical Results for DMNG

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
20a.	6	31	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		35	32+	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
					37	34+	<b>2.44</b>	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
20b.	9	31	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		76	69+	<b>6.06</b>	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-13}$	REL F
					79	74	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-13}$	REL F
20c.	12	31	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		89	85+	<b>1.28</b>	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	REL F
					148	143	2.87	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	REL F
20d.	20	31	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		<b>119</b> <b>119</b>	107+ 119	<b>1.06</b>	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$ $10^{-13}$	X FALSE
21a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		120	98+	<b>3.16</b>	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS F
					12s	103+	<b>3.16</b>	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	X
21b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		189	148+	4.47	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	X
					193	152+	4.47	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
22a. <sup>0</sup>	12	12	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		143	131+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
					235	219+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
22b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		187	153+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
					344	311+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
23a.	4	5	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		i i	57+	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	REL. F
					78	58+	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	REL. F
23b.	10	11	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		80	67+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
					81	68+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
24a.	4	8	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		364	270+	.769	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
					472	355+	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
24b.	10	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		475	367+	.606	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
					632	510+	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
25a. <sup>0</sup>	10	12	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		20	19+	<b>3.16</b>	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	ABS. F
					21	20+	<b>3.16</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	X
25b. <sup>0</sup>	20	22	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		2s	24+	4.47	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
					26	25+	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS. F
26a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		34	32+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	REL. F
					3i	35+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	REL. F
26b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		62	59+	.231	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	REL. F
					65	62	.231	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	REL. F
27a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		13	11+	3.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
					16	14+	<b>3.16</b>	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
27b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		15	12+	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
					18	15+	4.47	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS F
28a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		31	25+	.412	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS F
					34	28+	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
28b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		60	48+	.571	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
					64	52+	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F



Numerical Results for DMNG

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	t-d- err.	coll v
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		<b>8</b>	7+	.412	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-16}$	ARC F
			$10^{-12}$		<b>10</b>	9+	.412	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-25}$	ARC F
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		<b>8</b>	7+	.571	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		10	9+	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS F
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	JO	10	$10^{-8}$		51	39+	<b>2.05</b>	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-15}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		57	45+	2.05	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	X
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		65	45+	3.04	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ARC F
			$10^{-12}$		88	61+	3.04	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		46	26+	1.80	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		60	35+	1.80	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	ABC F
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		41	26+	2.66	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		63	36	2.66	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-23}$	X
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		6	4	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	0.00	X AFL F
			$10^{-12}$		6	4	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	0.00	X REL F
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		4	2	1.16	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	X REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	2	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	X REL F
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		5	3	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-6}$	X REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	3	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-6}$	X REL F
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		34	24	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		38	27+	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	REL F
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		44	32+	1.73	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		46	34+	1.73	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>35c.</b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		41	31+	1.81	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		45	36+	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-3}$	REL F
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		(4000)	(2891)	17.0	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$	F LIM
			$10^{-12}$		(4000)	(2891)	17.0	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$	F LIM
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(9000)	(6426)	228.	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-12}$	F LIM
			$10^{-12}$		(9000)	(6426)	228.	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-12}$	F LIM
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		69	64+	1.73	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		101	96+	1.73	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(9000)	(6486)	228.	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-12}$	F LIM
			$10^{-12}$		(9000)	(6486)	228.	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-12}$	F LIM
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$		22	10+	9.05	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		22	10+	9.05	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	REL F
<b>38.</b>	3	16	$10^{-8}$		31	17+	26.1	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		32	18+	26.1	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F

### Numerical Results for DMNG

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
39a.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		9	8+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	10+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39b.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		9	8+	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	9+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39c.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		6	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		7	6+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39d.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		8	6+	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		9	7+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39e.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		12	9+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39f.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	8+	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39g.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		17	13+	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		18	14+	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40a.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		11	10+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		12	11+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40b.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		10	9+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		12	11+	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40c.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		9	7+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	8+	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40d.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		10	7+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	8+	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	REL F
40e.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		13	10+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40f.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		14	11+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		16	13+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40g.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		18	14+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^0$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		20	16+	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	REL F
41a.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^0$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		13	10+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41b.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		13	10+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41c.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		13	9+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		14	10+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	REL F
41d.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		11	12+	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		20	15+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41e.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		24	20+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		26	22+	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	REL F
41f.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		27	22+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		31	26+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41g.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		32	26+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		38	29+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F

## Numerical Results for DMNG

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		53	41+	GO.8	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		56	43+	GO.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	x
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.9	103	69+	61.9	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.9	104	70+	61.9	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	x
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		76	52+	GO.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		78	54+	GO.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		61	48+	GO.3	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		64	51+	GO.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-24}$	x
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		49	34+	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		51	36+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-24}$	x
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		58	37+	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		60	39+	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	x
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		41	29+	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		44	32+	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		57	44+	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		60	47+	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		51	41+	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		53	43+	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	x
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		45	36+	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		48	39+	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	x
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		441	341+	4.03	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		444	344+	4.03	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		31	24+	3.52	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		34	27+	3.52	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		3726	2748+	20.6	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		3731	2753+	20.6	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-12}$		<b>3862</b>	<b>2915+</b>	15.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	REL. F
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		2104	1550+	12.3	$10^{-1}$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		2813	2098+	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		284	227+	4.06	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		288	231+	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		36	28+	3.56	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		40	32+	3.56	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		6197	4538+	20.6	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		6200	4541+	20.6	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		7929	5976+	15.3	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		7934	5981+	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		334 1	2511+	9.31	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		334 6	2517+	9.31	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS. F



### Numerical Results for DMNH

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
1. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		32	24+	14.1	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		32	24+	1.41	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F
2. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		10	i+	11.1	$10^1$	$10^{-11}$	$10^1$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		10	i+	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-11}$	$10^1$	REL. F
3. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		130	101+	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		132	103+	9.11	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F
4. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		22	10+	$10^6$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-22}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		23	11+	$10^6$	$10^{-22}$	$10^{-22}$	$10^{-44}$	X
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	3.04	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		12	9+	3.04	$10^{0.00}$	$10^{0.00}$	$10^{0.00}$	ABS. F
6. <sup>0</sup>	2	10	$10^{-8}$		11	10+	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		11	10+	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	$10^{-8}$		16	12+	1.00	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		17	13+	1.00	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	ABS. F
8. <sup>0</sup>	3	15	$10^{-8}$		9	8+	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		10	9	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
9. <sup>0</sup>	3	15	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	X, REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	REL. F
10. <sup>0</sup>	3	16	$10^{-8}$		387	244+	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		388	245	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$		290	167+	ss.9	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		292	169+	55.9	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS. F
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$		24	19+	10.1	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		24	19+	10.1	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		21	26+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		38	37+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	$10^{-8}$		42	32+	2.00	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		49	38+	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ABS. F
15. <sup>0</sup>	4	11	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		12	9	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
16. <sup>0</sup>	4	20	$10^{-8}$		11	9+	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		13	11	li. G	$10^2$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	X, REL. F
17. <sup>0</sup>	5	33	$10^{-8}$	0.2	46	32+	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$	0.2	47	33+	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	$10^{-8}$		(6000)	(1824+)	283.	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(5000)	(1820+)	275.	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
19. <sup>0</sup>	11	65	$10^{-8}$		23	17+	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		24	18+	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F

### Numerical Results for DMNH

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-8}$		15	14	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-10}$	X. REL F
			$10^{-12}$		15	14	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-10}$	X. REL F
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-8}$		20	16+	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		22	18	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	X. REL F
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-8}$		24	19	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	X. REL F
			$10^{-12}$		24	19	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	X. REL F
20d.	20	31	$10^{-8}$		so	26+	1.10	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		(149)	(55+)	1.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	LOOP
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		25	22+	3.16	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		26	23+	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS F
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		21	23+	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		21	23+	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS F
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-8}$		28	27+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		40	39+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-18}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		29	28+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		40	39+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-18}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-8}$		42	36+	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		43	37	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
<b>23b.</b>	10	11	$10^{-8}$		44	37+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		45	38+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-8}$		126	110+	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		128	112+	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
<b>24b.</b>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		158	106+	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		162	110	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-9}$	X. REL F
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-8}$		15	14+	3.113	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		15	14+	3.16	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS F
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-8}$		18	17+	4.47	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		19	18	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	X
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		11	9+	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-5}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		12	10	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-5}$	REL F
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		20	16	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	X. REL F
			$10^{-12}$		20	16	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	X. REL F
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		9	7+	3.16	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-21}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		10	8+	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS F
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		11	9+	4.47	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		12	11+	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS F
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS F

# Numerical Results for DMNH

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	ABC. F
					5	4+	.412	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS. F
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS. F
					5	4+	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS. F
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		6	5+	2.05	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABC. F
					$i$	6+	2.05	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		6	5+	3.04	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
					7	6+	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS. F
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		9	8+	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F
						9	8+	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		9	8+	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS. F
						9	8+	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		6	4	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-32}$	0.00	X. REL. F
						6	4	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-32}$	0.00
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		5	4	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
						5	4	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		6	5	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
						6	5	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		14	11	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	X. REL. P
						14	11	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		17	12+	1.73	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
						18	13+	1.73	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$
35c.	10	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		19	11+	1.76	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
						20	12	1.76	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		(4000)	(2190)	17.8	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	P. LIM.
						(4000)	(2190)	17.8	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		(1832)	(611)	9.15	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	TIME
						(1881)	(625)	9.24	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		31	30+	1.73	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS. F
						35	33+	1.73	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-25}$
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		(1900)	(638)	9.38	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	TIME
						(1859)	(627)	9.32	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		16	7+	9.05	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	REL. F
						17	8	9.05	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$
<b>38.</b>	3	16	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		14	8+	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^0$	REL. F
						14	8+	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$

### Numerical Results for DMNH

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ J^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-1}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39c.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		6	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		6	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39e.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		8	7+	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		8	7+	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39f.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		11	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
39g.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		13	12+	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		14	13	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40c.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		5	4+	10"	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4+	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		5	4+	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		6	5+	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-1}$	REL F
40e.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		7	6+	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		7	6+	$10^{-1}$	10"	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40f.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		10	9+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	9+	$10^{-8}$	10"	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
40g.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		13	12+	$10^{-9}$	10"	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		13	12+	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-9}$	10"	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-9}$	10"	REL F
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41c.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		8	7+	$10^{-6}$	10"	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		8	7+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		8	7+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	10"	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		9	8+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41e.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		11	10+	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		12	11	10"	10"	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41f.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		14	13	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		14	13	10"	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
41g.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		17	16+	$10^{-8}$	10"	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		17	16+	$10^{-8}$	10"	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F



## Numerical Results for DMNH

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	it J evals.	crs./	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		28	<b>20+</b>	60.8	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		28	<b>20+</b>	60.8	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS	F
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		35	<b>26+</b>	61.9	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-21}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		36	<b>27+</b>	61.9	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	X	
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		30	<b>22+</b>	60.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		31	<b>23+</b>	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	X	
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		30	<b>21+</b>	60.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	X	
			$10^{-12}$		30	<b>21+</b>	60.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS	F
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		22	<b>16+</b>	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	X	
			$10^{-12}$		22	<b>16+</b>	<b>54.0</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS	F
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		26	<b>20+</b>	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		27	<b>21+</b>	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS	F
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		21	<b>17+</b>	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$		
			$10^{-12}$		21	<b>17+</b>	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$		
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.9	27	<b>18+</b>	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$	0.9	28	<b>19+</b>	<b>54.0</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS	F
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.9	28	<b>20+</b>	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$	0.9	29	<b>21+</b>	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS	F
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		17	<b>14+</b>	<b>54.0</b>	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		18	<b>15+</b>	<b>54.0</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS	F
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		<b>179</b>	<b>150+</b>	4.03	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		180	<b>151+</b>	4.03	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-33}$	ABS	F
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		9	<b>7+</b>	3.52	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		10	<b>8+</b>	3.52	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS	F
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		194	<b>179+</b>	20.6	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		195	<b>180+</b>	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS	F
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		<b>187</b>	<b>179+</b>	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		188	<b>180+</b>	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS	F
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		219	<b>210+</b>	<b>9.27</b>	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		220	<b>211+</b>	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS	F
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		63	<b>49+</b>	4.06	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		64	<b>50+</b>	4.06	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS	F
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		15	<b>11+</b>	<b>3.56</b>	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		16	<b>12+</b>	X.56	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS	F
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		321	<b>300+</b>	20.6	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		322	<b>301+</b>	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS	F
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		328	<b>292+</b>	15.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-21}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		329	<b>293+</b>	15.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS	F
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		351	<b>288+</b>	9.31	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	ABS	F
			$10^{-12}$		352	<b>289+</b>	9.31	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS	F



## 5.3 Quasi-Newton (BFGS) Linesearch Method (SOL/NAG NPSOL)

### 5.3.1 Software and Algorithm

The results were obtained using subroutine `MPSOL` from the Systems Optimization Laboratory (SOL), Stanford University, also available in the NAG Library. In `NPSOL` a search direction is determined at each iteration from a subproblem of the form

$$r \min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p.$$

where the Hessian matrix  $H_k$  is calculated using the BFGS method initialized with I (see Section 2.4.2). This is followed by a linesearch that uses both function and gradient information to obtain a steplength along the search direction [Gill et al. (1979)].

### 5.3.2 Parameters

Parameters were kept at their default values with the following exceptions † :

Infinite Bound Size	-	10 <sup>20</sup>
Infinite Step Size	-	10 <sup>20</sup>
<b>Iteration</b> Limit	-	10000†
Optimality Tolerance	-	varied; see tables
Step <b>Limit</b>	-	usually 2.0 (default) ‡

† In those cases (Problems 44c., d. ( $n = m = 6$ ) and 45c., e. ( $n = m = 8$ ) in which the iteration limit was actually reached, the results listed in the tables are taken from first iteration in which the number of function evaluations reaches or exceeds 1000n.

‡ in some cases the default Step Limit = 2.0 was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation in the linesearch. These cases are indicated in the tables by giving the lower value of Step Limit that was subsequently used to obtain the results in the column labeled "Step Lim".

