

# The Pumping Lemma for CFL's

Statement  
Applications

# Intuition

- ◆ Recall the pumping lemma for regular languages.
- ◆ It told us that if there was a string long enough to cause a cycle in the DFA for the language, then we could “pump” the cycle and discover an infinite sequence of strings that had to be in the language.

## Intuition – (2)

- ◆ For CFL's the situation is a little more complicated.
- ◆ We can always find **two** pieces of any sufficiently long string to “pump” in tandem.
  - ◆ **That is**: if we repeat each of the two pieces the same number of times, we get another string in the language.

# Statement of the CFL Pumping Lemma

For every context-free language  $L$

There is an integer  $n$ , such that

For every string  $z$  in  $L$  of length  $\geq n$

There exists  $z = uvwxy$  such that:


1.  $|vwx| \leq n$ .
2.  $|vx| > 0$ .
3. For all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $uv^iwx^iy$  is in  $L$ .

# Proof of the Pumping Lemma

- ◆ Start with a CNF grammar for  $L - \{\epsilon\}$ .
- ◆ Let the grammar have  $m$  variables.
- ◆ Pick  $n = 2^m$ .
- ◆ Let  $|z| \geq n$ .
- ◆ We claim ("*Lemma 1*") that a parse tree with yield  $z$  must have a path of length  $m+2$  or more.

# Proof of Lemma 1


- ◆ If all paths in the parse tree of a CNF grammar are of length  $\leq m+1$ , then the longest yield has length  $2^{m-1}$ , as in:



# Back to the Proof of the Pumping Lemma



- ◆ Now we know that the parse tree for  $z$  has a path with at least  $m+1$  variables.
- ◆ Consider some longest path.
- ◆ There are only  $m$  different variables, so among the **lowest**  $m+1$  we can find two nodes with the same label, say  $A$ .
- ◆ The parse tree thus looks like:

# Parse Tree in the Pumping-Lemma Proof









# Pump Zero Times



# Pump Twice



# Pump Thrice Etc., Etc.



# Using the Pumping Lemma

- ◆ Non-CFL's typically involve trying to match two pairs of counts or match two strings.
- ◆ **Example:** The text uses the pumping lemma to show that  $\{ww \mid w \in (0+1)^*\}$  is not a CFL.

# Using the Pumping Lemma – (2)

- ◆  $\{0^i10^i \mid i \geq 1\}$  is a CFL.
  - ◆ We can match one pair of counts.
- ◆ But  $L = \{0^i10^i10^i \mid i \geq 1\}$  is not.
  - ◆ We can't match two pairs, or three counts as a group.
- ◆ **Proof** using the pumping lemma.
- ◆ Suppose  $L$  were a CFL.
- ◆ Let  $n$  be  $L$ 's pumping-lemma constant.

# Using the Pumping Lemma – (3)

- ◆ Consider  $z = 0^n 1 0^n 1 0^n$ .
- ◆ We can write  $z = uvwxy$ , where  $|vwx| \leq n$ , and  $|vx| \geq 1$ .
- ◆ **Case 1:**  $vx$  has no 0's.
  - ◆ Then at least one of them is a 1, and  $uwv$  has at most one 1, which no string in  $L$  does.

# Using the Pumping Lemma – (4)

- ◆ Still considering  $z = 0^n 1 0^n 1 0^n$ .
- ◆ **Case 2:**  $vx$  has at least one 0.
  - ◆  $vwx$  is too short (length  $\leq n$ ) to extend to all three blocks of 0's in  $0^n 1 0^n 1 0^n$ .
  - ◆ Thus,  $uwy$  has at least one block of  $n$  0's, and at least one block with fewer than  $n$  0's.
  - ◆ Thus,  $uwy$  is not in  $L$ .