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I normally use a date convention that is unambiguous for international readers, but today it does not matter. ;-)
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1. Why should software be valued?
3. Open source software. Scope. Theory and reality
4. Market value of software companies.
5. Intellectual capital and property (IP).
6. Life and lag of software innovation.
7. Sales expectations and discounting.
8. Alternate business models.
10. Patents, copyrights, and trade secrets. Licensing
11. Separation of use rights, Offshoring.
12. Risks when outsourcing and offshoring development.
13. Effects of using taxhavens to house IP.
Offshoring

Task transfer to Enterprises in Foreign countries

1. Work migration: jobs are moved to lower-cost countries

2. Support software etc. is moved to enable similar productivity in those countries

For a fair Transfer Price (TP)

Income is generated by people and (intellectual) capital
Selling IP Rights
The actual docs & SW stay where the workers are

Set a transfer price for the box
a. IP tranche
b. Intellectual Services and maintenance

Represents a fraction of your company

1. Package the box
   ➢ Create a subcorporation to hold the rights to the IP+

2. Sell the subcorporation to European sales co.: SE
   1) Receive a single payment matching the value
      ▪ Requires a well-off buyer
   2) Receive payments over time NPV = Transfer Price
   3) Make a royalty (fraction of SE’s sales) arrangement
      a. fraction of sales at SE commensurate with the amount of IP
      b. A period that is sufficiently long to recover the IPs NPvalue
      c. A premium to compensate the seller for the risk of SE defaulting
Rights are flexible

Even rights to tangibles are intangibles. The rights can then be moved off-shore. Income from these rights can avoid taxes. Even easier to do with intellectual property And invisible – not on corporate books
Hypothesis

• Offshoring of jobs is effective because of concurrent Intellectual Property (IP) transfer
• Much of that IP is corporate property
• Transfer of corporate IP is poorly understood
  ➢ IP as property is not well defined, hard to measure
  ➢ There are many components to IP, coming from
    ▪ open source, R&D, marketing, reputation as
    ▪ Patents, copyright, trade secret (covered by NDAs)
• Still, IP transfer is a valuable, significant export
Types of Foreign Entities

• **Independent Foreign Contractors**
  - IFC may serve multiple customers
    ▪ Share trade secrets with competitors
  - Owners need contracts to protect the IP
    ▪ Hard to monitor and enforce

• **Owned, Controlled Foreign Corporations**
  - CFC provides much more control over IP
  - Ownership often in third-party countries
    ▪ Avoids **taxation** of sales to other countries
Knowledge is the Link

To be effective a worker has to know what has to be done

• That knowledge consists of
  ➢ The technology
    ▪ Documentation, prior versions, quality control
  ➢ The business methods
    ▪ How technology in the product is marketed
    ▪ The flow from buyers to improved products and methods

• Companies distinguish themselves by proprietary IP
  1. Patents, sometimes Copyrights
  2. Confidential Documents
  3. Knowledge within its people - protected by NDAs
Transfer of tangibles vs Intangibles

Export by Happy Bytes is visible and quantified.
Low cost export incurs loss in the U.S. and more profit in Tahiti
Loss reduces taxes paid in the U.S. Low tax rate in Tahiti increases global profit of HB

Export by Happy Games is invisible and hard to value.
Low cost export incurs loss in the U.S. and more profit in Tahiti
Loss reduces taxes paid in the U.S. Low tax rate in Tahiti increases global profit of HG
To illustrate: a Sequence of Hx cases

- Moving from Sales to Transfer of property
  - Country-based vs. Global companies
- Moving from Tangible to Intangible
  - Visible & measurable, barely so, or not at all
- Intangible property with Intangible knowledge
  - Services versus Patents and Trade Secrets
- Outsourcing
  - Work and its enablers: human and intellectual capital

Definitions emerge as we proceed through 10 cases
Case 1: Tangible export only

U.S. Machine tools * producer U

* To simplify: tools are not innovative, could be built anywhere

- U exports its products to foreign countries
  - Receives payments for those exports
    - prices are known and public
  - Pays taxes on resulting profit

IP Note: U supplies documents for use of the machines. Those documents may be copyrighted. But copyright does not protect intellectual contents, only protects outright copying. Rarely valued.
Case 2: Tangible transfer

Global Machine tools producer $G$

- Exports machines to $G$'s CFC factory $F$, to be used in production of other products at $F$
  - $G$ receives transfer payments $T$ from $F$ for those exports
  - Must show that the transfer price $T$ is reasonable
    - Should match prices of external sales by $G$, or by other Co’s
    - Unreasonably low transfer prices imply U.S. tax avoidance and hiding profits at a foreign base.
  - Pays taxes on resulting profit

But it's hard to be profitable without distinguishing abilities: IP
Case 3: Tangible + market value transfer