See Gill et al. [1986] for details concerning the parameters

### 5.3.3 Convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

objective function	:	$\mathcal{F}_k (= \frac{1}{2} f_k^T f_k)$
objective gradient	:	$g_k = \nabla \mathcal{F}_k (= J_k^T f_k)$
optimality tolerance	:	$\epsilon_{opt}$

The sequence of iterates generated by NPSOL is judged to have converged if the following two conditions hold :

$$\alpha_k \|p_k\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_{opt}}(1 + \|r_k\|_2) \quad (5.3.1)$$

and

$$\|g_k\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_{opt}}(1 + \max \{(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|)\|g_k\|_2\}) \quad (S.3.2)$$

or if

$$\|g_k\|_2 \leq \epsilon_{nr}^{0.8}(1 + \max \{(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|)\|g_k\|_2\}). \quad (5.3.3)$$

Condition (5.3.1) is meant to ensure that the sequence  $\{r_k\}$  has converged, while conditions (5.3.2) and (5.3.3) are intended to test whether the requirement that the gradient vanish is approximately satisfied at  $r_k$ . Condition (S.3.3) allows NPSOL to accept a point as a local minimum if a more restrictive test on the necessary condition than (5.3.2) is satisfied, but condition (S.3.1) does not hold. For a detailed discussion of convergence criteria similar to these, see Section 8.2 of Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981].

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates : †

- OPT. - optimal point found
- \* - **current point cannot be improved**
- \*\* - optimal solution found, but requested accuracy could not be achieved
- F LIM. - function **evaluation limit reached**

† A '\*' corresponds to the situation in which the algorithm terminates due to failure in the linesearch to find an acceptable step at the current iteration. A '\*\*' occurs when condition (5.3.1) is satisfied but not condition (5.3.2); that is, conditions for optimality are met at the current point but the iterates have not yet converged.

### Numerical Results for NPSOL

	$n$	$m$	Opt Tol	Step Lim	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>1.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$10^{-10}$		21	22	1.11	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		29	24	1.41	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT
<b>2.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$10^{-10}$		9	<b>6</b>	6.40	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		10		6.40	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
<b>3.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$10^{-10}$		891	283	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		<b>897</b>	283	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT
<b>4.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	3	$10^{-10}$		20	14	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
			$10^{-14}$		20	14	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>5.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	3	$10^{-10}$		20	15	3.04	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		22	<b>17</b>	3.04	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
<b>6.</b>	2	10	$10^{-10}$	0.1	14	<b>9</b>	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$	0.1	15	10	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
<b>7.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	3	$10^{-10}$		<b>37</b>	31	1.00	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		38	32	1.00	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT.
<b>8.</b>	3	15	$10^{-10}$		22	16	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		23	<b>17</b>	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
<b>9.</b>	3	15	$10^{-10}$		8	4	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		9	5	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
<b>10.</b>	3	<b>16</b>	$10^{-10}$		450	<b>328</b>	$10^3$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-14}$		450	<b>328</b>	$10^3$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	*
<b>11.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	10	$10^{-10}$		2	1	8.39	$10^{-1}$	0.00	$10^{-2}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		2	1	8.39	$10^{-1}$	0.00	$10^{-2}$	OPT.
<b>12.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	10	$10^{-10}$		34	<b>27</b>	10.1	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		35	28	10.1	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
<b>13.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	4	$10^{-10}$		66	59	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		11	64	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
<b>14.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	6	$10^{-10}$		50	39	2.00	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		51	40	2.00	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
<b>15.</b>	4	<b>11</b>	$10^{-10}$		33	<b>20</b>	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		35	22	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
<b>16.</b>	4	20	$10^{-10}$		24	<b>17</b>	11.6	$10^2$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		25	18	11.6	$10^2$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT
<b>17.</b>	5	33	$10^{-10}$	0.1	32	20	2.37	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.1	56	<b>44</b>	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT
<b>18.<sup>0</sup></b>	6	13	$10^{-10}$		45	<b>39</b>	18.7	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		45	<b>39</b>	18.1	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT.
<b>19.</b>	11	65	$10^{-10}$		88	59	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		90	61	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.

### Numerical Results for NPSOL

	$n$	$m$	Opt Tol	Step Lim	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		43 46	36 39	2.44 2.44	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-10}$	OPT OPT
20h.	9	31	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		83 85	is 77	6.06 6.06	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-13}$ $10^{-13}$	OPT OPT.
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		55 151	48 129	1.06 16.6	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-16}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		73 114	63 93	1.06 1.06	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		101 104	59 62	3.16 3.16	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$ $10^{-17}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		252 265	157 170	4.47 4.47	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-17}$	OPT OPT.
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		83 165	72 154	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-17}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		103 196	85 178	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-14}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		198 198	142 142	.500 .500	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-10}$	OPT OPT.
<b>23b.</b>	10	11	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		117 124	79 86	.500 .500	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-11}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		23 462	15 346	.828 .759	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-3}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-11}$	OPT. OPT.
24h.	10	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		368 419	263 314	.598 .598	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-9}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		19 20	17 18	3.16 3.16	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-14}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-13}$	$10^{-14}$ $10^{-28}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		24 24	22 22	4.4 i 4.47	$10^{-13}$ $10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$ lo."	$10^{-25}$ $10^{-25}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		33 35	31 33	.328 .328	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$	OPT. OPT
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		76 is	44 46	.231 .231	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		18 19	15 16	3.16 3.16	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$ $10^{-21}$	OPT OPT.
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		30 32	1 i 19	4.47 4.47	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$ $10^{-18}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		33 36	18 20	.412 .412	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$ $10^{-8}$	$10^{-13}$ $10^{-15}$	OPT. OPT.
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-14}$		54 56	40 42	.571 .571	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-16}$ $10^{-21}$	OPT. OPT.

### Numerical Results for NPSOL

	$n$	$m$	Opt Tol	Step Lim	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	convl.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-10}$		$i$	<b>6</b>	.412	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		8	$i$		.412	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-10}$		$i$	<b>6</b>	.571	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		8	$i$		.571	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-10}$		<b>3i</b>	19	2.05	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-12}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		40		22	2.05	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-10}$			65	3.22	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		67		35	3.22	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-10}$			67	2.18	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		70		41	2.18	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-10}$			141	1.90	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		144		91	1.90	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-10}$			2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	0.00	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		2		1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	0.00
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-10}$			4	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	**
			$10^{-14}$		4		2	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-10}$			4	<b>1.78</b>	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	**
			$10^{-14}$		4		2	<b>1.78</b>	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-10}$			31	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		33		22	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-10}$			29	1.73	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		32		19	<b>1.73</b>	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$
35c.	10	10	$10^{-10}$			37	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-3}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		42		<b>31</b>	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-10}$			609	8.87	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		<b>3762</b>		<b>2712</b>	hi.1	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-10}$			88i	10.1	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		3211		2357	16.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-10}$			3	<b>1.73</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		3		1	<b>1.73</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-10}$			1063	10.7	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		3680		<b>2734</b>	16.9	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$
37.	2	16	$10^{-10}$			14	10.8	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		15		9	10.8	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$
38.	3	16	$10^{-10}$	0.01		21	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$	0.01	23		16	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$

### Numerical Results for NPSOL

	$n$	$m$	Opt Tol	Step Lim	$f, J$ evals.	ittrs.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
39a.	2	3	$10^{-10}$		10	9	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		11	10	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$10^{-10}$		9	8	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		10	9	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>39c.</b>	2	3	$10^{-10}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		8	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	$10^{-10}$		10	11	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		11	8	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
39e.	2	3	$10^{-10}$		16	11	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		17	12	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
39f.	2	3	$10^{-10}$		28	21	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		29	22	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
39g.	2	3	$10^{-10}$		30	21	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		31	22	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$10^{-10}$		11	10	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$10^{-10}$		11	10	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
40c.	3	4	$10^{-10}$		9	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		10	8	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-10}$		14	9	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		15	10	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
40e.	3	4	$10^{-10}$		19	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		20	12	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
40f.	3	4	$10^{-10}$		33	23	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		34	24	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
40g.	3	4	$10^{-10}$		45	35	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		46	36	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
41a.	5	10	$10^{-10}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		12	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-10}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		13	8	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
41c.	5	10	$10^{-10}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		14	9	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-10}$		17	12	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		20	15	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>41e.</b>	5	10	$10^{-10}$		51	35	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		54	38	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
41f.	5	10	$10^{-10}$		51	30	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		53	32	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
41g.	5	10	$10^{-10}$		62	45	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-14}$		69	52	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.



Numerical Results for NPSOL

	$n$	$m$	Opt Tol	Step Lim	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-10}$	1.0	60	43	61.9	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	1.0	61	44	61.9	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-10}$	1.0	140	85	81.4	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	1.0	141	86	81.4	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-10}$	0.1	51	49	60.3	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.1	52	50	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-10}$	0.1	56	51	60.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.1	57	52	60.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	0.01	44	38	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.01	53	41	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	1.0	37	25	46.2	$10^1$	$10^{-4}$	$10^2$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	1.0	38	26	46.2	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^2$	OPT
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	0.01	44	39	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.01	54	49	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	0.01	112	81	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.01	120	89	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-2}$	OPT
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	0.01	95	62	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	0.01	97	64	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-10}$	1.0	56	43	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$	1.0	59	46	54.0	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-10}$		488	383	4.03	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		490	385	4.03	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-10}$		57	35	3.52	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		59	37	3.52	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-10}$		(6001)	(4430)	$10^3$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^0$	F LIM.
			$10^{-14}$		(6001)	(4430)	$10^3$	$10^0$	$10^{-1}$	$10^0$	F LIM.
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-10}$		(6000)	(3944)	13.9	$10^{-2}$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-14}$		(6000)	(3944)	13.9	$10^{-2}$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	F LIM.
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-10}$		1976	1420	9.27	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		1978	1422	9.27	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	OPT
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-10}$		474	311	4.06	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		476	373	4.06	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-10}$		82	57	3.56	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		84	59	3.56	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	OPT
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-10}$		(8000)	(6180)	12.8	$10^{-1}$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-14}$		(8000)	(6180)	12.8	$10^{-1}$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-10}$		1654	1302	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	OPT
			$10^{-14}$		1656	1304	15.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-10}$		(8000)	(5432)	67.8	$10^{-1}$	$10^2$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-14}$		(8000)	(5432)	67.8	$10^{-1}$	$10^2$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.



## 5.4 Second-Derivative (Modified-Newton) Linesearch Method (NPL/NAG MNA)

### 5.4.1 Software and Algorithm

The results were obtained using subroutine **MNA** from the National Physical Laboratory, available at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The algorithm implements a modified Newton method in which the search direction at each iteration is the solution to a subproblem of the form

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T H_k p,$$

and the exact Hessian matrix is replaced by modified Cholesky factors if it is either indefinite or computationally singular (see Gill and Murray [1974a] and Section 2.4.1). A step length along the search direction is then computed by a linesearch method [Gill and Murray (1974b)] that uses both function and gradient information to obtain sufficient decrease in the objective function. **MNA** requires **exact** second derivatives, and is similar to subroutine **E04LBF** from the **NAG** Library [1984], the principal difference being that the latter allows specification of fixed upper and lower bounds on the variables.

### 5.4.2 Parameters

Parameters were kept **at** their default values with the following exceptions :

<b>MAXCAL</b>	-	<b>min(9999, 1000n)</b>	function evaluation limit
<b>XTOL</b>	-	<b>varied; see tables</b>	accuracy in $x$
<b>ETA</b>	-	<b>0.9</b>	linesearch accuracy
<b>STEPMX</b>	-	<b>usually <math>10^6</math> (default.) †</b>	maximum step for linesearch

† in some cases the default **STEPMX** =  $10^6$  was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation in the linesearch. These cases are indicated in the table by giving the lower value of **STEPMX** that was subsequently used to obtain the results in the column labeled "max. step".

See **NAG** [1984] for details concerning the parameters.

### 5.4.3 convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

- objective function :  $\mathcal{F}_k (= \frac{1}{2} f_k^T f_k)$
- objective gradient :  $g_k = \nabla \mathcal{F}_k (= J_k^T f_k)$
- search direction :  $p_k$ , the minimizer of the subproblem
- steplength :  $\alpha_k$ , determined by the linesearch

An iterate is determined to be optimal by **MNA** if the following four conditions hold:

$$\alpha_k \|p_k\|_2 (\text{XTOL} + \sqrt{\epsilon_M})(1 + \|x_k\|_2) \tag{5.4.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{k-1} - \mathcal{F}_k < (\text{XTOL}^2 + \epsilon_M)(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|) \tag{5.4.2}$$

and

$$\|g_k\|_2 < (\text{XTOL} + \epsilon_M^{1/3})(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|) \tag{s.4.3}$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_k \text{ is positive definite,} \tag{s.4.4}$$

or if

$$\|g_k\|_2 < 0.01 \sqrt{\epsilon_M}. \tag{5.4.A}$$

A necessary condition for optimality is that the gradient vanish, and conditions (5.4.3) and (5.4.5) are intended to test whether this requirement is approximately satisfied at  $x_k$ . Conditions (5.4.1) and (5.4.2) are meant to ensure that the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  has converged, while condition (5.4.4), together with condition (5.4.3), implies that sufficient conditions for a strict local minimum appear to hold at  $x_k$ . Condition (5.4.5) allows **MNA** to accept a point as a local minimum if a more restrictive test than (5.4.1) on the necessary condition is met, but one or more of the other conditions for convergence do not hold. For a detailed discussion of convergence criteria similar to these, see Section 8.2 of Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981].

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

- OPT. - optimal point found
- \* - current point cannot be improved †
- F LIM. - function evaluation limit reached
- TIME - time limit exceeded

† A '\*' corresponds to the situation in which the algorithm terminates due to failure in the linesearch to find an acceptable step at the current iteration.

### Numerical Results for MNA

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
1."	2	2	$10^{-6}$		14	10	1.41	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		14	10	1.41	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT
2."	2	2	$10^{-6}$		8	6	6.40	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		8	6	6.40	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
3. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-6}$		175	65	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-19}$	
			$10^{-10}$		175	65	9.11	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-19}$	*
4. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-6}$		1	1	$10^6$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-32}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		1	1	$10''$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-32}$	*
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-6}$		$10^3$	35	9	3.04	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		$10^3$	35	9	3.04	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$
6.	2	10	$10^{-6}$		12	9	.365	$10^7$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		12	9	.365	$10^1$	$10''$	$10^{-6}$	*
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	$10^{-6}$		14	11	1.00	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		14	11	1.00	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.
8.	3	15	$10''$		11	10	2.60	$10''$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		11	10	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
9.	3	15	$10^{-6}$		3	2	1.08	$10''$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
10.	3	16	$10^{-6}$		249	164	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		249	164	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10''$	$10^{-6}$	*
11."	3	10	$10''$		538	341	5s.9	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT.
			$10''''$		538	341	55.9	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-21}$	OPT.
12."	3	10	$10''$		43	18	10.1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		43	18	10.1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-6}$		23	22	$10^{-4}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		23	22	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	$10^{-6}$		54	26	2.00	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		54	26	2.00	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT.
15.	4	11	$10''$		20	$i$	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		20	7	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
16.	4	20	$10^{-6}$		9	8	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		10	9	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	*
17.	5	33	$10^{-6}$		43	28	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		43	20	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	*
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	$10^{-6}$		44	20	12.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		44	20	12.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.
19.	11	65	$10^{-6}$	10.0	7	3	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$	10.0	8	4	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.

### Numerical Results for MNA

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-6}$		13	12	2.41	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		13	12	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-6}$		14	13	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		14	13	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-6}$		14	13	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		14	13	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-6}$		129.5	679	$10^6$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		1295	679	$10^6$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	*
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		14	10	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		14	10	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		14	10	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		14	10	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-6}$		23	22	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		23	22	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		24	23	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		24	23	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-6}$		43	34	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		43	34	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
23h.	10	11	$10^{-6}$		44	<b>36</b>	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		44	<b>36</b>	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-6}$		158	110	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		158	110	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
24h.	10	20	$10^{-6}$		133	94	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		133	94	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-6}$		14	13	3.16	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		14	13	3.16	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-19}$	*
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-6}$		17	<b>16</b>	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		18	<b>17</b>	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		21	11	.306	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		21	11	.306	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT.
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		30	13	.189	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		30	13	.189	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		22	<b>12</b>	3.16	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		22	<b>12</b>	3.16	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		31	15	4.47	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		31	15	4.4 <i>i</i>	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT.
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT.

Numerical Results for MNA

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\  \cdot \ _{1,2}$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		7	6	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		7	6	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		7	6	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		7	6	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	OPT.
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-6}$		9	8	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		9	8	1.80	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT.
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		9	8	2.66	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		9	8	2.66	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	OPT.
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-6}$		4	2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		4	2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-6}$		27	1	$10^4$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		27	1	$10^4$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	*
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-6}$		20	1	21.2	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		20	1	21.2	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	*
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		41	15	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		41	15	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-6}$		66	19	1.73	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		66	19	1.73	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	*
35c.	10	10	$10^{-6}$		86	17	1.76	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		86	17	1.76	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	*
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-6}$		(4002)	(2658)	51.4	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-10}$		(4002)	(2658)	Sl. 4	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	F LIM.
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-6}$		(9014)	(692)	51.2	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-10}$		(9014)	(692)	51.2	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-6}$		3188	310	1.73	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		3188	310	1.73	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	OPT.
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-6}$		(9003)	(1985)	990.	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-10}$		(9003)	(1985)	990.	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$	F LIM.
37.	2	16	$10^{-6}$		6	5	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		6	5	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
30.	3	16	$10^{-6}$		13	8	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-10}$		13	8	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.

### Numerical Results for MNA

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	f, J evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
39b.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
39c.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
39l.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
39e.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		8	7	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		8	7	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
39f.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		11	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		11	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*
39g.	2	3	$10^{-6}$		14	13	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		14	13	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	*
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
40c.	3	4	$10^{-6}$		5	4	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		5	4	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-6}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
40e.	3	4	$10^{-6}$		8	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		8	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*
<b>40f.</b>	3	4	$10^{-6}$		10	9	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		10	9	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
40g.	3	4	$10^{-6}$		13	12	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		13	12	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*
41a.	5	10	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	*
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-6}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>41c.</b>	5	10	$10^{-6}$		8	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		8	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-6}$		9	8	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		9	8	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
41e.	5	10	$10^{-6}$		12	11	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		12	11	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	*
41f.	5	10	$10^{-6}$		14	13	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		14	13	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>41g.</b>	5	10	$10^{-6}$		17	16	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		17	16	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT



## Numerical Results for MNA

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. $f, J$ step	$J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _1$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-6}$	2.0	41	35	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-10}$	2.0	41	35	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	*
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-6}$		16	8	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-21}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		16	8	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-21}$	*
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-6}$		6	4	60.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		6	4	60.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	*
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-6}$		6	4	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		6	4	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	10.0	30	16	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	10.0	30	16	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	10.0	17	12	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	10.0	17	12	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	10.0	89	45	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	10.0	89	45	54.0	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	$10^2$	41	21	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-27}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	$10^2$	42	22	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-27}$	*
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	10.0	142	13	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	10.0	143	74	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-6}$	$10^2$	37	19	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$	$10^2$	37	19	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-6}$		143	49	4.03	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		144	50	4.03	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-6}$		46	14	3.52	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		46	14	3.52	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-6}$		914	364	20.6	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		915	365	20.6	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-6}$		915	342	15.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		916	343	15.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-6}$		475	153	9.27	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		476	154	9.27	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		186	52	4.06	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		186	52	4.06	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		38	15	3.56	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-21}$	*
			$10^{-10}$		38	15	3.56	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-21}$	*
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		1416	578	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		1416	578	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		1478	586	15.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		1479	587	15.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	*
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-6}$		1441	489	0.31	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
			$10^{-10}$		1441	489	9.31	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT



## 5.5 Gauss-Newton Methods

### 5.5.1 Software and Algorithm

The software package LSSOL [Gill et al. (1986a)] is used to solve the linear least-squares subproblem (3.1.3). The linesearch procedure used for the numerical examples in this section, requires both function and gradient information. It is taken from the nonlinear programming code NPSOL [Gill et al. (1979); (1986b)].

### 5.5.2 Parameters

Parameters in LSSOL were kept at their default values with the following exceptions :

Rank Tolerance - varied, see tables  
Infinite Bound Size -  $10^{20}$

See Gill et al. [1986a] for details concerning the parameters.

In addition, the following parameters are chosen for the linesearch:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta & \cdot 0.5 \\ \alpha_{\max} & \cdot \min\{(100(1 + \|x\|_2) + 1) / \|p\|_2, 10^{20}\} \dagger \end{aligned}$$

† In some cases the default value  $\alpha_{\max}$  was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation in the linesearch. These cases are indicated in the tables by giving the value  $\gamma < 100$  such that  $\alpha_{\max} = \min\{\gamma(1 + \|x\|_2) + 1, 10^{20}\}$  that was subsequently used to obtain the results in the column labeled "step fac."

See, e. g., Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981] for a discussion of the linesearch parameters.

### 5.5.3 Convergence Criteria

Convergence is judged to have occurred at the  $k$ th iterate if either

$$\|f_k\|_2 \leq \epsilon_{\text{ft}}^{0.9} \quad (5.5.1)$$

or

$$\|g_k\|_2 \leq \epsilon_{\text{ft}}^{2/3} (1 + \|f_k\|_2) \quad (5.5.2)$$

The algorithm is also terminated if there is a negligible change in  $x$ ,

$$\alpha_k \|p_k\|_2 \leq \epsilon_{\text{ft}}^{0.9} (1 + \|x_k\|_2), \quad (5.5.3)$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is the step length determined by the linesearch.

#### 5.5.4 Table Information

Under the label 'conv.', the following notation is used to describe conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

<b>ABS F</b> -	(5.5.1)
<b>G</b>	(5.5.2)
<b>X</b> -	(5.5.3)
<b>F LIM.</b> -	function evaluation limit reached

A superscript <sup>0</sup> following a problem number indicates a zero-residual problem.

A superscript <sup>L</sup> following a problem number denotes a linear least-squares problem.

## Numerical Results for some Gauss-Newton Methods

	$n$	$m$	rank tol.	step fac.	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
<b>1.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	2	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		31	12	1.41	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	ABS. F. G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		31	12	1.41	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	ABS. F. G
2."	2	2	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		180	42	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^1$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		235	42	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-2}$	$10^1$	X
3."	2	2	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		31	16	7.22	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		42	23	9.11	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS. F. G
<b>4.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		54	14	$10^6$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-32}$	ARI: F. X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		54	14	$10^6$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS. F. X
<b>5.<sup>0</sup></b>	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		8	6	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		8	6	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>6.</b>	2	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	5.0	(2003)	(294)	.367	$10^1$	$10^2$	$10^{-3}$	F LIM
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	5.0	301	44	.501	$10^2$	$10^4$	$10^1$	X
<b>7.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		13	10	1.00	$10^{-24}$	$10^{-23}$	$10^{-48}$	ABS. F. G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		13	10	1.00	$10^{-24}$	$10^{-23}$	$10^{-48}$	ABS. F. G
8.	3	15	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		7	6	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		7	6	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	G
9.	3	15	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	G
10.	3	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		112	29	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^1$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		30	10	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-6}$	X
<b>11.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(3000)	(1300)	252.	$10^1$	$10^3$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(3000)	(999)	308.	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.
<b>12.<sup>0</sup></b>	3	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		7	6	10.1	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-33}$	ABS. F. G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		7	6	10.1	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-33}$	ABS. F. G
<b>13.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	G
<b>14.<sup>0</sup></b>	4	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		96	42	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ADS. F. G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		96	42	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ABS. F. G
<b>15.</b>	4	11	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		43	35	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		43	35	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	G
16.	4	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		3651	1765	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		3651	1765	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	X
17.	5	33	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		13	9	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		13	9	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	G
<b>18.<sup>0</sup></b>	6	13	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	10.0	(6001)	(770)	2.99	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^0$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(6001)	(856)	52.5	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^0$	F LIM.
19.	11	65	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		24	16	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		24	16	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	G

## Numerical Results for some Gauss-Newton Methods

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	rank tol.	step fac.	<i>f</i> , <i>J</i> evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		12	11	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		12	11	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	G
20h.	g	31	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	6.06	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	6.06	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	G
20c.	12	31	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	G
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	1.07	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-15}$	G
			$2.25 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	G
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		31	12	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ARS F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		31	12	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		31	12	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ARS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		31	12	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	A B S F, G
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-16}$	G
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-15}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		16	15	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-15}$	a
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		90	45	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		90	45	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	G
<b>23b.</b>	10	11	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		274	122	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		274	122	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	G
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		1043	302	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		1043	302	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	G
<b>24b.</b>	10	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(10003)	(2556)	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(10003)	(2556)	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM.
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		11	10	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ARS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		11	10	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS. F, G
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		13	12	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	ARS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		13	12	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS. F, G
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		16	8	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		16	8	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	G
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		20	9	.208	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		20	9	.208	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		21	7	3.18	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ARS F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		21	<i>i</i>	3.18	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ARS. F, G
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	10.0	31	<i>i</i>	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-27}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	10.0	31	7	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-27}$	G
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	ARS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	ARS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	A B S F, G

## Numerical Results for some Gauss-Seaton Methods

	n	m	rank tol.	step fac.	f, J evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		4	<b>3</b>	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		4	<b>3</b>	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	*ES F, G
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		4	<b>3</b>	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		i	6	1.80	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		i	<b>6</b>	1.80	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. P, G
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		7	6	2.66	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		7	6	2.66	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		2	1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	0.00	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		2	1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	0.00	G
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		3	2	5.40	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	G, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		8	8	$10^{13}$	$10^0$	$10^2$	$10^{-3}$	$g^T p \geq 0$
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		3	2	4.90	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	G, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		3	2	4.90	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	0.X
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		<b>3053</b>	386	1.61	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(8003)	(1012)	1.60	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		148	29	1.73	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		249	38	1.70	$10^{-1}$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	X
35c.	10	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(10006)	(1353)	1.79	$10^{-1}$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		232	31	1.79	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	X
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		2885	490	18.8	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		36	21	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		683	128	18.8	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		36	21	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		20	19	11.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		20	19	1.73	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	a
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		74	29	19.1	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-12}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(9001)	(1190)	345.	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
37.	2	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		<b>39</b>	38	8.85	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		39	38	8.85	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	X
38.	3	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		58	56	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		58	56	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	G

## Numerical Results for some Gauss-Newton Methods

	$n$	$m$	rank tol.	step fac.	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		8	i	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		8	i	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		32	31	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		32	31	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-11}$	$10''$	$\sigma$
39c.	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		23	14	$10^{-7}$	$10''$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		23	14	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		681	333	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		681	333	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
39e.	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(2001)	(925)	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(2001)	(925)	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM
39f.	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(2000)	(873)	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(2000)	(873)	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM.
39g.	2	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(2001)	(607)	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10''$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(2001)	(60i)	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	F LIM.
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		13	12	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		13	12	$10^{-6}$	$10''$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		16	10	$10^{-6}$	$10''$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		16	10	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
40c.	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		380	188	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		380	188	$10^{-7}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		i81	345	$10^{-7}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		781	345	$10^{-7}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
40e.	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(3000)	(690)	$10^{-2}$	$10^0$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-3}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(3000)	(690)	$10^{-2}$	$10^0$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-3}$	F LIM.
40f.	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(3002)	(630)	.101	$10^0$	$10'$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(3002)	(630)	.101	$10''$	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
40g.	3	4	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		(3001)	(607)	.110	$10'$	$10^3$	$10'$	F LIM.
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		(3001)	(60i)	.110	$10^1$	$10^3$	$10'$	F LIM.
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10''$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		6	5	$10^{-6}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		6	5	$10^{-6}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>41c.</b>	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		12	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		30	20	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		30	20	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
41e.	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		222	110	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		222	110	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
41f.	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		933	414	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		933	414	$10^{-7}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
41g.	5	10	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		3285	1065	$10^{-8}$	$10''$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		3285	1065	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$\sigma$



## Numerical Results for some Gauss-Newton Methods

	$n$	$m$	rank tol.	step fac.	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	0.1	51	37	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	0.1	51	37	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G, X
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	0.1	611	316	61.9	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	0.1	(4002)	(1577)	$10^n$	$10^2$	$10^{11}$	$10^5$	F LIM.
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	0.1	27	24	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	0.1	27	24	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	0.1	24	23	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	0.1	24	23	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	G, X
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	1.0	23	14	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	0
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	1.0	23	14	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	0.1	15	13	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-2}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	0.1	20	14	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	1.0	24	14	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	1.0	24	14	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	G
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	1.0	18	10	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	1.0	18	10	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	0
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$	1.0	31	11	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$	1.0	31	17	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	0
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		22	15	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		22	15	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	G
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		111	45	4.06	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		171	45	4.06	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	G
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		5	4	3.52	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		5	4	3.52	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		55	11	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		55	11	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-28}$	X
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		35	15	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		35	15	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		42	18	9.27	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F, 0, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		42	18	9.27	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS. F, G, X
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		171	45	4.06	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		171	45	4.06	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	G
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		5	4	3.56	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, G
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		5	4	3.56	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		41	17	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		41	17	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, X
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		35	15	15.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, 0
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		35	15	15.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS. F, G
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$1.49 \times 10^{-8}$		42	18	9.31	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, 0, X
			$2.23 \times 10^{-16}$		42	18	9.31	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS. F, G, X



## 5.6 Levenberg-Marquardt Method

(MINPACK LMDER)

### 5.6.1 Software and Algorithm

The results were obtained using the MINPACK subroutine LMDER, which implements a Levenberg-Marquardt method using exact derivative information. A subproblem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q_k(p) &\equiv 2g_k^T p + p^T J_k^T J_k p \\ \text{subject to } &\|D_k p\|_2 \leq \delta_k \end{aligned}$$

is solved at each iteration for the step  $p_k$  to the next iterate, where  $D_k$  is a diagonal scaling matrix.