Renowned Global Machine tools producer R

Reputation r is due to investment in quality and advertising

- Exports machines to its CSC factory Q

- Gets higher prices $T^+$ for external sales because of $r$

- R receives transfer payments for the internal exports
  - Transfer price includes $r$ when based on its $T^+$ export prices
  - Harder to assess when there are no exports, and other companies in the business have different reputations
  - Reputation $r$ is IP due to marketing & product quality

- Pays taxes on resulting profit
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Case 4: Intangible export $\approx$ Case 1

U.S. Software tools creator and producer

- Exports software to foreign countries
  - Receives payments for those exports
  - Pays taxes on resulting profit

- **Problem: software is easily copied**
  - Protection desired, achieved by combination of
    - Only issuing licenses -- *avoids property rights issues*
    - Copyright laws and patents -- *requires govmnt cooperation*
    - Making copying hard -- *technology game*
    - Restricting maintenance -- *works for critical packages*
Case 5: Intangible transfer ≈ Case 2

U.S. Software creator and producer with foreign distribution

- Exports software products to foreign subsidiary, to be marketed and sold there
- Receives transfer payments for those exports
  - Must show that the transfer price is reasonable
    - By comparison with other sales by self, or by other co’s
      - More difficult to assign value than tangibles.
  - Pays taxes on resulting profit
Case 6: Intangible manufacturing

U.S. Software producer with foreign distribution

- Exports software master to its subsidiary, to be copied*, marketed, and sold there

- Receives transfer payments for single export

  ➢ Must show that the transfer price is reasonable
    - One instance allows thousands of sales, generates substantial ongoing income over its lifetime
    - Valuation requires projection of income over life
      - When is income realized? What is the life of the software?

  ➢ Pays taxes on resulting profit

* equivalent to manufacturing; writing software is considered R&D
Software producer with foreign specialists

- Exports software master to its subsidiary, and adapted, copied, marketed, and maintained there
  - Source of foreign part of knowledge is remote
  - Assume cost of all R&D centrally accounted

- Receives transfer payments for those exports
  - Must show again that the transfer price is reasonable
  - Share R&D cost according to locale of revenue
  - Credit foreign R&D against foreign revenue
  - Pays taxes on U.S. assignable profit of foreign sales
Global Software producer

• Develops globally, perhaps 24/7
  ➢ Shares all knowledge globally at initiation
  ➢ Assume cost of all R&D centrally accounted

• Transfer payments should move both ways
  ➢ Must show that the transfer prices are reasonable
    ▪ Use of prior IP accounted for, or Buy-out
    ▪ Allocation? cost, hours?
    ▪ Compute balance
  ➢ Pays taxes on U.S. share of profit.
Company offshores everything
R&D, Production, distribution, service, feedback

- All IP has been exported
  - Value of export is value of entire company, except for tangibles (HQ building, cash, option income)
  - All income is offshore
  - Only profits needed for dividends are repatriated
  - No U.S. taxable income on continuing operations
  - Initial export of IP should be (have been) taxed?
Case 10: Inversion

The foreign subsidiary (CHORD6) uses its profits to buy the U.S. Base (CHORD) company

1. CHORD6 creates a second-level subsidiary (CHORD64) in the U.S.
2. CHORD64 merges with CHORD, considered a reorganization
   • CHORD stockholders trade their shares for CHORD64 shares
   • CHORD is dissolved, no longer exists as U.S. entity: grey part is gone
   • CHORD64 is a subsidiary of CHORD6; and not subject to U.S. tax
   • Stockholder value is unchanged, but their control is diminished
   • US employees now work for CHORD6, but may not notice
3. CHORD6 owns the name CHORD, renames itself,
   so it looks the same to employees and customers
4. For sales in the U.S., a royalty is now due to CHORD in Bermuda
HUH?

WHAT HAPPENED?

SPACE FOR LEASE
CALL
(415) 555-1334
ext 217

BERNIE?

HEY, MIKE!
WHAT'S UP?

I'M STANDING IN FRONT OF YOUR OFFICE, AND IT'S VACATED! WHAT'S GOING ON?

YOU DIDN'T HEAR? WE CLOSED THE FACILITY.
We’ve outsourced everything off-shore—back office, customer service, even distribution.

My margins are way better now. You might want to consider doing the same thing with your operation.

Gee, I dunno...

I’m telling you, Mike, it’s the way to go. Let’s talk about it over lunch. Set it up with my secretary.

Um... okay. Where is she?

India. Just call the main number.
Problem for tax avoidance:
1. Want to sell products not only in low-tax countries
2. Still don’t want to pay taxes on sales

Solution:
1. Separate the rights from the workers
   • IP rights are not visible
   • IP justifies the collection of non-routine incomes
2. Move the rights to a primary taxhaven
   • Typically involves three or more entities
     A. Parent company, IP creator, or sponsor, sets up B. and C.
     B. IP holding company, buys IP initially and pays for its maintenance.
     C. Off-shore enterprise or seller, IP consumer: licenses the IP from B