### 5.6.2 Parameters

The results were obtained using the MINPACK subroutine LMDER, with the following input parameters :

XTOL	- varied, see tables	accuracy in $x$
FTOL	- varied, see tables	accuracy in sum of squares
GTOL	- 0.00	gradient norm tolerance
MAXFEV	- $\min\{9999, 1000 * n\}$	function evaluation limit
MODE	- 1	specifies internal scaling
FACTOR	- 100. (default)	initial step magnification

† In some cases the default FACTOR = 100.0 was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation. These cases are indicated in the table by giving the lower value of FACTOR that was subsequently used to obtain the results.

For details about these parameters, Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1980].

### 5.6.3 Convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

residual vector	:	$f(x_k)$
$i$ th residual gradient	:	$\nabla\phi_i(x_k)$
Jacobian matrix	:	$J(x_k)$
objective function	:	$\mathcal{F}(x_k) \equiv f(x_k)^T f(x_k)$
objective gradient	:	$g_k = \nabla\mathcal{F}(x_k) \equiv 2J(x_k)^T f(x_k)$
current step	:	$p_k$ , the minimizer of the subproblem
predicted reduction	:	$\rho_r = \frac{\ f_k\ _2 - \ f_k + J_k p_k\ _2}{\ f_k\ _2} = \frac{-Q_k(p_k)}{\ f_k\ _2}$
actual reduction	:	$\rho_a = \frac{\ f(x_k)\ _2 - \ f(x_k + p_k)\ _2}{\ f_k\ _2} = \frac{\mathcal{F}(x_k) - \mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k)}{\ f_k\ _2}$

criteria for termination of **LMDER** at 3') are as follows:

- **$\mathcal{F}$  convergence.** Both actual and predicted reductions in the sum of squares are **at** most FTOL.

$$|\rho_a| \leq \text{FTOL} \text{ and } \rho_r \leq \text{FTOL} \text{ and } \rho_a \leq 2\rho_r \quad (5.6.1)$$

This attempts to **garantée** that

$$\|f_k\|_2 \leq (1 + \text{FTOL})\|f(x^*)\|_2.$$

- **$x$  convergence.** Relative error between two consecutive iterates is at most XTOL.

$$\delta_{k+1} \leq \text{XTOL}\|x_k + p_k\|_2 \quad (5.6.2)$$

This attempts to guarantee that

$$\|D_k(x_k - x^*)\|_2 \leq \text{XTOL}\|D_k(x^*)\|_2.$$

- **The** cosine of the angle between  $f_k$  and any column of  $J_k$  is at most GTOL in absolute value.

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{|\nabla\phi_i(x_k)^T f_k|}{\|\nabla\phi_i(x_k)\|_2 \|f_k\|_2} \leq \text{GTOL} \quad (5.6.3)$$

This approximates the necessary condition  $g(x_k) = 0$ .

- FTOL is too small. No further reduction in the sum of squares is possible.

$$|\rho_a| \leq \epsilon_M \text{ and } \rho_r \leq \epsilon_M \text{ and } \rho_a \leq 2\rho_r \quad (5.6.4)$$

- XTOL is too **small**. No further improvement in the approximate solution  $x_k$  is possible.

$$\delta_{k+1} \leq \epsilon_M \|x_k + p_k\|_2 \quad (5.6.5)$$

- GTOL is **too** small.  $f_k$  is orthogonal to the columns of  $J_k$  to machine precision.

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|\nabla \phi_i(x_k)^T f_k|}{\|\nabla \phi_i(x_k)\|_2 \|f_k\|_2} \leq \epsilon_M \quad (5.6.6)$$

Except for test (5.6.3), tests for convergence are performed only when

$$\rho_A < 0.0001 \rho_F. \quad (5.G.i)$$

The convergence criteria are described in more detail in Mori, Garbow, and Hillstom [1980].

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

- F - (5.6.1) and (5.6.i)
- X - (5.6.2) and (5.6.7)
- X, F - (5.6.1) and (S.6.2) and (5.6.7)
- G - (5.6.6) and (S.6.7)
- F LIM. - function evaluation limit reached



### Numerical Results for LMDER

	$n$	$m$	TOL	max. step	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
1. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		22	16	1.41	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		22	16	1.41	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
2. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		14	8	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-3}$	$10^1$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		21	13	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^1$	REL. F
3." <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		19	17	9.11	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		19	17	9.11	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-32}$	X
4." <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		40	39	$10^6$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-5}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		54	53	$10^6$	$10^{-7}$	1 0 "	$10^{-14}$	X
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		9	7	3.04	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		10	8	3.04	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
6." <sup>0</sup>	2	10	$10^{-8}$		21	12	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		28	16	.365	$10^1$	1 0 "	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	$10^{-8}$		11	8	1.00	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		12	9	1.00	$10^{-32}$	$10^{-31}$	$10^{-64}$	X
8." <sup>0</sup>	3	15	$10^{-8}$		6	5	2.60	1 0 "	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		7	6	2.60	$10^1$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
9." <sup>0</sup>	3	15	$10^{-8}$		4	3	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	1.08	$10^{-4}$	1 0 "	$10^{-14}$	X, REL. F
10." <sup>0</sup>	3	16	$10^{-8}$		126	116	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^1$	X, REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		126	116	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	X
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	1 0	$10^{-8}$		(3000)	(2956)	239.	$10^{-2}$	$10^{18}$	$10^{-5}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(3000)	(2956)	239.	$10^{-2}$	$10^{18}$	$10^{-5}$	F LIM.
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$		7	6	10.1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		8	7	10.1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	X
13." <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		65	60	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	$10^{-50}$	$10^{-67}$	G
			$10^{-12}$		65	60	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	$10^{-50}$	$10^{-67}$	G
14." <sup>0</sup>	4	6	$10^{-8}$		70	64	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	X
			$10^{-12}$		70	64	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	X
15." <sup>0</sup>	4	11	$10^{-8}$		18	16	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		28	26	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	X
16." <sup>0</sup>	4	20	$10^{-8}$		264	245	17.6	$10^2$	$10^0$	1 0 "	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		356	329	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
17." <sup>0</sup>	5	33	$10^{-8}$		18	15	2.46	$10^{-2}$	1 0 "	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		19	16	2.46	$10^{-2}$	1 0 "	$10^{-11}$	REL. F
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	$10^{-8}$		46	32	12.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		46	32	12.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
19." <sup>0</sup>	11	65	$10^{-8}$		17	13	9.38	1 0 "	1 0 "	$10^{-8}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		19	15	9.38	1 0 "	1 0 "	$10^{-8}$	REL. F

### Numerical Results for LMDER

	$n$	$m$	TOL	max. step	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-8}$		8	7	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	9	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	X, REL F
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-8}$		9	8	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		10	9	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	X, REL F
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-8}$		10	9	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		12	10	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	X
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-8}$		18	14	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		23	15	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-25}$	X
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		22	16	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		22	16	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		22	16	4.47	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		22	16	4.47	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-8}$		72	63	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	$10^{-51}$	$10^{-68}$	G
			$10^{-12}$		72	63	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	$10^{-51}$	$10^{-68}$	G
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		69	60	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-33}$	$10^{-49}$	$10^{-66}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		69	60	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-33}$	$10^{-49}$	$10^{-66}$	G
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-8}$		34	23	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		44	28	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
<b>23b.</b>	10	11	$10^{-8}$		84	67	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		104	82	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
24a.	4	8	$10^{-8}$		151	113	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		156	116	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
24h.	10	20	$10^{-8}$		80	62	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		88	67	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-8}$		11	10	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		12	11	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-8}$		13	12	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		14	13	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		28	16	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		37	21	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	REL F
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		57	40	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		71	45	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	REL F
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		15	13	3.18	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		15	13	3.18	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		5	2	19.1	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^0$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		18	15	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	X
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		5	4	.412	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	.412	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		5	4	.571	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	.571	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	X



### Numerical Results for LMDER

	n	m	TOL	max. step	f evals.	iters./ J evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		5	4	.412	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	.412	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		5	4	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	X
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		6	5	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		7	6	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		6	5	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		i	6	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	X
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		7	6	1.80	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		8	i	1.80	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		7	6	2.66	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		8	7	2.66	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	X
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		3	2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-17}$	X REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		3	2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-17}$	X RDL. F
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		3	2	470.	$10^0$	10"	$10^{-6}$	RCL. F
			$10^{-12}$		8	2	410.	$10^0$	10"	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		3	2	381.	10"	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		7	3	428.	$10^0$	10'"	10'"	RCL. F
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		40	21	1.65	$10^{-1}$	10'"	10'"	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		53	27	1.65	$10^{-1}$	10'"	10'"	REL. F
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		12	9	1.73	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		13	10	1.73	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$	X
35c.	10	10	$10^{-8}$		25	12	1.81	$10^{-1}$	10'"	$10^{-3}$	RBL. F
			$10^{-12}$		34	17	1.81	$10^{-1}$	10'"	$10^{-3}$	REL. F
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		(4000)	(3985)	21.9	10"	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(4000)	(3985)	27.9	$10^{-7}$	10"	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(5310)	(5292)	30.8	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	TIME
			$10^{-12}$		(5330)	(5312)	30.8	10"	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	TIME
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		29	28	1.73	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-33}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		40	33	1.73	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-34}$	X
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(9000)	(8982)	39.2	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(9000)	(8982)	39.2	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$		15	14	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-1}$	10'"	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		21	20	8.85	10'	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-6}$	RCL. F
<b>38.</b>	3	16	$10^{-8}$		18	16	26.1	10'	$10^{-2}$	10'"	RRL F
			$10^{-12}$		28	26	26.1	10'	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	REL F

Numerical Results for LMDER

	$n$	$m$	TOL	max. step	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		14	13	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		21	20	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>39c.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		18	10	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		25	13	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
39d.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		20	13	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		28	18	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
39e.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		28	19	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		44	31	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
39f.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		31	23	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		44	33	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
39g.	2	3	$10^{-8}$		39	<b>29</b>	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		44	31	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		6	5	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		9	8	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		14	8	$10^{-4}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		17	10	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>40c.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		16	8	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		22	12	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		26	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		40	27	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>40e.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		90	<b>76</b>	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		146	125	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
40f.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		180	158	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		272	241	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
40g.	3	4	$10^{-8}$		206	184	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		319	<b>287</b>	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
41c.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		6	5	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		8	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		15	11	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		22	16	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>41e.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		29	18	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		38	24	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
41f.	5	10	$10^{-8}$		57	46	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		89	74	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
<b>41g.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		84	71	$10^{-5}$	$10^0$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		144	123	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL. F

## Numerical Results for LMDER

	$n$	$m$	TOL	max. step	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		18	15	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		19	16	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.001	48	42	61.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.001	49	43	61.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	x
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.1	20	17	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	20	11	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.1	15	14	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	16	15	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-26}$	x
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.1	14	11	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	15	12	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	x
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.1	18	15	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	18	15	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	x
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.1	11	10	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	11	10	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	x
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.1	22	18	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	23	19	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	x
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	0.1	12	11	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	x
			$10^{-12}$	0.1	13	12	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	x
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		12	9	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		13	10	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	x
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		<b>37</b>	30	4.06	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		38	31	4.06	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	x
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		<b>5</b>	4	3.52	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	3.52	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	x
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		108	98	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		109	99	20.6	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-30}$	x
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		98	88	15.3	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		99	89	15.3	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	x
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		82	<b>71</b>	<b>9.27</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		83	72	<b>9.27</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	x
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		47	<b>35</b>	4.06	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		48	36	4.06	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-29}$	x
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		5	4	3.56	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	3.56	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	x
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		164	148	20.6	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		165	149	20.6	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	x
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		144	133	15.3	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		145	134	15.3	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-31}$	x
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		130	119	9.31	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	x
			$10^{-12}$		131	120	9.31	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	x



## 5.7 Adaptive Special Quasi-Newton Method

(PORT/ACM DN2G/NL2SOL)

### 5.7.1 Software and Algorithm

The results were obtained using subroutine **DN2G**, a double precision version of the **ACM** algorithm **NL2SOL** available in the PORT Library [1984]. A subproblem of the form

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q_k(p) \equiv g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T (J_k^T J_k + B_k) p$$

$$\text{subject to } \|D_k p\|_2 \leq \delta_k$$

is solved **at** each iteration for the step  $p_k$  to the next iterate, where  $D_k$  is a diagonal scaling matrix. The method is adaptive, so that  $B_k$  is sometimes null and sometimes a scaled **quasi-Newton** approximation to the part of the Hessian involving the second derivatives of  $f$ .

### 5.7.2 Parameters

Parameters were kept at their default values with the following exceptions:

<b>IV(MXFCAL)</b> -	$\min \{9999, 1000 * n\}$	function evaluation limit
<b>IV(MXITER)</b> -	$\min \{9999, 1000 * n\}$	iteration limit
<b>V(AFCTOL)</b> -	$TOL * TOL$ (varied; see tables)	absolute function convergence tolerance
<b>V(RFCTOL)</b> -	$TOL$ (varied; see tables)	relative function convergence tolerance
<b>V(SCTOL)</b> -	$\epsilon_M$	singular convergence tolerance
<b>V(XCTOL)</b> -	$TOL$ (varied; see tables)	$\chi$ convergence tolerance
<b>V(XFTOL)</b> -	$\epsilon_M$	false convergence tolerance
<b>V(LMAX0)</b> -	usually 1.0 (default) †	initial trust-region diameter
<b>V(LMAXS)</b> -	1.0 (default)	step bound for singular convergence test
<b>V(TUNER1)</b> -	0.1 (default)	reduction test coefficient

† In some cases the default  $V(LMAX0) = 1.0$  for the initial diameter of the trust-region was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation. These cases are indicated in the table by giving the lower value of  $V(LMAX0)$  that was subsequently used to obtain the results in the column labeled "init. diam."

See Dennis, Gay, and Welsch [1981a, 1981b], Gay [1983], and TORT [1984] for details concerning the parameters.

### 5.7.3 Convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{objective function} & : \mathcal{F}_k \equiv f_k^T f_k \\
 \text{objective gradient} & : g_k = \nabla \mathcal{F}_k \equiv 2J_k^T f_k \\
 \text{current step} & : p_k, \text{ the minimizer of the subproblem} \\
 \text{New ton step} & : p_N \begin{cases} H_k^{-1} g & \text{if } H_k \text{ is positive definite;} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 \text{Newton reduction} & : \rho_N = \begin{cases} -Q_k(p_N) & \text{if } H_k \text{ is positive definite;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 \text{predicted reduction} & : \rho_F = -Q_k(p_k) \\
 \text{actual reduction} & : \rho_A = \mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k) \\
 \text{scaled distance} & : \nu(x, y, D) = \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|(D(x - y))^i|\}}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|(Dx)^i| + |(Dy)^i|\}},
 \end{aligned}$$

† Here  $\nu_i$  denotes the  $i$ th component of the vector  $\nu$ . There is a provision for the user to replace the function  $\nu$ ; we used the default in all of the tests.

The convergence criteria used in **DN2G** are as follows:

- *Absolute function convergence* occurs at  $x_k$  if

$$|\mathcal{F}_k| < \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{AFCTOL}). \quad (5.7.1)$$

- *Relative function convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x_*) \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{RFCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|.$$

The test actually used is

$$\rho_N \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{RFCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|. \quad (5.7.2)$$

- *x convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\nu(x_k, x^*, D_k) \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{XCTOL}).$$

The test actually used is

$$p_k = p_N \text{ and } \nu(x_k, x_k + p_k, D_k) \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{XCTOL}). \quad (5.7.3)$$

- *Singular convergence* is intended to approximate the condition

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \min\{\mathcal{F}(y) \mid \|D_k(y - x_k)\| \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{LMAXS})\} < \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{SCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|,$$

where  $D_k$  is the diagonal scaling matrix at the  $k$ th iterate — when none of the convergence criteria listed above hold. It is meant to indicate relative function **convergence** when the Hessian in the subproblem is singular.

The actual test is

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \min \{ Q_k(y) \mid \|D_k(y - x_k)\| \leq V(\text{LMAXS}) \} < V(\text{SCTOL}) |\mathcal{F}_k|. \quad (5.7.4)$$

Under certain conditions, the test is repeated for a step of length  $V(\text{LMAXS})$ .

- *False convergence* is returned if none of the other convergence criteria are satisfied and a trial step no larger than  $V(\text{XFCTOL})$  is rejected. This usually indicates either an error in computing the objective gradient, or a discontinuity (in  $Q$  or  $g$ ) near the current iterate, or that one or more of the convergence tolerances ( $V(\text{RFCTOL})$ ,  $V(\text{XCTOL})$ , and  $V(\text{AFCTOL})$ ) are too small relative the accuracy to which the objective is computed.

The test actually used is

$$\mathcal{F}_k - \mathcal{F}(x_k + p_k) \leq V(\text{TUNER1}) \rho_F \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_k, x_k + p_k, D_k) \leq V(\text{XFCTOL}), \quad (\text{S.i.5})$$

where the parameter  $V(\text{TUNER1})$  is adjustable, although in these tests the default value **0.1** is used throughout.

Except for test (5.6.13), tests for convergence are performed only when

$$\rho_A \leq 2\rho_F. \quad (5.7.6)$$

See Dennis, Gay, and Welsch [1981a, 1981b], Gay [1983], and PORT [1984] for more discussion of the convergence criteria.

The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

ABS. F	•	(5.7.1)
RFL. F	-	(5.7.2) and (S.i.6)
X	-	(5.7.3) and (5.7.6)
X, F	-	(5.7.2) and (5.7.3) and (5.7.6)
SING.	-	(5.7.4) and (5.7.6)
FALSE	-	(5.7.5) and (5.7.6)
F LIM.	-	function evaluation limit reached
TIME	-	time limit exceeded
LOOP	-	subroutine appears to loop

The total number of Jacobian evaluations is either equal to the total number of iterations of the method, or it is one more than the number of iterations. The number in the column labeled “iters. / .J evals.” is followed by a “+” if an extra Jacobian evaluation was used in the computation.





## Numerical Results for DN2G

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.
1."	2	2	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		14 14	11+ 11+	1.41 1.41	$10^{-16}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$ $10^{-15}$	$10^{-32}$ $10^{-32}$	ABS F ABS F
2. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		10 12	8+ 10	11.1 11.1	$10^1$ $10^1$	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-6}$	10' 10'	REL F REL F
3. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		64 65	50+ 51+	9.11 9.11	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-17}$	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-12}$	$10^{-19}$ $10^{-33}$	ABS F ABS F
4."	2	3	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		40 53	33+ 46+	$10^6$ 10"	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-6}$	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-6}$	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-12}$	X X
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		9 10	7+ 8+	3.04 3.04	$10^{-11}$ 0.00	$10^{-10}$ 0.00	$10^{-21}$ 0.00	ABS F ABS F
6.	2	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		14 16	10+ 12+	.365 .365	10' 10'	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-6}$	REL F REL F
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		13 14	8+ 9+	1.00 1.00	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-23}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-21}$	$10^{-21}$ $10^{-45}$	ABS F ABS F
8.	3	15	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		7 8	6+ 7+	2.60 2.60	$10^{-1}$ $10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-8}$	REL F REL F
9.	3	15	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		3 5	2+ 4	1.08 1.08	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$ $10^{-14}$	X X, REL F
10.	3	16	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		132 133	120+ 121	$10^4$ 10"	$10^1$ $10^1$	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-3}$	$10^{-6}$ $10^{-6}$	X, REL F X, REL F
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		(3000) (3000)	(2953) (2953)	239. 239.	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{18}$ $10^{18}$	$10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$	F LIM F LIM
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		8 9	5+ 6+	10.1 10.1	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-10}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-19}$ $10^{-32}$	ABS F ABS F
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		19 25	16+ 22+	$10^{-4}$ $10^{-6}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$ $10^{-17}$	$10^{-17}$ $10^{-24}$	ABS F ABS F
14."	4	6	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		52 53	40+ 41+	2.00 2.00	$10^{-9}$ 0.00	$10^{-7}$ 0.00	10'" 0.00	ABS F ABS F
15.	4	11	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		11 12	9+ 10+	.328 .328	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-9}$	REL F REL F
16.	4	20	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		21 22	14+ 15+	17.6 17.6	$10^2$ $10^2$	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-8}$	REL F REL F
17.	5	33	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		26 27	20+ 21+	2.46 2.46	$10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$ $10^{-11}$	REL F REL F
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		45 46	32+ 33+	12.3 12.3	$10^{-9}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-16}$	$10^{-17}$ $10^{-31}$	ABS F ABS F
19.	11	65	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-12}$		20 22	13+ 15+	9.38 9.38	$10^{-1}$ $10^{-1}$	10'" $10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$ $10^{-8}$	REL F REL F

# Numerical Results for DN2G

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	CONV.	
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-8}$		13	9+	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		13	9+	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	REL	F
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-8}$		12	9+	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		15	12	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	X, REL	F
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-8}$		14	11+	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		14	11+	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	REL	F
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-8}$		8	6+	1.11	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		(471)	(137+)	1.18	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	LOOP	
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		27	11+	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		27	17+	3.16	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		16	12+	4.47	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		16	12+	4.47	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-8}$		19	16+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		26	23+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-18}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS.	F
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		20	17+	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-17}$	AR!	F
			$10^{-12}$		26	23+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-18}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS.	F
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-8}$		36	26+	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		37	27+	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	REL	F
23h.	10	11	$10^{-8}$		61	46+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		68	50+	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	RCL	F
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-8}$		139	110+	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		142	113+	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	RCL	F
24h.	10	20	$10^{-8}$		129	101+	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		138	108+	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	REL	F
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-8}$		15	10+	3.16	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		16	11+	3.16	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	X	
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-8}$		19	12+	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	X	
			$10^{-12}$		19	12+	4.47	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS.	F
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		11	7+	.306	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		12	8+	.306	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS.	F
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		39	25+	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	REL	F
			$10^{-12}$		42	27	.228	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	REL	F
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		8	6+	3.18	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-21}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		9	7+	3.18	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS.	F
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		11	8+	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		12	9+	4.47	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS.	F
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS.	F
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		3	2+	.571	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-16}$	ABS.	F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	ABS.	F

### Numerical Results for DN2G

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS F
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS F
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		8	5+	2.05	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		9	6+	2.05	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	ABS F
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		8	5+	3.04	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		9	6+	3.04	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS F
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		10	7+	1.80	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-23}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		11	8+	1.80	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-31}$	X
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		10	7+	2.66	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-21}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		11	8+	2.66	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS F
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		5	2	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	X. REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		5	2	3.113	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	X. REL. F
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		18	5	20.2	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
			$10^{-12}$		18	5	20.2	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		13	5	7.24	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
			$10^{-12}$		13	5	7.24	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	SING.
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		2.1	14+	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		24	15+	1.65	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	REL. F
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		11	7+	1.73	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
			$10^{-12}$		11	7+	1.73	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
35c.	10	10	$10^{-8}$		17	12+	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-3}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		19	14+	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-3}$	REL. F
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		(1000)	(3988)	21.1	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(4000)	(3988)	21.1	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-13}$	F LIM.
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(9000)	(8977)	35.5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(9000)	(8977)	35.5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		16	15+	1.73	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-17}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		22	21+	1.73	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS. F
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		(9000)	(8966)	38.4	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
			$10^{-12}$		(9000)	(8966)	38.4	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-14}$	F LIM.
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$		10	8+	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		11	9+	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
30.	3	16	$10^{-8}$		10	8+	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F
			$10^{-12}$		12	10+	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$	REL. F

### Numerical Results for DN2G

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>39a.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		5	4+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39b.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		6	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		7	6+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39c.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		6	4+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		8	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39d.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		7	5+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		8	6+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39e.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		9	6+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	7+	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39f.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		14	10+	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		15	11+	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>39g.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	$10^{-8}$		18	12+	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		20	14+	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40a.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		7	6	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		7	6	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40b.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		7	5+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	9	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40c.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		9	6+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		10	7+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40d.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		9	7+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		9	7+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40e.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		10	9+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	10+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40f.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		13	10+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		14	11+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>40g.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	$10^{-8}$		23	16+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		25	18+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41a.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41b.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		4	3+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		5	4+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41c.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		6	5+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		6	5+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41d.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		9	7+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		11	9+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41e.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		17	13+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		20	16+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41f.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		24	19+	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		27	22+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
<b>41g.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	$10^{-8}$		29	22+	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F
			$10^{-12}$		30	23+	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	REL F

Numerical Results for DN2G

	$n$	$m$	TOL	init. diam.	$f$ evals.	iters./ $J$ evals.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. crr.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.9	29	19+	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	X
			$10^{-12}$	0.9	29	19+	60.8	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS F
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.001	74	48+	61.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	X
			$10^{-12}$	0.001	74	48+	61.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS F
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	0.01	32	19+	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	X
			$10^{-12}$	0.01	32	19+	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS F
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$		23	18+	60.3	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	ABC F
			$10^{-12}$		24	19+	60.3	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-26}$	
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		31	22+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-24}$	AR: F
			$10^{-12}$		32	23-e	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	X
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		20	13+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-24}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		20	13+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	ARC F
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		34	26+	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-2}$	REL: F
			$10^{-12}$		41	33+	53.6	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-2}$	REL: F
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		17	11+	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		17	11+	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-27}$	ABS: F
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		28	18+	54.0	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	A B S: F
			$10^{-12}$		29	19+	54.0	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-27}$	X
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		20	15+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS: F
			$10^{-12}$		20	15+	54.0	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	ABS: F
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		58	41+	4.06	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	ABS: F
			$10^{-12}$		59	42+	4.06	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-30}$	ABS: F
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		7	6+	3.52	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		7	6+	3.52	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-28}$	ABS F
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		93	84+	20.6	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-19}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		94	85+	20.6	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS: F
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		97	81+	15.3	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-22}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		98	82+	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-28}$	X
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		83	72+	9.27	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		83	72+	9.27	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS: F
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		65	45+	4.06	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-22}$	ARC F
			$10^{-12}$		66	46+	4.06	$10^{-17}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-33}$	ABS: F
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		8	7+	3.56	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	ABS F
			$10^{-12}$		8	7+	3.56	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-26}$	A B C: F
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		129	123+	20.6	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-16}$	AR+ F
			$10^{-12}$		130	124+	20.6	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-29}$	A B S: F
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		168	144+	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		168	144+	15.3	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS: F
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		173	165+	9.31	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	X
			$10^{-12}$		173	165+	9.31	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-29}$	ABS: F



## 5.8 Corrected Gauss-Newton Methods

(NPL/NAG LSQSDN and LSQFDQ)

### 5.8.1 Software and Algorithms

The results were obtained using subroutines LSQSDN and LSQFDQ implementing corrected Gauss-Newton methods from the National Physical Laboratory, which are available at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. A subproblem of the form

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T (J_k^T J_k + B_k) p$$

subject to  $J_k p \approx -f_k$ ,

is solved for a search direction  $p_k$ , where  $\approx$  is interpreted in a least-squares sense using the singular-value decomposition (see Chapters 3 and 4). Subroutine **LSQSDN** requires exact second derivatives for the term  $B_k$  that involves the second derivatives of the residuals, while LSQFDQ uses a quasi-Newton approximation. The linesearch algorithm used within the subroutines requires both function and gradient information (see Gill and Murray [1974], for details). These subroutines are similar to those available in the NAG Library [1984] for solving nonlinear least-squares problems: **LSQSDN** corresponds to NAG subroutine **E04HEF** and LSQFDQ to NAG subroutine **E04GBF**.

### 5.8.2 Parameters

**LSQSDN** and **LSQFDQ** have the same set of input parameters as the corresponding software from the NAG Library [1984]. The values chosen are listed below.

<b>MAXCAL</b>	-	min {9999, 1000 * n}	function evaluation limit
<b>XTOL</b>	-	varied; see tables	accuracy in $x$
<b>ETA</b>	-	0.9	linesearch accuracy
<b>STEPMX</b>	-	usually $10^6$ (default) †	maximum step for linesearch

† In some cases the default **STEPMX** =  $10^6$  was too large and overflow occurred during function evaluation in the linesearch. These cases are indicated in the tables by giving the lower value of **STEPMX** that was subsequently used to obtain the results.

See the NAG [1984] manual for details concerning the parameters.

### 5.8.3 Convergence Criteria

The following quantities will be used in describing the convergence criteria :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{objective function} & : \mathcal{F}_k = f_k^T f_k \\
 \text{objective gradient} & : g_k = \nabla \mathcal{F}_k = 2J_k^T f_k \\
 \text{search direction} & : p_k, \text{ the minimizer of the subproblem} \\
 \text{steplength} & : \alpha_k, \text{ determined by the linesearch}
 \end{aligned}$$

An iterate is determined to be optimal by **LSQSDN** and **LSQFDQ** if

$$\mathcal{F}_k < \epsilon_M^2 \tag{5.8.1}$$

or

$$\|g_k\|_2 < \epsilon_M \|f_k\|_2 \tag{5.8.2}$$

or if the following three conditions hold :

$$\alpha_k \|p_k\|_2 < (\text{XTOL} + \epsilon_M)(1 + \|x_k\|_2) \tag{5.8.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(x_{k-1}) - \mathcal{F}_k < (\text{XTOL} + \epsilon_M)^2(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|) \tag{5.8.4}$$

and

$$\|g_k\|_2 < \epsilon_M^{1/3}(1 + |\mathcal{F}_k|). \tag{5.8.5}$$

Conditions (5.8.3) and (S.8.4) are meant to ensure that the sequence  $x_k$  has converged,

- while conditions (S.8.2) and (5.8.5) are intended to test whether the necessary condition that the gradient vanish at a minimum is approximately satisfied at  $x_k$ . Condition (5.8.2) allows the algorithm to accept a point as a local **mimumum** if a more restrictive test on the necessary condition than (5.8.5) is met, even if conditions (5.8.3) and (S.8.4) do not hold. For the zero-residual case, condition (5.8.5) specifies that the method may also terminate **when**  $\|f_k\|_2$  is no larger than the relative machine precision. For a detailed discussion of convergence criteria similar to these, see Sections 8.2 and 8.5 of Gill, Murray, and Wright [1981]. In particular, Section 8.5.1.3 treats special considerations relevant to nonlinear least squares.



The following abbreviations are used in the tables to describe the conditions under which the algorithm terminates :

OPT - optimal point found  
\* - current point cannot be improved †  
F LIM - function evaluation limit reached  
TIME - time limit exceeded

† A '\*' corresponds to the situation in which the algorithm terminates due to failure in the linesearch to find an acceptable step at the current iteration.



# Numerical Results for LSQFDQ

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ .412$	est. err.	conv.
1."	2	2	$10^{-8}$		31	12	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		31	12	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT
2."	2	2	$10^{-8}$		36	26	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-8}$	$10^1$	*
			$10^{-12}$		36	26	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-8}$	$10^1$	*
3."	2	2	$10^{-8}$		41	25	9.11	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		41	25	9.11	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-20}$	*
4."	2	3	$10^{-8}$		64	20	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		64	20	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		14	9	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		14	9	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	*
6.	2	10	$10^{-8}$		54	28	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		54	28	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	*
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	$10^{-8}$		20	13	1.00	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		20	13	1.00	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
8.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		13	12	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		13	12	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
9.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
10.	3	16	$10^{-8}$		18	10	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10^3$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		18	10	$10^4$	$10^1$	$10^3$	$10^{-6}$	*
11."	3	10	$10^{-8}$		69	31	60.8	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		69	31	60.8	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-4}$	*
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$		12	8	10.1	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		12	8	10.1	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	*
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	$10^{-8}$		81	58	2.00	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		81	58	2.00	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	*
15.	4	11	$10^{-8}$		30	22	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		30	22	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
16.	4	20	$10^{-8}$		62	39	11.6	$10^2$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		62	39	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-8}$	*
17.	5	33	$10^{-8}$		19	11	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		19	11	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-11}$	*
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	$10^{-8}$	$10^2$	(6004)	(4108)	756.	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM
			$10^{-12}$	$10^2$	(6004)	(1108)	756.	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-1}$	F LIM.
19.	11	65	$10^{-8}$		33	22	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		33	22	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	*

## Numerical Results for LSQFDQ

	II	III	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-8}$		32	28	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		32	28	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	*
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-8}$		6	5	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-8}$		6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-8}$		18	11	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		18	11	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-24}$	*
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		31	12	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		31	12	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		31	12	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		31	12	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-8}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-8}$		80	52	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		80	52	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.
<b>23b.</b>	10	11	$10^{-8}$		143	78	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		143	78	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-8}$		411	370	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		411	370	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.
24b.	10	20	$10^{-8}$	$10^2$	566	501	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$	$10^2$	566	501	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-8}$		16	12	3.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		16	12	3.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-8}$		18	14	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		18	14	4.47	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-12}$		22	11	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	*
					22	11	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	*
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		18	9	.208	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		24	12	.208	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	*
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	$10^2$	26	13	3.18	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	$10^2$	26	13	3.18	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-26}$	*
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	10.0	31	17	4.47	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	31	17	4.47	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-20}$	*
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.

# Numerical Results for LSQFDQ

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$j, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ x^*\ _2 \ f^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	<del><math>10^{-12}</math></del>		<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.412</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	*
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.571</b>	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	*
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-12}$		11	7	2.05	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-6}$		11	<i>i</i>	3.04	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		12	8	1.80	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		12	8	2.66	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
<b>34.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$	10.0	<b>87</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1.65</b>	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	*
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		34	14	<b>1.73</b>	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-21}$	*
<b>35c.</b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	10.0	<b>73</b>	<b>33</b>	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	*
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		44	25	<b>50.0</b>	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	*
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		44	25	<b>50.0</b>	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	*
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		28	<i>2 i</i>	<b>1.73</b>	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		28	<i>2 i</i>	<b>1.73</b>	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		424	100	232.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		424	100	232.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	*
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$		25	<b>23</b>	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	*
38.	3	16	$10^{-8}$		30	26	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	*

### Numerical Results for LSQFDQ

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. $f, J$ step evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.	
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	17	16	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	17	16	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	24	23	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	24	23	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
<b>39c.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	22	18	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	23	19	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	31	26	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	32	21	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
<b>39e.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	32	26	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	32	26	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
39f.	2	3	$10^{-8}$	43	27	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	43	27	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	*	
<b>39g.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$	49	28	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	49	28	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	18	17	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	18	11	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
<b>40b.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	19	17	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	19	17	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	OPT.	
<b>40c.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	27	22	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	27	22	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	OPT.	
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	33	26	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	34	21	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
<b>40e.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	70	39	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	72	40	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
<b>40f.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	92	43	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	92	43	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
<b>40g.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$	$10^3$	123	53	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	$10^3$	12.3	53	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	*
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$	8	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	8	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$	18	13	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	18	13	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^0$	OPT.	
<b>41c.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$	21	18	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	OPT	
			$10^{-12}$	21	18	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.	
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$	38	28	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	38	28	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
41e.	5	10	$10^{-8}$	47	37	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	47	37	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
41f.	5	10	$10^{-8}$	54	45	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	54	45	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	*	
41g.	5	10	$10^{-8}$	62	52	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	62	52	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	*	

### Numerical Results for LSQFDQ

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	10.0	73	49	63.5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-13}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	73	49	K-1.5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-13}$	*
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	2.0	94	71	61.9	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	2.0	94	71	61.9	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	*
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	5.0	46	30	60.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	46	30	60.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	*
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	5.0	27	19	60.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	27	19	60.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	*
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	45	21	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	45	21	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	38	20	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	38	20	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	27	14	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	27	14	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	*
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-12}$		31	19	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		97	28	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		97	28	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		10	6	3.52	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		10	6	3.52	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	*
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		40	17	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		40	17	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		47	20	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	20	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	*
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		97	28	1.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		97	28	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	*
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		12	1	3.56	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		12	1	3.56	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	*
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		42	18	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		42	18	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		49	21	9.31	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		49	21	9.31	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	*





### Numerical Results for LSQSDN

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f. J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
1."	2	2	$10^{-8}$		31	12	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		31	12	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT
2."	2	2	$10^{-8}$		18	8	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^1$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		18	8	11.4	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^1$	OPT
3. <sup>0</sup>	2	2	$10^{-8}$		41	25	9.11	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-20}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	25	9.11	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-20}$	*
4. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		53	21	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		53	21	$10^6$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-18}$	*
5."	2	3	$10^{-8}$		10	1	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		10	1	3.04	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT
6.	2	10	$10^{-8}$	5.0	36	10	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	36	10	.365	$10^1$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	*
7."	3	3	$10^{-8}$		14	10	1.00	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		14	10	1.00	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.
8.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		6	5	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	2.60	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
9.	3	15	$10^{-8}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		3	2	1.08	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-14}$	OPT.
10.	3	16	$10^{-8}$		17	10	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^2$	$10^{-6}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		17	10	$10^1$	$10^1$	$10^2$	$10^{-6}$	*
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$	10.0	30	15	49.0	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	30	15	49.0	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-3}$	*
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	$10^{-8}$		8	6	10.1	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT*
			$10^{-12}$		12	8	10.1	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT*
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	$10^{-8}$		87	12	2.00	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT*
			$10^{-12}$		93	45	2.00	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT*
15.	4	11	$10^{-8}$		16	8	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		16	8	.328	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT
16.	4	20	$10^{-8}$		45	22	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-8}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		45	22	17.6	$10^2$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-8}$	*
17.	5	33	$10^{-8}$		14	9	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT*
			$10^{-12}$		18	11	2.46	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT*
18."	6	13	$10^{-8}$	10.0	243	69	12.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.*
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	241	71	12.3	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT.*
19.	11	65	$10^{-8}$		19	10	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		19	10	9.38	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.

Numerical Results for LSQSDN

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. $f, J$ step evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.	
<b>20a.</b>	6	31	$10^{-8}$	9	7	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	*	
			$10^{-12}$	9	7	2.44	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-10}$	*	
<b>20b.</b>	9	31	$10^{-8}$	6	5	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	6	5	6.06	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-13}$	OPT.	
<b>20c.</b>	12	31	$10^{-8}$	6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	6	5	16.6	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.	
<b>20d.</b>	20	31	$10^{-8}$	10	8	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	12	9	247.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-24}$	OPT.	
<b>21a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	31	12	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	31	12	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.	
<b>21b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	31	12	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	31	12	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	OPT.	
<b>22a.<sup>0</sup></b>	12	12	$10^{-8}$	18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.	
<b>22b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	18	17	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.	
<b>23a.</b>	4	5	$10^{-8}$	58	32	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	58	32	.500	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	OPT.	
23h.	10	11	$10^{-8}$	124	64	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	124	64	.500	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.	
<b>24a.</b>	4	8	$10^{-8}$	228	136	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	228	136	.759	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	OPT.	
24h.	10	20	$10^{-8}$	150	88	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	150	88	.598	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-9}$	OPT.	
<b>25a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	12	$10^{-8}$	12	10	3.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	17	12	3.16	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*	
<b>25b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	22	$10^{-8}$	14	12	4.47	$10^{-8}$	10 "	$10^{-17}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	19	14	4.47	$10^{-8}$	10 "	$10^{-17}$	*	
<b>26a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	18	9	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	22	11	.306	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-22}$	*	
<b>26b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	18	9	.208	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	26	12	.208	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-19}$	*	
<b>27a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	$10^2$	22	7	3.18	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$	$10^2$	28	10	3.18	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	*
<b>27b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	$10^2$	21	7	4.47	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$	$10^2$	27	10	4.47	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-19}$	*
<b>28a.<sup>0</sup></b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$	4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	4	3	.412	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.	
<b>28b.<sup>0</sup></b>	20	20	$10^{-8}$	4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.	
			$10^{-12}$	4	3	.571	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.	

### Numerical Results for LSQSDN

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>29a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		6	4	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		6	4	.412	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-29}$	OPT.
<b>29b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		6	4	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		6	4	.571	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-28}$	OPT.
<b>30a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		7	5	2.05	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		7	5	2.05	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>30b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		7	7	3.04	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		11	7	3.04	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>31a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		8	6	1.80	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		12	8	1.80	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>31b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	20	20	$10^{-8}$		8	6	2.66	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		12	8	2.66	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>32.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		2	1	3.16	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT.
<b>33.</b> <sup>L</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		2	1	1.46	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
<b>34.</b> <sup>"</sup>	10	20	$10^{-8}$		2	1	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		2	1	1.78	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
<b>35a.</b>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		74	19	1.65	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		74	19	1.65	$10^0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-9}$	*
<b>35b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		30	12	1.73	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-22}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		34	14	1.73	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-22}$	*
<b>35c.</b>	10	10	$10^{-8}$		43	10	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		43	10	1.81	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	OPT.
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	$10^{-8}$		38	22	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		38	22	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		38	22	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		38	22	50.0	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-31}$	OPT.
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		28	27	1.73	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		28	27	1.73	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-32}$	OPT.
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	$10^{-8}$		380	84	233.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		380	84	233.	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT.
37.	2	16	$10^{-8}$		9	8	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		9	8	8.85	$10^1$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
38.	3	16	$10^{-8}$		10	8	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		10	8	26.1	$10^1$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	OPT.

### Numerical Results for LSQSDN

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ r^*\ _2$	$\ f^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		6	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>39c.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		9	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		9	5	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		12	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		12	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>39e.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		12	7	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		12	7	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
<b>39f.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		25	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		25	10	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
<b>39g.</b>	2	3	$10^{-8}$		39	15	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		39	15	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-1}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40a.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40h.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		6	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		6	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40c.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		11	6	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		11	6	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40d.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		13	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		13	7	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40e.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		4s	16	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		45	16	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40f.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		49	18	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		49	18	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>40g.</b>	3	4	$10^{-8}$		85	24	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		85	24	$10^{-9}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		4	3	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41c.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		5	4	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		9	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		9	7	$10^{-6}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41e.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		14	10	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		14	10	$10^0$	$10^0$	$10^{-11}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41f.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		16	12	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		16	12	$10^{-7}$	$10^0$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$
<b>41g.</b>	5	10	$10^{-8}$		21	15	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	OPT.
			$10^{-12}$		21	15	$10^{-8}$	$10^0$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-7}$

### Numerical Results for LSQSDN

	$n$	$m$	XTOL	max. step	$f, J$ evals.	iters.	$\ x^*\ _2$	$\ J^*\ _2$	$\ g^*\ _2$	est. err.	conv.
<b>42a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	5.0	60	41	63.5	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	64	43	63.5	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-19}$	*
<b>42b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	2.0	75	67	61.9	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	2.0	75	67	61.9	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-11}$	*
<b>42c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	5.0	48	28	61.8	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	48	28	61.8	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
<b>42d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	24	$10^{-8}$	5.0	27	19	GO.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	*
			$10^{-12}$	5.0	27	19	60.3	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-10}$	*
<b>43a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	2s	14	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>43b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	<b>37</b>	<b>17</b>	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	45	21	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>43c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	2s	14	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	33	18	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>43d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	30	16	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	38	20	54.0	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-18}$	*
<b>43e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$	10.0	19	10	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$	10.0	27	14	54.0	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-20}$	*
<b>43f.</b> <sup>0</sup>	5	16	$10^{-8}$		<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		31	19	54.0	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-16}$	*
<b>44a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		93	26	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		95	27	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
<b>44b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		6	4	3.52	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		10	6	3.52	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>44c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	*
<b>44d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		40	17	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		40	17	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>44e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	6	6	$10^{-8}$		43	18	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		43	18	9.27	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
<b>45a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		93	26	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		95	27	4.06	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-23}$	OPT
<b>45b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		6	4	3.56	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		12	7	3.56	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-15}$	*
<b>45c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		47	19	20.6	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-14}$	*
<b>45d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		42	18	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
			$10^{-12}$		42	18	15.3	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-17}$	*
<b>45e.</b> <sup>0</sup>	8	8	$10^{-8}$		43	18	9.31	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT
			$10^{-12}$		43	18	9.31	$10^{-13}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-25}$	OPT

11

## 5.9 Test Problems

Superscripts on problem numbers have the following interpretation :

- <sup>0</sup> : zero-residual problem
- <sup>L</sup> : linear least-squares problem

### Problems from Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1981]

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	
..			Rosenbrock
1. <sup>0</sup>	11	11	Freudenstein and Roth
..			Powell Badly Scaled
3. <sup>0</sup>	11	11	Brown Badly Scaled
5. <sup>0</sup>	2	3	Beale
6.	2	10	Jennrich and Sampson
7. <sup>0</sup>	3	3	Helical Valley
8.	3	15	Bard
9.	3	1s	Gaussian
10.	3	16	Meyer
11. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	Gulf Research and Development†
12. <sup>0</sup>	3	10	Box 3-Dimensional
13. <sup>0</sup>	4	4	Powell Singular
14. <sup>0</sup>	4	6	Wood
15.	4	11	Kowalik and Osborne
16.	4	20	Brown and Dennis
17.	5	33	Osborne 1
18. <sup>0</sup>	6	13	Biggs EXP6‡

† For the **Gulf Research and Development Function** (# 11), the formula

$$\phi_i(x) = \exp \left[ - \frac{|y_i - x_2|^{x_3}}{x_1} \right] - t_i$$

given in Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1981] for the residual functions is in error. The correct formula is

$$\phi_i(x) = \exp \left[ - \frac{|y_i - x_2|^{x_3}}{x_1} \right] - t_i$$

(see Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1978]).

‡ For the **Biggs EXP6 Function** (# 18), the minimum value for the sum of squares is given in Moré, Garbow, and Hillstom [1981] as  $5.65565 \dots \times 10^{-3}$ . It can be easily verified that the residuals vanish at several points (for example (1, 10, 1, 5, 4, 3)).

Problems from **Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom [1981]** (continued)

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	
19.	11	65	Osborne2 †
20a.	6	31	Watson
20b.	9	31	Watson
20c.	12	31	Watson
20d.	20	31	Watson
21a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Extended Rosenbrock
21b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Extended Rosenbrock
22a. <sup>0</sup>	12	12	Extended Powell Singular
22b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Extended Powell Singular
23a.	4	5	Penalty I
23b.	10	11	Penalty I
24a.	4	8	Penalty II
24b.	10	20	Penalty II
25a. <sup>0</sup>	10	12	Variably Dimensioned
25b. <sup>0</sup>	20	22	Variably Dimensioned
26a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Trigonometric
26b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Trigonometric
27a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Brown Almost Linear
27b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Brown Almost Linear
28a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Discrete Boundary Value
28b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Discrete Boundary Value
29a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Discrete Integral
29b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Discrete Integral
30a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Broyden Tridiagonal
30b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Broyden Tridiagonal
31a. <sup>0</sup>	10	10	Broyden Banded
31b. <sup>0</sup>	20	20	Broyden Banded
32. <sup>L</sup>	10	20	Linear -- Full Rank
33. <sup>L</sup>	10	20	Linear -- Rank 1
34. <sup>L</sup>	10	20	Linear -- Rank 1 with Zero Columns and Rows
35a.	8	8	Chebyquad
35b. <sup>0</sup>	9	9	Chebyquad
35c.	10	10	Chebyquad

† For Osborne's Second Function (# 19), the value of  $f(x^*)$  is given (to six figures) in Mori, Garbow, and Hillstrom [1981] as  $4.01377 \times 10^{-2}$ . The smallest value we were able to obtain was  $4.01683 \times 10^{-2}$ .



### Matrix Square Root Problems†

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	4	4	<b>Matrix Square Root 1</b>
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	<b>Matrix Square Root. 2</b>
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	<b>Matrix Square Root. 3</b>
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	9	9	<b>Matrix Square Root 4</b>

† These test problems come from a private communication of S. Hammarling to P. E. Gill in 1983.

	MATRIX	SQUARE ROOT
<b>36a.</b> <sup>0</sup>	$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{-4} & 1 \\ 0 & 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{-2} & 50 \\ 0 & 10^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$
<b>36b.</b> <sup>0</sup>	$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{-4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{-2} & 50 & 0 \\ 0 & 10^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$
<b>36c.</b> <sup>0</sup>	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
<b>36d.</b> <sup>0</sup>	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

‡ The identity matrix was used as the starting value in all instances. Note that the iteration should not be started with the zero matrix because it is a stationary point of the sum of squares.

### Problems from Salane [1987]

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	
<b>37.</b>	<b>2</b>	16	<b>Hanson 1</b>
<b>38.</b>	3	16	<b>Hanson 2</b>

Problems from McKeown [1975a] (also McKeown [1975b])

	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>		$\Gamma$
<b>39a.</b>	2	3	<b>McKeown 1</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>39b.</b>	2	3	<b>McKcown 1</b>	0.01
<b>39c.</b>	2	3	<b>McKcown 1</b>	0.1
<b>39d.</b>	2	3	<b>McKeown 1</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>39e.</b>	2	3	<b>McKeown 1</b>	<b>10.0</b>
<b>39f.</b>	2	3	<b>McKcown 1</b>	100.0
<b>39g.</b>	2	3	<b>McKeown 1</b>	1000.0
<b>40a.t</b>	3	4	<b>McKeown 2</b>	0.001
<b>40b.†</b>	3	4	<b>McKcown 2</b>	0.01
<b>40c.t</b>	3	4	<b>McKcown 2</b>	0.1
<b>40d.t</b>	3	4	<b>McKeown 2</b>	1.0
<b>40e.t</b>	3	4	<b>McKcown 2</b>	10.0
<b>40f.†</b>	3	4	<b>McKcown 2</b>	100.0
<b>40g.t</b>	3	4	<b>McKeown 2</b>	<b>1000.0</b>
<b>41a.</b>	5	10	<b>McKeown 3</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>41b.</b>	5	10	<b>McKeown 3</b>	<b>0.01</b>
<b>41c.</b>	5	10	<b>McKcown 3</b>	0.1
<b>41d.</b>	5	10	<b>McKeown 3</b>	1.0
<b>41e.</b>	5	10	<b>McKeown 3</b>	10.0
<b>41f.</b>	5	10	<b>McKeown 3</b>	100.0
<b>41g.</b>	5	10	<b>McKcown 3</b>	1000.0

† In the data defining this problem given in McKeown [1975a] and [1975b], the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2.95137 & 4.87407 & -2.0506 \\ 4.87407 & 9.39321 & -3.93181 \\ -2.0506 & -3.93189 & 2.64745 \end{pmatrix}$$

is in error (it should be symmetric). The value

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2.95137 & 4.87407 & -2.0506 \\ 4.87407 & 9.39321 & -3.93189 \\ -2.0506 & -3.93189 & 2.64745 \end{pmatrix}.$$

which is correct to six decimal digits, was used in our formulation of the problem.

Problems from DeVilliers and Glasser [1981] (also Salane [1987])

	$n$	in		starting value
42a. <sup>0</sup>	4	24	DeVilliers and Glasser 1	(1.0, 8.0, 4.0, 4.412)
42b. <sup>0</sup>	4	24	DeVilliers and Glasser 1	(1.0, 8.0, 8.0, 1.0)
42c. <sup>0</sup>	4	24	DeVilliers and Glasser 1	(1.0, 8.0, 1.0, 4.412)
42d. <sup>0</sup>	4	24	DeVilliers and Glasser 1	(1.0, 8.0, 4.0, 1.0)
43a. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(45.0, 2.0, 2.5, 1.5, 0.9)
43b. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(42.0, 0.8, 1.4, 1.8, 1.0)
43c. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(45.0, 2.0, 2.1, 2.0, 0.9)
43d. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(45.0, 2.5, 1.7, 1.0, 1.0)
43e. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(35.0, 2.5, 1.7, 1.0, 1.0)
43f. <sup>0</sup>	5	16	DeVilliers and Glasser 2	(42.0, 0.8, 1.8, 3.15, 1.0)

Problems from Dennis, Gay, and Vu [1985]

	$n$	$m$		starting value
44a. <sup>0†</sup>	6	6	Exp. 791129	(.299, -0.273, -.474, .474, -.0892, .0882)‡
44b. <sup>0†</sup>	6	6	Exp. 791226	(-.3, .3, -1.2, 2.69, 1.59, -1.5)
44c. <sup>0†</sup>	6	6	Exp. 0121a	(-.041, .03, -2.565, 2.565, -.754, .754)‡
44d. <sup>0†</sup>	6	6	Exp. 0121b	(-.056, .026, -2.991, 2.991, -.568, .568)
44e. <sup>0†</sup>	6	6	Exp. 0121c	(-.074, .013, -3.632, 3.632, -.289, .289)
45a. <sup>0</sup>	8	8	Exp. 791129	(.299, .186, -0.273, .0254, -0.474, -.0892, .0892)‡
45b. <sup>0</sup>	8	8	Exp. 791226	(-.3, -.39, .3, -.344, -1.2, 2.69, 1.59, -1.5)
45c. <sup>0</sup>	8	8	Exp. 0121a	(-.041, -.775, .03, -.047, -2.565, 2.565, -.754, .754)‡
45d. <sup>0</sup>	8	8	Exp. 0121b	(-.056, -.753, .026, -.047, -2.991, 2.991, -.568, .568)
45e. <sup>0</sup>	8	8	Exp. 0121c	(-.074, -.733, .013, -.034, -3.632, 3.632, -.289, .289)

† Variables  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  ( $b$  and  $d$  in Dennis, Gay, and Vu [1985]) are eliminated from the linear constraints in order to get the (i-variable formulation of the problem (see Dennis, Gay, and Vu [1985]).

‡ Specification of some starting values in Dennis, Gay, and Vu [1985] is incomplete. The correct values were obtained from D. M. Gay in 1986.



## 6. Bibliography

- Al-Baali, M., and R. Fletcher, "Variational Methods for Non-Linear Least Squares", *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 36 No. 5 (May **1985**) **405-421**.
- Armijo, L.. "Minimization of Functions having Lipschitz-Continuous First Partial Derivatives", *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 16 (**1966**) **1-3**.
- Brodlië, K. W., "An Assessment of two Approaches to Variable Metric Methods", *Mathematical Programming* 12 (**1977**) **344-355**.
- Brown, K. M., and J. E. Dennis, "A New Algorithm for Nonlinear Least Squares Curve Fitting", in *Mathematical Software*, J. R. Rice (ed.), Academic Press (**1971**).
- Broyden, C. G., J. E. Dennis, and J. J. Moré, "On the Local and Superlinear Convergence of Quasi-Newton Methods", *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications* 12 (**1973**) **223-246**.
- Bulteau, J. P., and J. P. Vial, "A Restricted Trust Region Algorithm for Unconstrained Minimization", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 47 No. 4 (December **1985**) 413-435.
- Bulteau, J. P., and J. P. Vial, "Curvilinear Path and Trust Region in Unconstrained Optimization: A Convergence Analysis", *Mathematical Programming Studies* 30 (**1987**) **82-101**.
- Byrd, R. H., R. B. Schnabel, and G. A. Shultz. "Approximate Solution of the Trust Region Problem by Minimization over Two-Dimensional Subspaces", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 40 No. 3 (March **1988**).
- Davidon, W. C., "Optimally Conditioned Optimization Algorithms without Line Searches", *Mathematical Programming* 9 (**1975**) **1-30**.
- Dennis, J. E., and J. J. Moré, "A Characterization of Superlinear Convergence and its Application to Quasi-Newton Methods", *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 28 No. 126 (April **1974**) **549-560**.
- Dennis, J. E., and J. J. Moré, "Quasi-Newton Methods: Motivation and Theory", *SIAM Review*, Vol. 19 No. 1 (January **1977**) **46-89**.
- Dennis, J. E., and H. H. W. Mei, "Two New Unconstrained Optimization Methods which use Function and Gradient Values", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 28 (**1979**) **453-482**.
- Dennis, J. E., and R. B. Schnabel, "Least Change Secant Updates for Quasi-Newton Methods", *SIAM Review*, Vol. 21 No. 4 (October **1979**) **443-459**.

- Dennis, J. E., D. M. Gay, and R. E. Welsch, "An Adaptive Nonlinear Least-Squares Algorithm", *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 7 No. 3 (September **1981a**) **348-368**.
- Dennis, J. E., D. M. Gay, and R. E. Welsch, "ALGORITHM 573 **NL2SOL** : An Adaptive Nonlinear Least-Squares Algorithm", *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 7 No. 3 (September 1981b) 369-383.
- Dennis, J. E., and R. B. Schnabel, *Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations*, Prentice-Hall (**1983**).
- Dennis, J. E., D. M. Gay, and R. E. Welsch, "Remark on ALGORITHM 573". *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 9 No. **1** (March **1983**) **139**.
- Dennis, J. E., D. M. Gay, and P. A. Vu, "A New Nonlinear Equations Test Problem", Technical Report 83-16, Mathematical Sciences Department, Rice University (June **1983** — revised May **1985**).
- Flachs, J., "On the Generation of Updates for Quasi-Newton Methods", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 40 No. 3 (March **1986**) **379-417**.
- Fletcher, R., "A Modified Marquardt Subroutine for Non-Linear Least Squares", Technical Report AERE- R 6799, Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell U. K. (**1971**).
- Fletcher, R., and T. L. Freeman, "A Modified Newton Method for Minimization", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 23 No. 3 (November 1977) 357-372.
- Fletcher, R., *Practical Methods of Optimization : Volume I , Unconstrained Optimization*, Wiley (**1980**).
- Fraleley, C., "Solution of Nonlinear Least-Squares Problems", Technical Report STAN-CS-87-1165 (Ph. D. Thesis), Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, and Report **CLaSSiC-87-04**, Center for Large Scale Scientific Computation, Stanford University (July 1987a).
- Fraleley, C., "Computational Behavior of Gauss-Newton Methods", Technical Report SOL 87-10, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University, and Manuscript **CLaSSiC-87-21**, Center for Large Scale Scientific Computation, Stanford University (August **1987b**).
- Fraleley, C., "Algorithms for Nonlinear Least-Squares Problems", Technical Report, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University; Center for Large Scale Scientific Computation, Stanford University; **Université de Genève**, COMIN (April 1988).
- Gay, D. M., "Computing Optimal Locally Constrained Steps", *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 2 No. 2 (June **1981**) **186-197**.

- Gay, D. M., "ALGORITHM 611 : Subroutines for Unconstrained Minimization Using a Model/Trust-Region Approach", *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 9 No. 4 (December **1983**) **503-524**.
- Gill, P. E., and W. Murray, "Quasi-Newton Methods for Unconstrained Optimization", *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications* **9 (1972) 91-108**.
- Gill, P. E., and W. Murray, "Newton-type Methods for Unconstrained and Linearly Constrained Optimization" , *Mathematical Programming* **7 (1974a) 311-350**.
- Gill, P. E., and W. Murray, "Safeguarded Steplength Algorithms for Optimization using Descent Methods", Technical Report NAC 37, National Physical Laboratory, England (**1974b**).
- Gill, P. E., and W. Murray, "Nonlinear Least Squares and Nonlinearly Constrained Optimization", in *Numerical Analysis -- Proceedings Dundee 1975, Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 506, Springer-Verlag (**1976**) **134-147**.
- Gill, P. E., and W. Murray, "Algorithms for the Solution of the Nonlinear Least-Squares Problem", *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. **15** No. 5 (October **1978**) **977-992**.
- Gill, P. E., W. Murray, M. A. Saunders, and M. H. Wright, "Two Steplength Algorithms for Numerical Optimization", Technical Report SOL 79-25, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University (**1979**).
- Gill, P. E., W. Murray, and M. H. Wright, *Practical Optimization*, Academic Press (**1981**)
- Gill, P. E., W. Murray, M. A. Saunders, and M. H. Wright, "Model Building and Practical Aspects of Nonlinear Programming", in **NATO ASI Series**, Vol. **F15**, *Computational Mathematical Programming*, K. Schittkowski (ed.), Springer-Verlag (**1985**) **209-247**.
- Gill, P. E., S. J. Hammarling, W. Murray, M. A. Saunders, and M. H. Wright, "User's Guide for **LSSOL (Version 1.0): A Fortran Package for Constrained Linear Least-Squares and Convex Quadratic Programming**", Technical Report SOL **86-1**, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University (January **1986a**).
- Gill, P. E., W. Murray, M. A. Saunders, and M. H. Wright, "Users Guide for **NPSOL (Version 4.0): A Fortran Package for Nonlinear Programming**", Technical Report SOL 86-2, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University (January **1986b**), revised Version 4.2 (**1987**).
- Goldfarb, D., "Curvilinear Path Steplength Algorithms for Minimization which use Directions of Negative Curvature", *Mathematical Programming* **18 (1980) 31-40**.
- Goldfeld, S., R. Quandt, and H. Trotter, "Maximization by Quadratic Hill-Climbing", *Econometrica* **34 (1966) 541-551**.

- Goldstein, A. A., "On Steepest Descent" , *SIAM Journal on Control* 3 (1965) 147-151.
- Goldstein, A. A., *Constructive Real Analysis*, Harper and Row (1967)
- Goldstein, A. A., and J. F. Price, "An Effective Algorithm for Minimization", *Numerische Mathematik* 10 (1967) 184-189.
- Golub, G. H., and C. L. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, Johns-Hopkins (1983)
- Hanson, R. J., and F. T. Krogh, "Testing Nonlinear Least Squares System Solvers Using Performance Profiling", Technical Report, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA U. S. A. (April 1987).
- Hebden, M. D., "An Algorithm for Minimization using Exact Second Derivatives", Technical Report T. P. 515, Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell U. K. (1973).
- Hiebert, K. L., "A Comparison of Nonlinear Least Squares Software", Technical Report SAND79-0483, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM U. S. A. (1979).
- Hiebert, K. L., "An Evaluation of Mathematical Software that Solves Nonlinear Least Squares Problems", *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 7 No. 1 (March 1981) 1-16.
- Higham, N. J., "Computing the Polar Decomposition — with Applications", *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 7 No. 4 (October 1986) 1160-1174.
- Holt, J. N., and R. Fletcher, "An Algorithm for Constrained Nonlinear Least-Squares", *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications* 23 (1979) 449-463.
- Lawson, C. L., and R. J. Hanson, Solving *Least Squares Problems*, Prentice Hall (1974)
- Levenberg, K., "A Method for the Solution of Certain Nonlinear Problems in Least Squares", *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics* 2 (1944) 164-168.
- Marquardt, D. W., "An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters", *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM)*, Vol. 11 No. 2 (June 1963) 431-441.
- McCormick, G. P., "A Modification of Armijo's Step-Size Rule for Negative Curvature", *Mathematical Programming* 13 (1977) 111-115.
- Moré, J. J., B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstom, "Testing Unconstrained Optimization Software", Technical Report TM-324, Applied Mathematics Division, Argonne National Laboratory (July 1978).
- Moré, J. J., B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstom, "User Guide for MINPACK-1", Technical Report ANL-80-74, Applied Mathematics Division, Argonne National Laboratory (August 1980).



- Moré, J. J., and D. C. Sorensen. "On the Use of Directions of Negative Curvature in a Modified Newton Method", *Mathematical Programming* 16 (1979) 1-20.
- Moré, J. J., B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstom, "Testing Unconstrained Optimization Software", *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, Vol. 7 No. 1 (March 1981) 17-41.
- Moré, J. J., "Recent Developments in Algorithms and Software for Trust Region Methods", in *Mathematical Programming—The State of the Art- Ronn 1982*, A. Bachem, M. Grötschel, and B. Korte (eds.), Springer-Verlag (1983) 258-287.
- Moré, J. J., and D. C. Sorensen, "Computing a Trust Region Step", *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 4 No. 3 (September 1983) 553-572.
- Moré, J. J., and D. C. Sorensen, "Newton's Method", in *Studies in Numerical Analysis, MAA Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 24, G. H. Gohub (ed.), The Mathematical Association of America (1984).
- Morrison, D. D., "Methods for Nonlinear Least Squares Problems and Convergence Proofs, Tracking Programs and Orbital Determinations", *Proceedings of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory Seminar* (1960) 1-9.
- NAG Fortran Library Manual, Vol. 3, Numerical Algorithms Group, Oxford U. K., and Downers Grove, IL U. S. A. (January 1984).
- Nazareth, L., "An Alternative Variational Principle for Variable Metric Updating", *Mathematical Programming* 30 (1984) 99-104.
- Oren, S. S., " Self-Scaling Variable Metric Algorithms Without Linesearch for Unconstrained Minimization\*", *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 27 No. 124 (October 1973).
- Oren, S. S., and E. Spedicato, "Optimal Conditioning of Self-Scaling Variable Metric Algorithms", *Mathematical Programming* 10 (1976) 70-90.
- Oren, S. S., "Perspectives on Self-Scaling Variable Metric Algorithms" ,*Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 37 No. 2 (June 1982) 137-148.
- Ortega, J. M., and W. C. Rheinboldt, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*, Academic Press (1970).
- Osborne, M. R., "Some Aspects of Non-linear Least Squares Calculations", in *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Optimization*, F. A. Lootsma (ed.), Academic Press (1972) 171-189.
- PORT Mathematical Subroutine Library Manual, A. T. & T. Bell Laboratories, inc., Murray Hill, NJ U. S. A. (May 1984).

- Powell, M. J. D., "A Hybrid Method for Nonlinear Equations", in *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Algebraic Equations*, P. Rabinowitz (ed.), Gordon and Breach (1970a) 87-114.
- Powell, M. J. D., "A New Algorithm for Unconstrained Optimization", in *Nonlinear Programming*, J. B. Rosen, O. L. Mangasarian, and K. Ritter (eds.) (1970b) 31-65.
- Powell, M. J. D., "Convergence Properties of a Class of Minimization Algorithms", in *Nonlinear Programming 2*, O. L. Mangasarian, R. R. Meyer, and S. M. Robinson (eds.), Academic Press (1975) 1-27.
- Reinsch, C. H., "Smoothing by Spline Functions II", *Numerische Mathematik* 16 (1971) 451-454.
- Salane, D. E., "A Continuation Approach for Solving Large Residual Nonlinear Least Squares Problems", *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 8 No. 4 (July 1987) 655-671.
- Schnabel, R. B., "Optimal Conditioning in the Convex Class of Rank Two Updates", *Mathematical Programming* 15 (1978) 247-260.
- Shanno, D. F., and P. C. Kettler, "Optimal Conditioning of Quasi-Newton Methods", *Mathematics of Computation* 24 (1970) 657-667.
- Shanno, D. F., and K. H. Phua, "Matrix Conditioning and Nonlinear Optimization", *Mathematical Programming* 14 (1978a) 145-160.
- Shanno, D. F., and K. H. Phua, "Numerical Comparison of Several Variable Metric Algorithms", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 25 (1978b) 507-518.
- Shultz, G. A., R. B. Schnabel, and R. H. Byrd, "A Family of Trust-Region-Based Algorithms for Unconstrained Minimization with Strong Global Convergence Properties", *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 22 No. 1 (February 1985) 47-67.
- Sorensen, D. C., "Newton's Method with a Model Trust Region Modification", *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 19 No. 2 (April 1982) 409-426.
- Sorensen, D. C., "Trust Region Methods for Unconstrained Minimization", in *Nonlinear Optimization 1981*, M. J. D. Powell (ed.), Academic Press (1982) 29-38.
- Spedicato, E., "A Variable Metric Method for Function Minimization Derived from Invariance to Nonlinear Scaling", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 20 (1976) 315-328.
- Stewart, G. W., *Introduction to Matrix Computations*, Academic Press (1973)
- Todd, M. J., "Quasi-Newton Updates in Abstract Vector Spaces", *SIAM Review*, Vol. 26 No. 3 (July 1984) 367-377.

Wolfe, P., "Convergence Conditions for Ascent Methods" , *SIAM Review* 11 (1969) 226-235

Wolfe, P., "Convergence Conditions for Ascent Methods II : Some Corrections", *SIAM Review*  
13 (1971) 185-188.

